Assignments for Software Patterns (INMSP-08) 2011-2012

1. Pattern-based design (Group - 35%)

Due: December 15th

Design the architecture for a non-trivial system by selecting and applying patterns. Each group is free to select the system they want to design. It is advisable to use your current ideas and interests about a new non-trivial system you would like to work on – creativity and enthusiasm will of course be rewarded. You will come up with your own requirements. Your proposed system needs to be approved by your coach before the 1st review meeting. Consider the following guidelines:

- Use the PDAP method to design the architecture (N. Harrison and P. Avgeriou, Pattern-Driven Architectural Partitioning Balancing Functional and Non-functional Requirements).
- Make sure you document the stakeholders, their concerns, the key drivers and the architecturally significant requirements.
- For every pattern you apply, record the architectural decisions (AD) you make. Document your decisions as described in the following paper: *Neil B. Harrison, Paris Avgeriou, Uwe Zdun. Using Patterns to Capture Architectural Decisions.* We expect you to document your decisions as in the template shown in Table 1 by including the following fields: Issue, Assumptions/constraints, Positions, Decision, Argument, Implications, Related decisions and Related requirements.
- The most appropriate patterns to use are the architecture patterns as presented in the lecture or in *P. Avgeriou*, *U. Zdun. Architectural Patterns Revisited A Pattern Language*. Of course you can also use patterns from other sources or the Internet.
- Make sure you integrate the patterns (or their variants) appropriately and come up with a coherent design.
- You do not have to fully document the architecture (see General Remarks)

2. Pattern-based recovery & evaluation (Group - 65%)

Due: February 12th

First part: Choose a non-trivial open-source system and recover its architecture by mining at least five patterns. Consider the following guidelines:

- Use the IDAPO method (see K. Stol, P. Avgeriou, M. Ali Babar, Design and Evaluation of a Process for Identifying Architecture Patterns in Open Source Software)
- For inspiration you can consult The Architecture of Open Source Applications (http://www.aosabook.org/en/index.html)
- The selected OSS must be approved by the coaches before the first review meeting to make sure it's a realistic case (a large and complex system whose patterns have not already been documented).
- Make sure you recover also the stakeholders, their concerns and the key drivers
- The patterns you recover can be architectural, enterprise, design, analysis or other kinds, as presented in the lecture.
- Explain how exactly you found the patterns (e.g. they were explicit in the documentation, they were implicit in the communication of the OSS community, by looking at the code)
- Discuss the specific variants of the patterns applied.
- For every pattern you recover, record the corresponding architectural decision using the same template as in the first assignment.
- Remember that patterns are not islands but closely interact: therefore do not just show individual patterns, but show the whole architecture as an integration of the patterns.
- You do not have to fully document the architecture (see General Remarks)

Second part: Perform an architecture evaluation of that system based on its patterns by considering the following guidelines:

- Use the PBAR method (see N. Harrison, P. Avgeriou, Using Pattern-Based Architecture Reviews to Detect Quality Attribute Issues an Exploratory Study).
- Evaluate the consequences of the patterns on the system's quality attributes.
- Propose ways to improve the system's quality attributes by incorporating new patterns or variants of existing patterns and show the re-engineered designs. Make sure you back up your proposed solutions with actual system design. Furthermore link the improvements with functional or non-functional requirements, or even future requirements that may give the system a competitive advantage. The change should be convincing to the OSS community.
- The emphasis of this part lies on the proposed improvements based on patterns.
- You can use different parts of the Open-source system documentation to mine the desired information (e.g. discussion forum, code archive).
- You are encouraged to contact the corresponding open-source communities to validate the documented patterns and the improved design you have come up with.

A word of caution: Please make sure you work iteratively and incrementally with appropriate time-boxing in order to spend sufficient time in **both parts**. Note particularly that the second part accounts for 35% of the assignment's grade (see grading template below) and you should therefore spend the corresponding effort.

General remarks

- Assignments 1 and 2 are made by groups of max. 5 students that are self-organized, i.e. pick your own team-mates.
- Assignment deliverables should be uploaded on Nestor (file exchange of the group) by the corresponding deadline. All files delivered should be in PDF format. The uploaded files of the Assignments should be named as follows <GroupNumber>_<AssignmentNo>.pdf
- To support the coaches in providing optimal feedback in assignments 1 and 2, the changes in the document since the last version should be clearly visible. There are different options you can use to edit your document according to your experience and preferences: LaTeX + subversion, OpenOffice or MS Word, Google Docs etc.
- The participation of all members of the groups in the presentation of Assignments 1 and 2 in the classroom is mandatory. Exactly half the group must present the first assignment, while the other half must present the second assignment.
- For assignments 1 and 2 you can use the documentation template from the Software Architecture course (INMSA-08) but the architecture need not be fully documented: you are only expected to fill in the essential parts. The following table highlights the relevant parts of the template for assignments 1 and 2 and the corresponding grades.

Template section	Assignment 1	Assignment 2
Business model: System	Optional	5% - show system context
context, Architectural		and OSS community
relevant business		·
parameters		
Requirements: Stakeholders	10% - focus on stakeholders	10% - focus on
& concerns, Use case	and concerns, key drivers,	stakeholders, concerns and
descriptions, Requirements,	list of architecturally-	key drivers
Risk analysis	significant FRs and NFRs	
Analysis: Architectural	20% - follow PDAP to	Not applicable
issues, Design alternatives	apply patterns as a list of	
	design decisions and	
	document them with the	
	template	
System architecture:	Only if the chosen system has a large HW part (e.g.	
Overview, Components and	embedded system). Then System and HW architecture	
interfaces, Allocation to	sum up to 10% while Software Architecture 20%	
HW and SW		
Hardware architecture:		
Reverse engineering, Fit in		
overall architecture		
G C	200/ 1 1 1 1 1	200/ 1 /1 : 1: 1 1
Software architecture:	30% - show both the entire	30% - show the individual
Architectural views,	system as integration of	pattern, their variants and
Architectural patterns,	patterns as well as the	how they integrate in the
Decomposition, Component	individual pattern details;	entire architecture;
responsibilities & interfaces Architecture evaluation and	show at most 2 views 20% - show the benefits and	show at most 2 views; 35% - follow PBAR to
evolution: evaluation		
method (e.g. ATAM,	liabilities of each pattern on the system as well as the	evaluate the quality attributes based on the
SAAM), Conclusions and	eventual tradeoff due to the	patterns. Emphasize on
Improvements	pattern integration. Simple	recommendations on how to
Improvements	pattern verification.	improve the system
Presentations: content and	10%	10%
style, answering and asking	1070	1070
questions		
Process: Teamwork &	10%	10%
meeting deadlines,	1070	1070
Motivation, Initiative and		
Creativity, Following		
3 ,		
feedback		