(A) Warm-up problems:

- (1) Perform division with remainder for the following pairs (a, b): (103, 5), (47, 20), (85, 5), (40, 1).
- (2) Recall: if a, d are integers, we say that d divides a (abbreviated d|a) if there is an integer k such that a = kd. Show that 3 divides 6, and that 5 does not divide 7.
- (3) If a is a positive integer, the divisors of a are all the positive integers that divide a. For example, the divisors of 6 are $\{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ and the divisors of 4 are $\{1, 2, 4\}$. Write down the divisors of 8, the divisors of 9, and the divisors of 12.
- (4) If a, b are two positive integers, the common divisors of a and b, denoted CD(a, b), are the positive integers that are divisors of a and b simultaneously. For example, the common divisors of 4 and 6 are $CD(4,6) = \{1,2\}$. The list of common divisors is a finite list, and hence it has a biggest element, which is called the *greatest common divisor* of a and b and is denoted as gcd(a,b). For example, gcd(4,6) = 2. Find the list of common divisors of 8 and 12, and then find gcd(8,12).
- (5) If a, b are two positive integers, a linear combination of a and b is an integer c that can be written as c = ax + by for some integers x, y. Show that 5 is a linear combination of 10 and 25.

One of the goals for today will be to prove the following.

Theorem 1. Let a, b be positive integers. Then gcd(a, b) is the smallest positive integer g that can be written as g = ax + by for some integers x and y. That is, gcd(a, b) is the smallest positive linear combination of a and b.

(B)

- (1) Verify that the theorem is true for these values of (a, b): (3, 5), (3, 6), (6, 15).
- (2) (*HW*) Verify that the theorem is true for (a, b) = (6, 10).
- (3) Perform the Euclidean algorithm for the following values of (a, b): (108, 51), (98, 47), (32, 14), (125, 45). In each case, show that the last remainder is gcd(a, b).
- (4) For the pairs (a, b) of the previous problem, use "backwards substitution" on the Euclidean algorithm to find integers x and y so that gcd(a, b) = ax + by. Convince yourself that, whenever the last remainder of the Euclidean algorithm for (a, b) is gcd(a, b), then we can find integers x and y for which gcd(a, b) = ax + by. In the next problem, we will show that the last remainder of the Euclidean algorithm is always gcd(a, b).
- (5) Suppose we perform the Euclidean algorithm on two positive integers a and b. Let us call $a = r_0$ and $b = r_1$. We obtain a list of equations:

$$r_0 = q_1 r_1 + r_2$$
 $(0 \le r_2 < r_1)$
 $r_1 = q_2 r_2 + r_3$ $(0 \le r_3 < r_2)$
 $r_2 = q_3 r_3 + r_4$ $(0 \le r_4 < r_3)$
 \vdots
 $r_n = q_n r_{n+1} + 0$ $(0 \le r_{n+1} < r_n)$

Why is it that the Euclidean algorithm must always terminate (that is: why do we always get to a step where the remainder is zero)?

(6) Show that

$$CD(r_0, r_1) = CD(r_1, r_2) = \cdots = CD(r_n, r_{n+1}),$$

and use this to conclude that $r_n = \gcd(a, b)$. Explain why this proves Theorem 1.

- (7) Notice that the Euclidean algorithm for (a, b) = (108, 51) took 3 steps, and that for (a, b) = (32, 14) or (a, b) = (125, 45) it took 4 steps. Can you cook up (a, b) so that the Euclidean algorithm for (a, b) takes 5 steps?
- (8) Perform the Euclidean algorithm for (a, b) = (34, 21). If you are familiar with the Fibonacci numbers, you may notice a pattern.
- (9) (*HW*) Explain why the gcd of any two consecutive Fibonacci numbers is 1.

(C)

- (1) This chain of exercises gives a "non-constructive" proof of Theorem 1. It is called "non-constructive" because, unlike the proof that uses the Euclidean algorithm, this proof does not tell you how to find x and y.
 - (i) Let h denote the smallest positive element in the set $X = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and let $g = \gcd(a, b)$. Show that g divides h, and therefore $g \leq h$.
 - (ii) Show that h divides a. [Hint: If h does not divide a, use the division algorithm to write a = qh + r with $0 \le r < h$, and show that r is in the set X. This is a contradiction, since h was the smallest positive element of X]. Similarly, show that h divides b.
 - (iii) Finish the proof.
- (2) Let a, b be positive integers. Show that an integer n can be written as ax + by for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if n is a multiple of gcd(a, b). In set-theoretic notation, this statement is written as:

$$\{\alpha x + \beta y \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \gcd(a, b).$$

- (3) (* \mathbf{HW} *) Suppose that a number a has exactly 3 divisors. Show that a must be the square of a prime number.
- (D) Suppose we have a circle with n = 1, 2, 3, ... points labeled on its circumference, and we draw all the possible chords connecting those n points to one another. Assuming that no three chords intersect in a common point (which we can always assume by moving the points slightly), count the number of regions into which the chords divide the circle. Do you notice a pattern? Again ask yourself: when will you have assembled enough evidence to be convinced of any pattern you detect, and how would you justify it beyond any doubt?
- (E) We typically represent integers in base 10, i.e. when we write 1014 we mean $1 \cdot 10^3 + 0 \cdot 10^2 + 1 \cdot 10^1 + 4 \cdot 10^0$. We could just as well use different bases, for instance base 7. The integer 1014 (a base 10 expression) can be expressed in base 7 as $2 \cdot 7^3 + 6 \cdot 7^2 + 4 \cdot 7^1 + 6 \cdot 7^0$ (check this!); let us write $1014 = (2646)_7$ to indicate this base 7 expression.
 - (1) Express the (given in base 10) integers 97 and 512 in base 7.
 - (2) Compute the following sums and products in base 7, without first converting to base 10: $(365)_7 + (104)_7$; $(25)_7 \cdot (6)_7$; $(142)_7 \cdot (15)_7$.
 - (3) If an integer's base 7 expression $(a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_0)_7$ is given to you (that is, the integer $a_n 7^n + a_{n-1} 7^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 7^0$), find a simple divisibility test (in terms of the base 7 "digits" a_n, \dots, a_0) for whether the integer is divisible by 7. Also find tests for divisibility by 6 and by 8.