

Tutorial01

Q1

use a truth table to prove its tautology

$$(p \rightarrow (q \vee r)) \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \leftrightarrow ((p \wedge q) \rightarrow r)$$

try to use the truth table, it's easy anyway.

Q2

1. Show that $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$ and $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ are logically equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r \\ &= \neg(p \wedge q) \vee r \parallel \text{rule(20)} \\ &= (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r \parallel \text{De Morgan's laws} \\ &= (\neg p \vee r) \vee (\neg q \vee r) \\ &= (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \end{aligned}$$

2. Show that $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ and $q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$ are logically equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \\ &= p \vee (\neg q \vee r) \parallel \text{rule(20)} \\ &= \neg q \vee (p \vee r) \parallel \text{commutative laws} \\ &= q \rightarrow (p \vee r) \parallel \text{rule(20)} \end{aligned}$$

3. Show that $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$ and $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ are not equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r \\ &= \neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee r \parallel \text{rule(20)} \\ &= (p \wedge \neg q) \vee r \parallel \text{De Morgan's law} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \\ &= \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r) \parallel \text{rule(20)} \\ &= (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r \parallel \text{commutative law} \end{aligned}$$

in this case:

$$(p \wedge q) \vee r \neq (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r$$

Q3

Let $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$ be the statements " x is a professor," " x is ignorant," and " x is vain," respectively. Express each of these statements using quantifiers; logical connectives; and $P(x)$, $Q(x)$, and $R(x)$, where the domain consists of all people.

a) No professors are ignorant.

$$\forall x \neg (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

b) All ignorant people are vain.

$$\forall x Q(x) \rightarrow R(x)$$

c) No professors are vain.

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \wedge R(x)$$

d) Does (c) follow from (a) and (b)?

no, for not all vain people are ignorant

Q4

Use quantifiers to express the statement that "There is a woman who has taken a flight on every airline in the world."

$P(x)$ a person is a woman

$Q(x)$ a person take a flight on every airline in the world

$$\exists x P(x) \wedge Q(x)$$

Use quantifiers to express the statement that "There does not exist a woman who has taken a flight on every airline in the world."

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \wedge Q(x)$$

Q5

Suppose that the domain of $Q(x, y, z)$ consists of triples x, y, z , where $x = 0, 1$, or 2 , $y = 0$ or 1 , and $z = 0$ or 1 . Write out these propositions using disjunctions and conjunctions.

a) $\forall y Q(0, y, 0)$

$$Q(0, 0, 0) \wedge Q(0, 1, 0)$$

b) $\exists x Q(x, 1, 1)$

$$Q(0, 1, 1) \vee Q(1, 1, 1) \vee Q(2, 1, 1)$$

c) $\exists z \neg Q(0, 0, z)$

?

d) $\exists x \neg Q(x, 0, 1)$

?

Q6

Use rules of inference to show that if $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x)))$ and $\forall x (P(x) \wedge R(x))$ are true, then $\forall x (R(x) \wedge S(x))$ is true.