

# Week02 proof

---

## Reading

- Section 1.8
- section 5.1
- section 5.2

## direct proof and indirect proof

If a proof leads from the premises of a theorem to the conclusion, then it is a direct proof, otherwise, it is an indirect proof.

A **direct proof** shows that a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is true by showing that

**if  $p$  is true, then  $q$  must also be true**

### exercise

'If  $n$  is an odd integer, then  $n^2$  is odd'.

**proof:**

Suppose  $n$  is an odd integer. Then there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k + 1$ .  $n^2 = (2k + 1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$ . Since  $2(k^2 + 2k)$  is an integer,  $n^2$  is odd.

## Proof by Contraposition (indirect proof)

*if  $p \rightarrow q$  then*

*$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$*

### exercise

Prove that **if  $n$  is an integer and  $3n + 2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd.**

**proof:**

$p$  :  $3n+2$  is odd;

$q$  :  $n$  is odd

it is the same meaning that:

**if  $n$  is even then proof  $3n+2$  is even**

let  $n=2k$ ,  $3n+2 = 6k+2 = 2(3k+1)$

because  $3k+1$  is integer

$6k+2$  is even

Q.E.D.

## Proof by Contradiction (indirect proof)

Because the statement

$$r \wedge \neg r$$

is a contradiction whenever  $r$  is a proposition, we can prove that  $p$  is true if we can show that

$$\neg p \rightarrow (r \wedge \neg r)$$

is true for some proposition  $r$ .

### Exercise

Prove that

$$\sqrt{2} \text{ is irrational}$$

**Proof.**

Suppose  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational. Then there exist integers  $p$  and  $q$  with  $q \neq 0$  such that  $\sqrt{2} = p/q$  and  $p$  and  $q$  do not have any common factor. Thus,  $2 = p^2/q^2$ .  $p^2 = 2q^2$ . Thus,  $p^2$  is even. Since if  $n$  is odd, then  $n^2$  is odd (proved in previous slides),  $p$  is even. Hence there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $p = 2k$ . Then  $p^2 = (2k)^2 = 2q^2$ .  $q^2 = 2k^2$ . Thus  $q^2$  is even, hence  $q$  is even. Thus,  $p$  and  $q$  are both even, which contradicts the fact that  $p$  and  $q$  do not have any common factor.  $\square$

## Proof of Equivalence

To prove a theorem that is a biconditional statement or a bi-implication, that is, a statement of the form

$$p \leftrightarrow q$$

we show that

$$p \rightarrow q$$

and

$$q \rightarrow p$$

are both true.

**so:**

This shows that if the  $n$  conditional statements  $p_1 \rightarrow p_2, p_2 \rightarrow p_3, \dots, p_n \rightarrow p_1$  can be shown to be true, then the propositions  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are all equivalent.

$$[p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow p_n] \leftrightarrow [(p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow p_3) \wedge \dots \wedge (p_n \rightarrow p_1)].$$

## Counterexamples

To show that a statement of the form  $\forall x P(x)$  is false, we need only find a counterexample, that is, an example  $x$  for which  $P(x)$  is false.

### exercise

Show that the statement 'Every positive integer is the sum of the squares of two integers' is false

proof:

3 is not include

## Proof by Cases

- Sometimes we cannot prove a theorem using a single argument that holds for all possible cases.
- Need to consider different cases separately.
- **Rationale:** To prove a conditional statement of the form

$$(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n) \rightarrow q$$

the tautology

$$[(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n) \rightarrow q] \leftrightarrow [(p_1 \rightarrow q) \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow q) \wedge \dots \wedge (p_n \rightarrow q)]$$

can be used as a rule of inference.

### exercise

Prove that if  $n$  is an integer, then  $n^2 \geq n$ .

**Proof.**

Let us prove by cases.

- If  $n = 0$ , then  $0^2 \geq 0$ .
- If  $n \geq 1$ , we multiply both sides of the inequality  $n \geq 1$  by the positive integer  $n$ , then we have  $n^2 \geq n$ .
- If  $n \leq -1$ ,  $n^2 \geq n$  holds, since  $n^2 \geq 0$ .

Thus, in each case,  $n^2 \geq n$ .



## Mathematical Induction (数学归纳法)

**Basis Step:** We show that the statement holds for the positive integer 1 (i.e.  $P(1)$  is true).

**Inductive Step** We show that if the statement holds for a positive integer then it must also hold for the next larger integer (i.e. for all positive integers  $k$ , if  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k + 1)$  is true).

$$\begin{array}{l} P(1) \\ \forall k (P(k) \rightarrow P(k + 1)) \\ \hline \therefore \forall n P(n) \end{array}$$

### Strong Induction

**Basis Step** We verify that the proposition  $P(1)$  is true.

**Inductive Step** We show that the conditional statement  $[P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k + 1)$  is true for all positive integers  $k$ .