

Task 1: L-Board

Task author: Aloysius Lim

Subtask 1

Compute the maximum value over all possible subsets of 1,2 or 3 cells.

Subtask 2

We want to pick indices i, j such that $A[i] + \ldots + A[j]$ is maximized. A straightforward implementation of this will take $\mathcal{O}(m^3)$ time, which is too slow.

We can speed up the computation using prefix sums given by

$$P[i] = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0\\ P[i-1] + A[i] & i \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Observe that $P[i] = A[1] + \ldots + A[i]$, and therefore

$$A[i] + \ldots + A[j] = A[j] - A[i-1]$$
(2)

We can now take the maximum of this expression over all possible $1 \le i \le j$.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$.

Subtask 3

We now compute prefix sums for every row and every column. For each (i, j) define

$$P_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & j = 0 \\ P_{i,j-1} + A_{i,j} & j \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
 (3)

such that

$$A_{x,y_1} + A_{x,y_1+1} + \ldots + A_{x,y_2} = P_{x,y_2} - P_{x,y_1-1}$$
(4)



Similarly, we can define vertical prefix sums by

$$Q_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ P_{i-1,j} + A_{i,j} & i \ge 1 \end{cases}$$
 (5)

such that

$$A_{x_1,y} + A_{x_1+1,y} + \ldots + A_{x_2,y} = P_{x_2,y} - P_{x_1-1,y}$$
(6)

For each (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) , we can compute V in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time.

Time complexity $\mathcal{O}(m^2n^2)$.

Subtask 4

Suppose we fix a corner (x,y) for the L. Notice that the horizontal arm and the vertical arm can be maximized separately and independently. Thus, we only need $\mathcal{O}(m+n)$ time to find the best L for a fixed corner. We can now maximize this over all possible corners.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(nm(n+m))$.

Subtask 5

Suppose we fix the corner of the L at (x, y). Then the horizontal arm of the L can either go left or right, while the vertical arm of the L can go top or down.

In either case, we want the arm to stretch all the way to the end of the board because a longer arm is always better when $A_{i,j}$ is non-negative.

By using the prefix sums, we can do this calculation in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time for each (i, j). Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(mn)$.

Subtask 6

Similar to subtask 4, except that we want to speed up the computation to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for each corner (x,y).

Define the prefix minimum by

$$M_{x,y} = \max_{1 \le z \le y} P_{x,z} \tag{7}$$



and observe that

$$\max_{1 \le z \le y} A_{x,z} + \dots A_{x,y} = P_{x,y} - M_{x,y}$$
 (8)



Task 2: Tree Cutting

Task author: Marc Phua

Subtask 1

Consider all possible combinations to:

- Demolish a highway
- Build a high way
- Pick a starting point x
- Pick an ending point y

We compute the maximum distance across all such possible configurations, to get a solution.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^6)$

Subtask 2

Let d(x,y) denote the distance between cities x and y. Suppose we have decided to remove a highway (u,v), breaking the city into components A and B. If $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ and we choose to build a new highway, (u',v') where $u' \in A$ and $v' \in B$, then the new distance between x and y is given by

$$d(x,y) = d(x,u') + 1 + d(v',y)$$
(9)

Note that the maximization across u' and v' can be done independently. This suggests the algorithm:

- Choose a highway (u, v) to remove. Let the corresponding partition be A and B
- For each $x \in A$, do a bfs rooted at x to find $\max_{u' \in A} d(x, u')$. Similarly, find $\max_{v' \in B} d(v', y)$

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$



Subtask 3

The idea is the same as subtask 2, except that we need to speedup the computation of $\max_{x,u'} d(x,u')$.

To do this, we do the following:

- Start at an arbitrary city x
- Using BFS to find the furthest city x_1 from x
- Use BFS again to find the furthest city x_2 from x_1

For more details, refer to here.

This will speedup the computation of $\max_{x,u'\in A} d(x,u')$ to $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

Subtask 4

If the cities form a straight line, then the answer is clearly n-1. If not, we can represent the network by a star.

For the city with at least 3 highways connected, call it the center. Each city which is connected to only 1 highway is called a terminal.

Let $d_1, d_2, d_3 \dots$ be the distances from each of the terminals to the center, sorted in descending order.

Then the answer is given by $d_1 + d_2 + d_3$.

Subtask 5

We say that the **diameter** is the longest path between any two cities.

There are two possible cases.

Case 1: The highway to be demolished is not on the diameter. Let the demolition of this highway break the cities into A and B. Clearly, the diameter of A is the same as the diameter of the original network. The maximum possible diameter of B can be found the following way:



- Delete all cities on the diameter (if a highway contains a deleted city, that highway is also deleted)
- For each connected component, find the diameter, and return the largest value

This gives us the maximum possible value for case 1.

Case 2: The highway to be demolished is on the diameter. Suppose the endpoints of the diameter are (a, b) and the highway demolished is (u, v), where a and u are on the same side, v and b are on the other side after the demolition.

Claim: the diameter of the component containing a has a as one of its endpoints.

Proof: Suppose we accept the algorithm for finding the diameter in subtask 2. If we try to find the longest path from u, we will reach a.

For each w such that w is on the diameter, let f(w) be the longest path starting at w that does not use any edges from the diameter. So if we remove the edge (u, v), the diameter of the component containing a is simply given by

$$\max_{w} f(w) + d(a, w) \tag{10}$$

where w is maximized over all cities on the path from a to w. As we move the highway (u, v) along the diameter, we can dynamically maintain this value. We can do this similarly for b.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$



Task 3: Dragonfly (dragonfly)

Task author: Benson Lin

Subtask 1

Subtask constraints: $n, d \leq 1000$.

We can perform a direct simulation of the problem. As every dragonfly moves from pond h[i] to 1, we can simply keep track of all the bugs eaten along the way.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(nd)$.

Subtask 2

Subtask constraints: $d \le 2 \cdot 10^5$, b[i] = d. Since b[i] = d, every pond will always have at least 1 bug. We keep an array count[j], which stores the number of ponds with bugs of species j along the path. Perform a depth-first-search. Whenever we visit a new pond i, we increment count[s[i]] by 1, and undo the increment when we leave this pond for the last time. As we move traverse the tree, we can record down the number of nonzero values of count.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n+d)$.

Subtask 3

Observe that the total number of bugs eaten by all the dragonflies is at most $\sum b[i]$. However, it is possible for a dragonfly to enter a pond with no bugs, and there can be very long paths with no bugs.

However, we can use **path compression**: suppose we have a pond i_1 such that i_1 has parent i_2 and grandparent i_3 . If i_2 has no more bugs left, we can reset i_1 's parent to i_3 .

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n + d \log n)$

Subtask 4

We make use of the following definition:



• A pond i is **active** if and only if a hypothetical dragonfly going from pond 1 to pond i eats a bug of species s[i] for the first time.

We wish to dynamically maintain an array active[i] indicating whether pond i is active.

It is easy to compute the initial value of active[i] for all i. If dragonfly eats the last bug of pond i (i.e. b[i] changes from 1 to 0), then we change active[i] by the following rules:

- If active[i] is false, do nothing.
- If active[i] is true, set active[i] to false. Among all ponds i' > i such that have the same species as i and has nonzero bugs, let i_0 be the first such pond. Set i_0 to be active (if such i_0 does not exist, do nothing).

Then we simply need to report the prefix sum of *active* to answer the queries. The updates can be done using a segment tree.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}((n+d)\log n)$

Subtask 5

For each pond i, let t[i] be the time which b[i] becomes zero (for simplicity, t[i] = d + 1 if it never becomes zero, or t[i] = 0 if b[i] = 0 in the beginning). We wish to compute t[i] for each i

There are a few possible ways to do it. One of the solutions involves heavy-light decomposition:

- Attach a segment tree on every heavy path recording the number of bugs on that path
- For each dragonfly, we can decompose the path taken into heavy paths, and perform $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ range updates
- By doing so, we can detect when the number of bugs turn to 0
- This runs in $\mathcal{O}((n+d)\log^2 n)$ as there are $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ segment tree updates for every dragonfly

Another possible idea uses set merging:

• For every pond i, write record down the set $S_i = \{j | h[j] = i\}$ (in other words, the set of all dragonflies which visited pond i).



- Observe that, t[i] is the b[i]-th smallest number among the union of $S_{i'}$, where i' is taken over all descendants of i.
- By small-to-large merging of order statistics trees, we can solve the problem in $\mathcal{O}((n+d)\log^2 n)$.

Once this is done, we simply store the active/inactive state of every pond. Since species are distinct, a pond is active if and only if it has no bugs.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}((n+d)\log^2 n)$

Subtask 6

We first calculate t[i] as per subtask 5. However, the rules for maintaining the active/inactive state of the ponds is now more complicated.

A pond is active iff

- Every ancestor with the same bug species is inactive
- The current time is smaller than t[i] (i.e. there is at least 1 bug left).

We can set up a forest such that every pond's parent is the first pond on its path to root that shares the same bug species. Call this the species forest.

To 'activate' a pond i,

- If current time is smaller than t[i], we simply turn the pond active.
- Else, we 'activate' all its children in the species forest.

To 'deactivate' a pond i, we turn the pond to inactive, as well as 'activate' its children.

By storing the ponds on a fenwick tree with preorder traversal, we can toggle the active/inactive state, as well as answer path sums, both in logarithmic time.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}((n+d)\log^2 n)$

Subtask 7

We can use a divide and conquer strategy.



- At time d/2, decide which ponds are active.
- For every active pond, add d/2 to t[i].
- For every pond, define its active (inactive) parent as the first active (inactive) ancestor on its path to root, if it exists.
- If a dragonfly ends up at an inactive pond after time d/2, we change its ending pond to be its active parent.
- Similarly, if a dragonfly ends up at an active pond before time d/2, we change its ending pond to be its inactive parent.
- We can build an active tree and an inactive tree, solving the two subproblems independently.

Let T(n, d) be the time taken to solve this problem. Then we have the recurrence relation

$$T(n,d) = T(n_1, d/2) + T(n_2, d/2) + \mathcal{O}(n)$$
(11)

for some $n_1 + n_2 = n$. This gives us $T(n, d) = \mathcal{O}((n + d) \log d)$.

There is another solution based on this data structure known as wavelet tree. Given an array a[], the wavelet tree data structure can be built in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time, and supports the following operation:

• Given (l, r, k), return the k-th smallest item in $a[l \dots r]$

We use the same idea as the set merging solution.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}((n+d)\log(d))$