

# Physics Problem Solving

Dara Daneshvar   Eason Shao   Lev Shabalin

Physics Problem Solving Society  
St Paul's School

29.04.2024

# Table of Contents

1 Springs and Newton-metre

2 Direction of Friction

3 Easter Question Pack

# Table of Contents

1 Springs and Newton-metre

2 Direction of Friction

3 Easter Question Pack

# Question

This question aims to let us think what actually a Newton-metre measures.

# Question

This question aims to let us think what actually a Newton-metre measures.  
(*Surprisingly, it does not measure the newton metre, which is the joule.*)

# Question

This question aims to let us think what actually a Newton-metre measures.  
*(Surprisingly, it does not measure the newton metre, which is the joule.)*

## Question

Given that the following setup satisfies that  $mg = 5\text{N}$ , find the reading of the Newton-metre in the following setups.

# Question

This question aims to let us think what actually a Newton-metre measures.  
(*Surprisingly, it does not measure the newton metre, which is the joule.*)

## Question

Given that the following setup satisfies that  $mg = 5\text{N}$ , find the reading of the Newton-metre in the following setups.




Figure: Setup

## Question

This question aims to let us think what actually a Newton-metre measures. (*Surprisingly, it does not measure the newton metre, which is the joule.*)

### Question

Given that the following setup satisfies that  $mg = 5\text{N}$ , find the reading of the Newton-metre in the following setups.




Figure: Setup

*You may assume that the Newton-metres are massless.*



## Thoughts

- From our first glance, one may argue that the second setup has double the reading of the first setup, since it receives the same 5N pull on both sides, therefore will receive a 'resultant' pull of 10N.

## Thoughts

- From our first glance, one may argue that the second setup has double the reading of the first setup, since it receives the same 5N pull on both sides, therefore will receive a 'resultant' pull of 10N.
- Also, by the fact that the Newton-metre measures the weight of an object in the first setup. we will see that it reads 5N in the first setup.

## Thoughts

- From our first glance, one may argue that the second setup has double the reading of the first setup, since it receives the same 5N pull on both sides, therefore will receive a 'resultant' pull of 10N.
- Also, by the fact that the Newton-metre measures the weight of an object in the first setup. we will see that it reads 5N in the first setup.
- However, after doing a force analysis on the Newton-metre in the first setup, unsurprisingly, we also found that it experiences 5N pull on both sides.

## Thoughts

- From our first glance, one may argue that the second setup has double the reading of the first setup, since it receives the same 5N pull on both sides, therefore will receive a 'resultant' pull of 10N.
- Also, by the fact that the Newton-metre measures the weight of an object in the first setup. we will see that it reads 5N in the first setup.
- However, after doing a force analysis on the Newton-metre in the first setup, unsurprisingly, we also found that it experiences 5N pull on both sides.
- Essentially the setup are equivalent.

## Thoughts

- From our first glance, one may argue that the second setup has double the reading of the first setup, since it receives the same 5N pull on both sides, therefore will receive a 'resultant' pull of 10N.
- Also, by the fact that the Newton-metre measures the weight of an object in the first setup. we will see that it reads 5N in the first setup.
- However, after doing a force analysis on the Newton-metre in the first setup, unsurprisingly, we also found that it experiences 5N pull on both sides.
- Essentially the setup are equivalent.
- What went wrong here?

## Analysis

- In an ideal world where all newton-metres are massless (which could not be the case in our real world), we will know that the resultant force that acts on the newton-metre is 0.

## Analysis

- In an ideal world where all newton-metres are massless (which could not be the case in our real world), we will know that the resultant force that acts on the newton-metre is 0.
- Therefore, assuming it only has pull on both ends, they must be on the same straight line, act on opposite directions, and have **equal magnitude**.

## Analysis

- In an ideal world where all newton-metres are massless (which could not be the case in our real world), we will know that the resultant force that acts on the newton-metre is 0.
- Therefore, assuming it only has pull on both ends, they must be on the same straight line, act on opposite directions, and have **equal magnitude**.
- What the newton-metre actually reads is the pull on **only one end**.



## Analysis

- In an ideal world where all newton-metres are massless (which could not be the case in our real world), we will know that the resultant force that acts on the newton-metre is 0.
- Therefore, assuming it only has pull on both ends, they must be on the same straight line, act on opposite directions, and have **equal magnitude**.
- What the newton-metre actually reads is the pull on **only one end**.
- This is very reasonable, due to the first setup, and usually we hold the other end on a fixed end/with our hand, which we do not usually account for the pull twice.

# Table of Contents

1 Springs and Newton-metre

2 Direction of Friction

3 Easter Question Pack

# Question

We know from GCSE Physics that friction is to oppose relative motion of two surfaces.

In other words, static friction exists, if when the friction is removed the surfaces will slide relatively opposite to the direction of a friction.

## Question

A person is climbing up along a climbing rope. Determine the direction of friction they experience.

## Thoughts

- To our intuition, since I am climbing upwards on the rope, I must receive the friction downwards, which 'opposes' my motion.

## Thoughts

- To our intuition, since I am climbing upwards on the rope, I must receive the friction downwards, which 'opposes' my motion.
- However, if we draw a force diagram analysing this situation, we will see that there is **no force pointing upwards**, and according to Newton, we can't climb up a rope.

## Thoughts

- To our intuition, since I am climbing upwards on the rope, I must receive the friction downwards, which 'opposes' my motion.
- However, if we draw a force diagram analysing this situation, we will see that there is **no force pointing upwards**, and according to Newton, we can't climb up a rope.
- **What went wrong here?**

## Analysis

- Let's do a thought experiment here. If the rope is smooth and does not have any friction, where will I go?

## Analysis

- Let's do a thought experiment here. If the rope is smooth and does not have any friction, where will I go?
- I hope it is obvious for everyone, that we will slide down the rope.



## Analysis

- Let's do a thought experiment here. If the rope is smooth and does not have any friction, where will I go?
- I hope it is obvious for everyone, that we will slide down the rope.
- Therefore, to oppose this motion, the friction will have to act upwards.

## Thoughts

- We are not done yet ...

## Thoughts

- We are not done yet ...
- It is **not** very convincing that the friction can be the **driving force** - shouldn't it just 'stop acting' when the relative motion stops and just 'maintain' the stop position - and act as a **resisting force**?

## Thoughts

- We are not done yet ...
- It is **not** very convincing that the friction can be the **driving force** - shouldn't it just 'stop acting' when the relative motion stops and just 'maintain' the stop position - and act as a **resisting force**?
- **What went wrong here?**

## Analysis

- We shall think carefully how a person climbs a rope.

## Analysis

- We shall think carefully how a person climbs a rope.
- Are the surfaces sliding at any time? Are the hands of the person sliding upwards against the rope at any time?

## Analysis

- We shall think carefully how a person climbs a rope.
- Are the surfaces sliding at any time? Are the hands of the person sliding upwards against the rope at any time?
- **No.** Our hand, always tends to slide down from the rope.

## Analysis

- We shall think carefully how a person climbs a rope.
- Are the surfaces sliding at any time? Are the hands of the person sliding upwards against the rope at any time?
- **No.** Our hand, always tends to slide down from the rope.
- In fact, we cannot model our body as a point mass in this question. We are moving our arms to climb.



## Analysis

- We shall think carefully how a person climbs a rope.
- Are the surfaces sliding at any time? Are the hands of the person sliding upwards against the rope at any time?
- **No.** Our hand, always tends to slide down from the rope.
- In fact, we cannot model our body as a point mass in this question. We are moving our arms to climb.
- When we climb up, one of our arms and some of our body remain stationary, while the other arm and most of our body accelerates upwards, thanks to the arm providing the force upwards.

## Analysis

- We shall think carefully how a person climbs a rope.
- Are the surfaces sliding at any time? Are the hands of the person sliding upwards against the rope at any time?
- **No.** Our hand, always tends to slide down from the rope.
- In fact, we cannot model our body as a point mass in this question. We are moving our arms to climb.
- When we climb up, one of our arms and some of our body remain stationary, while the other arm and most of our body accelerates upwards, thanks to the arm providing the force upwards.
- The arms which remains stationary has to provide some extra upwards force to push the body upwards. To balance this, there is extra friction provided (extra in the sense of being equal to our weight).

# Table of Contents

1 Springs and Newton-metre

2 Direction of Friction

3 Easter Question Pack

## Question 4

### Question

A tank contains water to a depth of 1.0m. Water emerges from a small hole in the vertical side of the tank at 20cm below the surface. Determine:

- 1 the speed at which the water emerges from the hole
- 2 the distance from the base of the tank at which the water strikes the floor on which the tank is standing.

## Solution

Water is pushed out of the hole due to the weight of water above.

## Solution

Water is pushed out of the hole due to the weight of water above. The velocity of water exiting the hole can be found using energy conservation, where gravitational potential energy is transferred to kinetic energy,

$$mgh = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

$$v^2 = 2gh.$$

# Solution

## Solution

Water is pushed out of the hole due to the weight of water above. The velocity of water exiting the hole can be found using energy conservation, where gravitational potential energy is transferred to kinetic energy,

$$mgh = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$$

$$v^2 = 2gh.$$

Substituting values, with the height of water above the hole  $h = 20\text{cm}$ ,

$$v = \sqrt{2 \times 9.81 \times 0.2} = 1.98\text{m s}^{-1}.$$

## Solution

Water exits the hole in the tank horizontally. It can then be modelled as a projectile as it falls to the ground.



## Solution

Water exits the hole in the tank horizontally. It can then be modelled as a projectile as it falls to the ground.

Since the vertical velocity of the water is initially zero,

$$s = \frac{1}{2}at^2 \Rightarrow t = \sqrt{\frac{2s}{g}}.$$

## Solution

Water exits the hole in the tank horizontally. It can then be modelled as a projectile as it falls to the ground.

Since the vertical velocity of the water is initially zero,

$$s = \frac{1}{2}at^2 \Rightarrow t = \sqrt{\frac{2s}{g}}.$$

Substituting values,

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 0.8}{9.81}} = 0.404\text{s}.$$

# Solution

## Solution

Water exits the hole in the tank horizontally. It can then be modelled as a projectile as it falls to the ground.

Since the vertical velocity of the water is initially zero,

$$s = \frac{1}{2}at^2 \Rightarrow t = \sqrt{\frac{2s}{g}}.$$

Substituting values,

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 0.8}{9.81}} = 0.404\text{s}.$$

Now considering the horizontal motion of the water,

$$d = 1.98 \times 0.404 = 0.80\text{m} = 80\text{cm}.$$