



Linear Algebra II

Nilpotent Linear Transformations

Ming-Hsuan Kang



Let T be a linear transformation on a vector space V over F .

Definition

A linear transform T is **nilpotent** if $T^k \equiv 0$ for some positive integer k . The smallest such k is called the index of T .

Proposition

Let n be the dimension of V . The following are equivalent.

1. $T^k \equiv 0$ for some positive integer k .
2. The generalized kernel of T is equal to the whole space V .
3. $T^n \equiv 0$.
4. $f_T(x) = x^n$.



Suppose T is nilpotent. Let \vec{v} be a nonzero vector in V and

$$W = \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}) = F\vec{v} \oplus \cdots \oplus FT^{m-1}(\vec{v})$$

which the cyclic subspace generated by \vec{v} . Recall that

$$f|_{T|_W}(x) \text{ divides } f_T(x) = x^n$$

which imply that

$$f|_{T|_W}(x) = x^m \quad \text{and} \quad T^m(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}.$$

It is convenient to express the action of T on W by the diagram

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow T^{m-1}(\vec{v}) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow T(\vec{v}) \leftarrow \vec{v}.$$



Under the cyclic basis $\alpha = \{\vec{v}, \dots, T^{m-1}(\vec{v})\}$, $T|_W$ has the matrix representation

$$\text{Rep}_\alpha(T|_W) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



The goal of this lecture is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem

Let T be a nilpotent linear transformation on the vector space V . Then V can be decomposed as a direct sum of cyclic subspaces.

We are looking for a decomposition as the following form.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_1 \\ \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_2 \\ \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_3) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_3 & & \\ \vec{0} & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_4 & & & & \end{array}$$



Find cyclic subspaces of maximal dimension first.

Apply T^2 to the basis, then cyclic spaces of smaller dimension are killed. One can determine $T^2(\vec{v}_1)$ and $T^2(\vec{v}_2)$ since they form a basis of $\text{Im}(T^2)$.

$$\begin{array}{lclclcl}
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_1 \\
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_2 \\
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_3) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_3 & & \\
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_4 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

From $T^2(\vec{v}_1)$ and $T^2(\vec{v}_2)$, we can find \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 .

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow T^2(\vec{v}_1) \leftarrow T(\vec{v}_1) \leftarrow \vec{v}_1$$

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow T^2(\vec{v}_2) \leftarrow T(\vec{v}_2) \leftarrow \vec{v}_2$$

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow T(\vec{v}_3) \leftarrow \vec{v}_3$$

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow \vec{v}_4$$

Example



Next, let us find the cyclic subspace of second largest dimension.

On the subspace $\ker(T^2)$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_1 \\ \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_2 \\ \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_3) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_3 & & \\ \vec{0} & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_4 & & & & \end{array}$$



k -dimensional cyclic subspaces are of maximal dimension on $\ker(T^k)$.

On the subspace $\ker(T^2)$, apply T to its basis, then cyclic spaces of smaller dimension are killed. One can determine $T(\vec{v}_3)$ since it together with $T^2(\vec{v}_1)$ and $T^2(\vec{v}_2)$ form a basis of $\text{Im}(T)$ on $\ker(T^2)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_1) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_1 \\
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T^2(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_2) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_2 \\
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & T(\vec{v}_3) & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_3 & & \\
 \vec{0} & \leftarrow & \vec{v}_4 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

From $T(\vec{v}_3)$, we can find \vec{v}_3 .

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow T^2(\vec{v}_1) \leftarrow T(\vec{v}_1) \leftarrow \vec{v}_1$$

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow T^2(\vec{v}_2) \leftarrow T(\vec{v}_2) \leftarrow \vec{v}_2$$

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow T(\vec{v}_3) \leftarrow \vec{v}_3$$

$$\vec{0} \leftarrow \vec{v}_4$$

Repeat this process, we can find a cyclic decomposition.



Theorem

Let T be a nilpotent linear transformation on V of index $k + 1$. Let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ be vectors in V such that $T^k(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T^k(\vec{v}_m)$ form a basis of $\text{Im}(T^k)$.

- (1) $V = \ker(T^k) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m F\vec{v}_i$.
- (2) $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i)$.
- (3) *There exists some T -invariant subspace W such that*
$$V = W \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i).$$



1. For subspaces W_1, \dots, W_r of V , the following are equivalent.
 - a) $W_1 + \dots + W_r = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_r$.
 - b) Whenever $\vec{w}_1 + \dots + \vec{w}_r = \vec{0}$ with $\vec{w}_i \in W_i$ for all i , we have $\vec{w}_1 = \dots = \vec{w}_r = \vec{0}$.
 - c) Let α_i be a basis of W_i , then $\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_m$ is linearly independent.
2. $W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_r = V$ if $\dim W_1 + \dots + \dim W_r = \dim V$.

Proof of (1) $V = \ker(T^k) \oplus F\vec{v}_i$.



First, we claim that the right hand side of (1) is a direct sum. In other words, we have to show

$$\ker(T^k) + \sum_{i=1}^m F\vec{v}_i = \ker(T^k) \bigoplus_{i=1}^m F\vec{v}_i.$$

Suppose $\vec{0} = \vec{v} + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \vec{v}_i$ for some $\vec{v} \in \ker(T^k)$ and $a_i \in F$. Apply T^k to the both hand sides, we obtain

$$\vec{0} = \vec{0} + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i T^k(\vec{v}_i).$$

Since $\{T^k(\vec{v}_i)\}$ is linearly independent, we have $a_1 = \cdots = a_m = 0$ which implies that $\vec{v} = 0$. The claim is proved.

Proof of (1) $V = \ker(T^k) \oplus F\vec{v}_i$.



By the dimension formula, we have

$$\dim V = \dim \ker(T^k) + \dim \operatorname{Im}(T^k) = \dim \ker(T^k) + m.$$

The statement (1) is proved.

Proof of (2) $\sum \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i) = \bigoplus \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i)$.



Note that the subspace $\text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i)$ admits a basis

$$\alpha_i = \{\vec{v}_i, T(\vec{v}_i) \cdots, T^k(\vec{v}_i)\}.$$

It remains to show that $\alpha_1 \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_m$ is linearly independent. Suppose not, then there exists some non-trivial linear relation, namely,

$$\vec{0} = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} T^i(\vec{v}_j).$$

Proof of (2) $\sum \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i) = \bigoplus \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i)$.



Let r be the smallest integer satisfying $a_{rj} \neq 0$ for some j .

Applying T^{k-r} to the above equation, we obtain

$$\vec{0} = \sum_{i=r}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} T^{k-r+i}(\vec{v}_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{rj} T^k(\vec{v}_j) \quad (\text{since } T^{k+1} \equiv 0)$$

Thus, we obtain a non-trivial linear relation of $T^k(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T^k(\vec{v}_m)$, which is a contradiction.



Theorem

(3) *There exists some T -invariant subspace W such that*
$$V = W \oplus_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i).$$

We will prove the statement by induction on the index $k + 1$.

When $k = 0$, T^0 is the identity map and $\text{Im}(T^0) = V$. In this case, $\oplus_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i) = V$, so we can just let $W = \{\vec{0}\}$.

Suppose the statement (3) holds for all nilpotent linear transformation of index $< k + 1$.



Let \tilde{T} be the restriction of T on $\tilde{V} = \ker(T^k)$. Then \tilde{T} is a nilpotent linear transformation on \tilde{V} of index k and the statement (3) holds for \tilde{T} . First, let us find a special basis of $\text{Im}(\tilde{T}^{k-1})$.

Note that $T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_m) \in \tilde{V}$ and

$$\left\{ \tilde{T}^{k-1}(T(\vec{v}_1)), \dots, \tilde{T}^{k-1}(T(\vec{v}_m)) \right\} = \left\{ T^k(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T^k(\vec{v}_m) \right\}$$

is a linearly independent subset of $\text{Im}(\tilde{T}^{k-1})$. One can extend this subset to a basis of $\text{Im}(\tilde{T}^{k-1})$, namely

$$\left\{ \tilde{T}^{k-1}(T(\vec{v}_1)), \dots, \tilde{T}^{k-1}(T(\vec{v}_m)) \right\} \cup \left\{ \tilde{T}^{k-1}(\vec{u}_1), \dots, \tilde{T}^{k-1}(\vec{u}_r) \right\}$$

for some $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_r$ in \tilde{V} .

Proof of (3)



By (3), there exists some \tilde{T} -invariant space W_0 (which is also T -invariant) such that

$$\ker(T^k) = \tilde{V} = W_0 \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \text{cyclic}(\vec{u}_j) \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(T(\vec{v}_i))$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \ker(T^k) \bigoplus F\vec{v}_i \\ &= W_0 \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \text{cyclic}(\vec{u}_j) \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \left(\text{cyclic}(T(\vec{v}_i)) \oplus F\vec{v}_i \right) \\ &= W \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i) \end{aligned}$$



Theorem

Let T be a nilpotent linear transformation on V of index $k + 1$. Let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ be vectors in V such that $T^k(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T^k(\vec{v}_m)$ form a basis of $\text{Im}(T^k)$.

- (3) *There exists some T -invariant subspace W such that*
$$V = W \oplus_{i=1}^m \text{cyclic}(\vec{v}_i).$$

Theorem

Let T be a nilpotent linear transformation on the vector space V . Then V can be decomposed as a direct sum of cyclic subspaces.