

Linear Algebra II - Cyclic subspaces and Cayley Hamilton Theorem

Ming-Hsuan Kang

March 4, 2020

Cyclic subspaces

Let $T : V \mapsto V$ be a linear transformation. For a nonzero vector \vec{v} of V , there is a simple way to find the smallest T -invariant subspace containing \vec{v} as follows. Let

$$S = \{\vec{v}, T(\vec{v}), T^2(\vec{v}), \dots\}.$$

It is clear that every T -invariant subspace containing \vec{v} must also contain the set S and hence it also contains $\text{span}(S)$. The subspace $\text{span}(S)$ is called the cyclic subspace generated by \vec{v} .

Theorem 1. *Let W be the cyclic subspace generated by \vec{v} . Then*

1. *W is T -invariant. (Especially, this implies that W is the smallest T -invariant subspace containing \vec{v} .)*
2. *Let m be the largest integer satisfying the condition that $\alpha = \{\vec{v}, T(\vec{v}), \dots, T^{m-1}(\vec{v})\}$ is linearly independent. Then α forms a basis of W and $\dim W = m$.*
3. *Suppose*

$$T^m(\vec{v}) = a_0\vec{v} + a_1T(\vec{v}) + \dots + a_{m-1}T^{m-1}(\vec{v}).$$

Then the matrix representation of $T|_W$ is

$$\text{Rep}_\alpha(T|_W) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & a_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the characteristic polynomial of $T|_W$ is

$$f_{T|_W}(x) = x^m - a_{m-1}x^{m-1} - \dots - a_1x - a_0.$$

Proof.

(1) It is sufficient to show that $T(S) \subseteq W$, which is trivial.

(2) We will show that every elements in S is contained in $\text{span}(\alpha)$. Then α spans W and α is

a basis of W . By the definition of m , $\{\vec{v}, T(\vec{v}), \dots, T^m(\vec{v})\}$ is linearly dependent, so there exists a non-trivial linear relation:

$$c_0\vec{v} + c_1T(\vec{v}) + \dots + c_mT^m(\vec{v}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the first m terms of the right hand side are linearly independent, we must have $c_m \neq 0$. We conclude that $T^m(\vec{v})$ can be written as a linear combination of $\{\vec{v}, T(\vec{v}), \dots, T^{m-1}(\vec{v})\}$ and hence it is contained in $\text{span}(\alpha)$. Note that we have shown that $T(\alpha) = \{T(\vec{v}), \dots, T^m(\vec{v})\} \subseteq \text{span}(\alpha)$ which means $\text{span}(\alpha)$ is T -invariant. By (1), $\text{span}(\alpha) = W$.

(3) The matrix representation can be obtained directly from the definition. For example, the first column of the matrix is given by

$$\text{Rep}_\alpha(T(\vec{v})) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the last column of the matrix is given by

$$\text{Rep}_\alpha(T(T^{m-1}(\vec{v}))) = \text{Rep}_\alpha(T^m(\vec{v})) = \text{Rep}_\alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i T^i(\vec{v})\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, let us compute the characteristic polynomial

$$f_{T|_W}(x) = \det\left(xI - \text{Rep}_\alpha(T|_W)\right) = \det\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & -a_0 \\ -1 & x & \ddots & \ddots & -a_1 \\ 0 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & x - a_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The above determinant is left for students as an exercise. □

For a polynomial $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n \in F[x]$, set

$$g(T) = b_0I + b_1T + \dots + b_nT^n,$$

which is still a linear transformation from V to V . Here I stands for the identity map. Immediately followed by the above theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{T|_W}(T)(\vec{v}) &= (T^m - a_{m-1}T^{m-1} - \dots - a_1T - a_0I)\vec{v} \\ &= T^m(\vec{v}) - a_{m-1}T^{m-1}(\vec{v}) - \dots - a_1T(\vec{v}) - a_0\vec{v} = \vec{0}. \end{aligned}$$

We summarize the above result as the following.

Corollary 2. $f_{T|_W}(T)(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}$.

Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

Now we are ready for proving the well-known Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.

Theorem 3 (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem). *For any linear transformation $T : V \mapsto V$, we have $f_T(T) \equiv 0$. In other words, $f_T(T)$ is equal to the zero transformation on V .*

Proof. To show $f_T(T)$ is the zero transformation, we shall show that $f_T(T)(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}$ for all $\vec{v} \in V$. If $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$, then $f_T(T)(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$. (This holds for any linear transformation.) If $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$, let W be the cyclic subspace spanned by \vec{v} . Since W is T -invariant, there exists some $g(x) \in F[x]$ such that

$$f_T(x) = g(x)f_T|_W(x).$$

Then by the above corollary,

$$f_T(T)(\vec{v}) = g(T)f_T|_W(T)(\vec{v}) = g(T)(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}.$$

□

Cayley-Hamilton Theorem shows that there always exists some polynomial $f(x)$ such that $f(T)$ is the zero transform. Consider the collection of such polynomials

$$\text{Ann}(T) = \{f(x) \in F[x] | f(T) \equiv 0\},$$

called the annihilator of T . Then we can rewrite the Cayley Hamilton Theorem as

Theorem 4 (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem). *The characteristic polynomial $f_T(x)$ of T is contained in its annihilator $\text{Ann}(T)$.*