

PAPA*: Path-Aware Parallel A*

AAAI 2015 Submission X

Abstract

PAPA* is an anytime parallel heuristic search algorithm based on ARA* and PA*SE, which are in turn based on A*.

Fancy Stuff

Algorithm 1 bound(s)

```

 $g_{front} := \infty$ 
 $s' := \text{first node in } OPEN \cup BE$ 
 $g_{back} := g(s) + f(s') - f(s) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l$ 
while  $g_{back} < g(s) \leq g_{front}$  do
   $g_{front} := \min(g_{front}, g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s))$ 
   $s' := \text{node following } s' \text{ in } OPEN \cup BE$ 
   $g_{back} := g(s) + f(s') - f(s) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l$ 
end while
return  $\min(g_{front}, g_{back})$ 

```

Keys are always computed by $f(s) = g(s) + wh(s, s_{goal})$, and we assume all edge costs are bounded below by c_l . h must be consistent: $h(s, s') \leq c(s, s')$ and $h(s, s') \leq h(s, s'') + h(s'', s')$ for all s, s', s'' . For most applications, we recommend using $w = \epsilon$. However, our analysis will show that using small w yields strong parallelism guarantees. All operations on the data structures *OPEN*, *BE*, *CLOSED*, *FROZEN* are assumed to be atomic, i.e. they are implicitly preceded and succeeded by synchronous locks and unlocks to the data structure, respectively. ϵ decreases between iterations of the main() loop.

Lemma 1. *At all times, for all states $s, s' \neq s_{start}$:*

$$g(s) + f(s') - f(s) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l \leq g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(s) + f(s') - f(s) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l \\
 = & g(s') + w(h(s', s_{goal}) - h(s, s_{goal})) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l \\
 \leq & g(s') + wh(s', s) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l \\
 \leq & g(s') + \epsilon h(s', s) + (w - \epsilon)c_l + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l \\
 = & g(s') + (\epsilon - 1)c_l + \epsilon h(s', s) \\
 \leq & g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s)
 \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm 2 PAPA*

```

while  $g(s_{goal}) > bound(s_{goal})$  do
  remove an  $s$  from OPEN that has the smallest  $f(s)$ 
  among all states in OPEN with  $g(s) \leq bound(s)$  and
  let  $g_{bound} := bound(s)$ 
  if such an  $s$  does not exist then
    wait until OPEN or BE change
    continue
  end if
  insert  $s$  into BE
  insert  $s$  into CLOSED
  for all  $s' \in \text{successors}(s)$  do
    LOCK  $s'$ 
    if  $s'$  has not been generated yet then
       $g(s') := g_p(s') := \infty$ 
    else if  $\epsilon$  decreased since  $g_p(s')$  was last seen then
       $g_p(s') := \max(g_p(s') - (\epsilon_{old} - \epsilon)c(bp(s'), s'),$ 
         $g(s') + (\epsilon - 1)c(bp_p(s'), s'))$ 
    end if
     $g_p(s') = \min(g_p(s), g_{bound} + \epsilon c(s, s'))$ 
    if  $g(s') > g(s) + c(s, s')$  then
       $g(s') = g(s) + c(s, s')$ 
       $bp(s') = s$ 
      if  $s' \in \text{CLOSED}$  then
        insert  $s'$  in FROZEN
      else
        insert/update  $s'$  in OPEN with key  $f(s')$ 
      end if
    end if
    UNLOCK  $s'$ 
  end for
  remove  $s$  from BE
end while

```

Algorithm 3 main()

```

 $g(s_{start}) := 0$ 
 $g(s_{goal}) := g_p(s_{goal}) := \infty$ 
 $OPEN := BE := \emptyset$ 
 $FROZEN := \{s_{start}\}$ 
repeat
  choose  $\epsilon \in [1, \infty]$  and  $w \in [0, \epsilon]$ 
   $OPEN := OPEN \cup FROZEN$  with keys  $f(s)$ 
   $CLOSED := FROZEN := \emptyset$ 
  for all  $s \in OPEN$  do
     $g_p(s) := \epsilon g(s)$ 
  end for
  run PAPA* on multiple threads in parallel
until path is good enough or planning time runs out

```

Lemma 2. $bound(s) \leq \min_{s' \in OPEN \cup BE} g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s)$. Furthermore, $g(s) \leq bound(s)$ iff $g(s) \leq \min_{s' \in OPEN \cup BE} g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s)$.

Proof. By construction, $bound(s)$ is bounded above by $g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s)$ for states s' which are checked in the loop. As for the remaining states $s' \in OPEN \cup BE$, the algorithm ensures that $bound(s) \leq g(s) + f(s') - f(s) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l$ for these by using a minimum representative. By Lemma 1, it follows that

$$bound(s) \leq \min_{s' \in OPEN \cup BE} g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s).$$

For the second part, note that the loop in $bound(s)$ terminates under only two conditions. Either $g(s) > g_{front}$, in which case we have $g(s) > g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s) \geq bound(s)$ for the s' which began the final iteration; or $g(s) \leq g_{back}$, in which case $g(s) \leq bound(s)$ iff $g(s) \leq g_{front}$ iff $g(s) \leq g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s)$ for all $s' \in OPEN \cup BE$. \square

Lemma 3. Fix a state s with $g^*(s) < \infty$ and let $\pi = \langle s_0, s_1, \dots, s_N \rangle$ be a minimum-cost path with $s_0 = s_{start}$ and $s_N = s$. Fix the minimum i such that $s_i \in OPEN \cup BE \cup FROZEN$, or $i = N$ if there is no such s_i . Then $g(s_j) = g^*(s_j)$ for all $j \leq i$. Furthermore, if π was chosen in such a way that $bp(s_j) = s_{j-1}$ whenever $g(s_j) = g^*(s_j)$, then $g(s_j) > g^*(s_j)$ for all $j > i$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on time: noting the lemma holds at initialization, we show that it can never become false. Suppose for contradiction that it becomes false at some point. Since $g(s')$ never changes after achieving $g^*(s')$, it must be the case that the first state s' along some optimal path to lie in $OPEN \cup BE \cup FROZEN$ has stopped being in this set. This can only happen by expanding s' before its successor along the path. But then, the successor is added to $OPEN$ and its g -value is made optimal by the expansion of s' . (TODO: what if g was already optimal so it's not added to $OPEN$?) Therefore, the invariant is maintained. \square

Theorem 1. For all states s , $bound(s) \leq \epsilon g^*(s)$. Hence, for all $s \in CLOSED$, $g(s) \leq \epsilon g^*(s)$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the order in which states are expanded.

Fix a minimum-cost path π from s_{start} to s such that $bp(s_j) = s_{j-1}$ whenever $g(s_j) = g^*(s_j)$. Let s' be the first node on it which is in $OPEN \cup BE$. There are two cases to consider, depending on whether π has a $CLOSED$ state before s' .

If so, then every state between it and s' is also in $CLOSED$, since s' is the first state on π to be in $OPEN \cup BE$. In particular, let $s_p \in CLOSED$ be the predecessor of s' on π . By the induction hypothesis, $g(s_p) \leq \epsilon g^*(s_p)$. Therefore,

$$g_p(s') \leq g(s_p) + \epsilon c(s_p, s') \leq \epsilon(g^*(s_p) + c(s_p, s')) = \epsilon g^*(s').$$

In the other case, π has no $CLOSED$ state before s' . Since $FROZEN \subset CLOSED$, it follows that s' is the first state on π to lie in $OPEN \cup BE \cup FROZEN$. By Lemma 3, $g(s') = g^*(s')$. Furthermore, $g_p(s') \leq \epsilon g(s')$. Therefore,

$$g_p(s') \leq \epsilon g(s') = \epsilon g^*(s').$$

In either case,

$$g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s) \leq \epsilon(g^*(s') + c^*(s', s)) = \epsilon g^*(s).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2,

$$bound(s) \leq \min_{s' \in OPEN \cup BE} g_p(s') + \epsilon h(s', s) \leq \epsilon g^*(s).$$

\square

Corollary 1. At the end of a $main()$ loop iteration, the path obtained by following the back-pointers $bp(\cdot)$ from s_{goal} to s_{start} is ϵ -suboptimal.

Proof. The termination condition of PAPA* implies $g(s_{goal}) \leq bound(s_{goal})$. By construction, the path given by following back-pointers costs at most $g(s_{goal})$. The claim now follows from Theorem 1. \square

Performance Guarantees

Consider a simplified version of PAPA* which ignores the loop in $bound(s)$: we call it blind PAPA*. In this case, no g_p values need be computed nor stored, and $bound(s)$ is simply $g(s) + f_{min} - f(s) + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l$ where f_{min} is the minimum f -value in $OPEN \cup BE$. Blind PAPA* can only expand states which would be proved safe with zero iterations of the $bound(s)$ loop in ordinary PAPA*. Thus, all of the performance guarantees we prove for blind PAPA* also hold for PAPA*.

Theorem 2. If $w \leq 1$, the parallel depth of blind PAPA* is bounded above by

$$\min \left(\frac{\epsilon g^*(s_{goal})}{(1-w)c_l}, \frac{(\epsilon g^*(s_{goal}))^2}{(4\epsilon - 2w - 2)c_l^2} \right).$$

Proof. We prove the two bounds separately. For the first, note that if the lowest f -value is f_{min} , every state with f -value up to $f_{min} + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l$ can simultaneously be

expanded. Since h is consistent, the successors' f -values is at least $f_{min} + (1 - w)c_l$. Therefore, the depth is at most

$$\frac{\epsilon g^*(s_{goal})}{(1 - w)c_l}$$

For the other bound, notice that since f -values never decrease along paths, once the minimum f -value in $OPEN$ surpasses f_{min} , from then on all nodes with f -value up to $f_{min} + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l$ are always safe to expand. And during each iteration of the simultaneous expansions, the g -value of all such nodes increases by at least c_l . Since g cannot exceed f , this continues for at most $(f_{min} + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l)/c_l = f_{min}/c_l + 2\epsilon - w - 1$ iterations, after which every node in $OPEN$ has f -value $\geq f_{min} + (2\epsilon - w - 1)c_l$. Continuing this process until f_{min} exceeds $\epsilon g^*(s_{goal})$, a bound on the total iteration count is:

$$2\epsilon - w - 1 + 2(2\epsilon - w - 1) + 3(2\epsilon - w - 1) + \dots + \epsilon g^*(s_{goal})/c_l \cong (\epsilon g^*(s_{goal})/c_l)^2 / (4\epsilon - 2w - 2). \quad \square$$

Edgewise Supoptimality

Let $k(s)$ be the least number of edges used in a minimum-cost path to s and fix $\delta > 0$. If g_{front} and g_{back} are each increased by 2δ , then by similar arguments to the proofs earlier in the paper, we find that, upon expanding s , $g(s) \leq \epsilon g^*(s) + \delta k(s)$.

Here's an extension inspired by (Klein and Subramanian 1997): suppose the mean edge cost c_m along the optimal path is known to be much greater than the lower bound c_l . In such a case, the bound in Theorem 2 scales poorly. To remedy the situation, we "grow" the small edges, effectively running PAPA* with $c'_l = c_l + \delta$ and $c'(s, s') = \max(c(s, s'), c'_l)$.

Theorem 3. *If the mean cost of the edges along the minimum-cost path to s is at least c_m , then upon expansion, $g(s) \leq \epsilon(1 + \delta/c_m)g^*(s)$. Therefore, to get the same optimality factor as ϵ , we can set $\delta = (\epsilon - 1)c_m$.*

Proof. We assumed $c_m \leq g^*(s)/k(s)$, so $k(s) \leq g^*(s)/c_m$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $g'(s) \leq \epsilon g^*(s) \leq \epsilon(g^*(s) + \delta k(s)) \leq \epsilon(1 + \delta/c_m)g^*(s)$. \square

Corollary 2. *If $w \leq 1$, the parallel depth of blind PAPA* can be improved to*

$$\frac{\epsilon g^*(s_{goal})}{(1 - w)(c_l + (\epsilon - 1)c_m)}.$$

References

Klein, P. N., and Subramanian, S. 1997. A randomized parallel algorithm for single-source shortest paths. *Journal of Algorithms* 25(2):205–220.