

## Lenguajes y compiladores

### Práctico 5

#### Repaso.

- (1) Escriba un teorema de coincidencia para la semántica operacional.
- (2) Después de terminar con los ejercicios, pruebe el teorema de coincidencia (sin hacer ninguna inducción).

- (1) Dado el programa  $P \equiv$

```
newvar x := y + x in  
  while x > 0 do if x > 0 then skip else fail
```

Caracterice (sin calcular la semántica) los estados  $\sigma$  en los cuales  $P$  se comporta como **skip**.

$$\{ \sigma \in \Sigma : \sigma_y + \sigma_x \leq 0 \} \quad (\text{si entra al while no termina})$$

- (2) Demostrar o refutar las siguientes equivalencias usando semántica denotacional:

(a)  $c; \text{while true do skip} \equiv \text{while true do skip}.$

(b)  $c; \text{fail} \equiv \text{fail}.$

(c)  $\text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } v := v + 1; \text{fail} \equiv$   
 $\text{newvar } w := e \text{ in } w := w + 1; \text{fail}.$

(d)  $\text{while } b \text{ do fail} \equiv \text{if } b \text{ then fail else skip}.$

(e)  $x := 0; \text{catch } x := 1 \text{ in while } x < 1 \text{ do fail} \equiv$   
 $x := 0; \text{while } x < 1 \text{ do catch } x := 1 \text{ in fail}$

Considere el punto (a) para el lenguaje sin fallas: ¿la respuesta es la misma que para el lenguaje con fallas?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \llbracket c; \text{while true do skip} \rrbracket_\sigma &= \llbracket \text{while true do skip} \rrbracket_* (\llbracket c \rrbracket_\sigma) \\ \text{si } \llbracket c \rrbracket_\sigma &= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_\sigma = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle \end{aligned}$$

y entonces

$$\llbracket \text{while true do skip} \rrbracket_* \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$= \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$\neq \perp$$

$$= \llbracket \text{while true do skip} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$(b) \quad c; \text{fail} \equiv \text{fail}$$

$$\text{falso} \quad \text{wn } c = \text{while true do skip}$$

$$\llbracket c; \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* (\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma)$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* \perp$$

$$= \perp$$

$$\neq \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle = \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$(c) \quad \llbracket \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } v := v+1; \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* (\llbracket \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } v := v+1 \rrbracket \sigma)$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* ((\lambda \sigma' \in \Sigma: [\sigma' \mid v := \sigma v])_* \llbracket v := v+1 \rrbracket [\sigma \mid v := [e] \sigma])$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* ((\lambda \sigma' \in \Sigma: [\sigma' \mid v := \sigma v]) [\underbrace{\sigma \mid v := [e] \sigma \mid v := [e] \sigma + 1}_{\sigma'}])$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket [\sigma' \mid v := \sigma v]$$

$$= \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$\llbracket \text{newvar } w := e \text{ in } w := w+1; \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* (\llbracket \text{newvar } w := e \text{ in } w := w+1 \rrbracket \sigma)$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* ((\lambda \sigma' \in \Sigma: [\sigma' \mid w := \sigma w])_* \llbracket w := w+1 \rrbracket [\sigma \mid w := [e] \sigma])$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket_* ((\lambda \sigma' \in \Sigma: [\sigma' \mid w := \sigma w]) [\underbrace{\sigma \mid w := [e] \sigma \mid w := [e] \sigma + 1}_{\sigma'}])$$

$$= \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket [\sigma' \mid w := \sigma w]$$

$$= \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$\llbracket \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } v := v+1; \text{fail} \rrbracket \equiv \llbracket \text{newvar } w := e \text{ in } w := w+1; \text{fail} \rrbracket$$

$$(d) \quad \llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do fail} \rrbracket \sigma = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F^i + \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_1 \sigma$$

$$F^0 \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} \sigma = \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp}$$

$$F \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} = \begin{cases} (\perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp})_*, \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma & \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \\ \sigma & \neg \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sigma & \neg \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \\ \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle & \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \end{cases}$$

$$F^2 \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} = \begin{cases} (F \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp})_*, \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma & \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \\ \sigma & \neg \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle & \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \\ \sigma & \neg \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \end{cases}$$

$$F^i \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} = \begin{cases} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle & \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \\ \sigma & \neg \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \end{cases}$$

$$g = \bigsqcup^i \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} = \begin{cases} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle & \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \\ \sigma & \neg \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do fail} \rrbracket \sigma = g$$

$$\llbracket \text{if } b \text{ then fail else skip} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$= \text{if } \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \text{ then } \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma \text{ else } \llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$= \begin{cases} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle & \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \\ \sigma & \neg \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma \end{cases}$$

$$\llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do fail} \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket \text{if } b \text{ then fail else skip} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$(e) \llbracket x := 0; \text{catch } x := 1 \text{ in while } x < 2 \text{ do fail} \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$= \llbracket \text{catch } x := 1 \text{ in while } x < 2 \text{ do fail} \rrbracket * (\llbracket x := 0 \rrbracket \sigma)$$

$$= \llbracket \text{catch } x := 1 \text{ in while } x < 2 \text{ do fail} \rrbracket [\sigma | x:0]$$

$$= \llbracket x := 1 \rrbracket + \llbracket \text{while } x < 2 \text{ do fail} \rrbracket [\sigma | x:0]$$

(tomando g del ejercicio anterior)

$$= \llbracket x := 1 \rrbracket + \langle \text{abort}, [\sigma | x:0] \rangle$$

$$= \llbracket x := 1 \rrbracket [\sigma | x:0]$$

$$= [\sigma \mid x:1]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x:=0; \text{while } x \neq 1 \text{ do catch } x:=1 \text{ in fail} \rrbracket \sigma &= \\ \llbracket \text{while } x \neq 1 \text{ do catch } x:=1 \text{ in fail} \rrbracket_* (\llbracket x:=0 \rrbracket \sigma) &= \\ \llbracket \text{while } x \neq 1 \text{ do catch } x:=1 \text{ in fail} \rrbracket [\sigma \mid x:0] \end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \text{while } x \neq 1 \text{ do catch } x:=1 \text{ in fail} \rrbracket \sigma = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} \sigma$$

$$\begin{aligned} F \omega \sigma &= \begin{cases} \omega_* \llbracket \text{catch } x:=1 \text{ in fail} \rrbracket \sigma & \llbracket x \neq 1 \rrbracket \sigma \\ \sigma & \text{c.c.} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \omega_* (\llbracket x:=1 \rrbracket + \llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma) & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \omega_* (\llbracket x:=1 \rrbracket (\text{abort}, \sigma)) & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \omega_* [\sigma \mid x:1] & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$F^0 \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} \sigma = \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} \sigma &= \begin{cases} \perp & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \perp & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^2 \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} \sigma &= \begin{cases} (F \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp})_* [\sigma \mid x:1] & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} [\sigma \mid x:1] & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$F^3 \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp} \sigma = \begin{cases} (F^2 \perp_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon \perp})_* [\sigma \mid x:1] & \sigma x \neq 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} [\sigma | x:1] & \sigma x < 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F^i_{1 \rightarrow \infty} \sigma = \begin{cases} [\sigma | x:1] & \sigma x < 1 \\ \sigma & \sigma x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$[\text{while } x \geq 1 \text{ do catch } x := 1 \text{ in fail}] \sigma = [\sigma | x:0]$$

$$= [\sigma | x:1]$$

$$[x := 0; \text{while } x \geq 1 \text{ do catch } x := 1 \text{ in fail}] \sigma \equiv$$

$$[x := 0; \text{catch } x := 1 \text{ in while } x \geq 1 \text{ do fail}] \sigma$$

En el lenguaje sin fallas el  $\perp$  es equivalente ya que

$$[\text{while true do skip}] \sigma = \perp$$

(3) Computar la semántica operacional en estados  $\sigma$  tales que  $\sigma x = 2, \sigma y = 1$ .  
de los siguientes programas

(1)  $y := x + y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip}$

(2) **while**  $x > 0$  **do**

$(y := x + y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip})$

$$(1) \langle y := x + y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip}, [\sigma | x:2 | y:1] \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\langle \text{if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip}; [\sigma | x:2 | y:3] \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\langle [\sigma | x:2 | y:3 | x:1] \rangle \rightarrow \langle [\sigma | y:3 | x:1] \rangle$$

$$\{\{ y := x + y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip} \} \} \sigma = [\sigma | y:3 | x:1]$$

$\hookrightarrow \text{ con } \sigma x = 2, \sigma y = 1$

$$(2) \langle \text{while } x > 0 \text{ do } y := x + y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip}, [\sigma | x:2 | y:1] \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\langle y := x + y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip}; \text{while } x > 0 \text{ do } \dots, [\sigma | x:2 | y:1] \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\langle \text{if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x - 1 \text{ else skip}; \text{while } x > 0 \text{ do } \dots, [\sigma | x:2 | y:3] \rangle \rightarrow$$

$\langle x := x-1; \text{ while } x > 0 \text{ do } \dots, [\sigma | x:2 | y:3] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{ while } x > 0 \text{ do } y := x+y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}, [\sigma | x:1 | y:3] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle y := x+y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}; \text{ while } \dots, [\sigma | x:1 | y:3] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}; \text{ while } \dots, [\sigma | x:1 | y:4] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle x := x-1; \text{ while } \dots, [\sigma | x:1 | y:4] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{ while } x > 0 \text{ do } \dots, [\sigma | x:0 | y:4] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle [\sigma | x:0 | y:4] \rangle$

$\{\{ \text{ while } x > 0 \text{ do } y := y+x; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip} \} \} [\sigma | x:2 | y:1] = [\sigma | x:0 | y:4]$

(4) Computar la semántica operacional del siguiente programa en un estado arbitrario

**newvar**  $x := 2$  **in**

**while**  $x > 0$  **do**

$y := x + y$ ; **if**  $y > 0$  **then**  $x := x - 1$  **else skip**

$\langle \text{newvar } x := 2 \text{ in while } x > 0 \text{ do } y := x+y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{newvar } x := 2 \text{ in } y := x+y \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}, \text{ while } \dots, [\sigma' | x:\sigma x] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{newvar } x := 2 \text{ in if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}; \text{ while } \dots, [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:\sigma y+2] \rangle \rightarrow$

sup.  $\sigma y > 0$   $\langle \text{newvar } x := 1 \text{ in while } x > 0 \text{ do } \dots, [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:\sigma y+2] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{newvar } x := 1 \text{ in } y := x+y; \text{ if } x > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}; \text{ while } \dots, [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:\sigma y+2] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{newvar } x := 1 \text{ in if } x > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip}; \text{ while } x > 0, \dots, [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:\sigma y+2+1] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle \text{newvar } x := 0 \text{ while } x > 0 \text{ do } \dots, [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:\sigma y+2+1] \rangle \rightarrow$

$\langle [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:\sigma y+2+1] \rangle$

$\{\{ \text{newvar } x := 2 \text{ in while } x > 0 \text{ do } y := x+y; \text{ if } y > 0 \text{ then } x := x-1 \text{ else skip} \} \} \sigma$

si  $\sigma y > 0$   $= [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:\sigma y+2+1]$

si  $\sigma y < 0 \wedge \sigma y \text{ impar}$   $= [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:4]$

si  $\sigma y < 0 \wedge \sigma y \text{ par}$   $= [\sigma' | x:\sigma x | y:5]$

(5) Pruebe:

(a) Si  $\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma'$ , entonces  $\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle$

(b) Si  $\langle c, [\sigma | x : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma'$ , entonces

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* [\sigma' | x : \sigma x].$$

(c) Si  $\langle c, [\sigma | x : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c', \sigma' \rangle$ , entonces

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma' x \text{ in } c', [\sigma' | x : \sigma x] \rangle$$

Supongamos  $\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle$ .

$$(a) \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma' = \langle c_0^0, \sigma^0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0^1, \sigma^1 \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle c_0^{n-1}, \sigma^{n-1} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \text{con } n \geq 1$$

por ind.

caso  $n=1$

$$\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$

entonces  $\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

$$\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle$$

Por H.I como  $\langle c_0^1, \sigma^1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma'$  entonces  $\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle$

$$\langle c_0; \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0^1, \sigma^1 \rangle$$

$$\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0^1; c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle$$

y por H.I  $\langle c_0^1; c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle$  luego  $\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle$

(b) Supongamos  $\langle c, [\sigma | x : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma'$

entonces  $\langle c, [\sigma | x : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle = \langle c_0, \sigma^0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle c_n, \sigma^{n-1} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad n \geq 1$

caso  $n=1$

$$\langle c_0, \sigma^0 \rangle = \langle c, [\sigma | x : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\sigma' | x : \sigma x]$$

H.I

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma' x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma' | x : \sigma x] \rangle$$

$$\sigma^0 = [\sigma' | x : \sigma x]$$

$$\sigma^1 = [\sigma^0 | x : \sigma^1 x]$$

$$\langle c_1, [\sigma^0 | x : \sigma^1 x] \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma'$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle \rightarrow^* [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x]$$

Por H.I  $\langle c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma^1$  entonces  $\langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, \sigma^0 \rangle \rightarrow^* [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x]$

$$\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle$$

y por H.I  $\langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle \rightarrow^* [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x]$

luego  $\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x]$

(c) Supongamos  $\langle c, [\sigma | x : [e] \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma^1$

entonces  $\langle c, [\sigma | x : [e] \sigma] \rangle = \langle c_0, \sigma^0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle c_n, \sigma^{n-1} \rangle \rightarrow \langle c', \sigma^1 \rangle \quad n \geq 1$

Caso  $n=1$

$$\langle c_0, \sigma^0 \rangle = \langle c, [\sigma | x : [e] \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow \langle c', \sigma^1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c', [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle$$

H.I

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle$$

$$\sigma^0 = [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x]$$

$$\sigma^1 = [\sigma^0 | x : \sigma^1 x]$$

$$\langle c_1, [\sigma^0 | x : \sigma^1 x] \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c', \sigma^1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c', [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle$$

Por H.I

$$\langle c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma^1 \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle$$

y por H.I  $\langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c_1, [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c', [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle$

luego  $\langle \text{newvar } x := e \text{ in } c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{newvar } x := \sigma^1 x \text{ in } c', [\sigma^1 | x : \sigma x] \rangle$

(6) Demostrar la corrección de la semántica operacional one-step (incluyendo **fail**) respecto de la semántica denotacional, demostrando simultáneamente

(a)  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \implies \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \sigma'$ .

(b)  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle \implies \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$ .

(c)  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c', \sigma' \rangle \implies \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket c' \rrbracket \sigma'$ .

Use inducción en la derivación.



(a) Supongamos  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma'$

$c = \text{skip}$

caso base

$$\llbracket \text{skip} \rrbracket \sigma = \sigma \quad \gamma \quad \langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma$$

$c = v := e$

$$\langle v := e, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma]$$

$$\llbracket v := e \rrbracket \sigma = [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma]$$

$c = \text{while } b \text{ do } c', \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = F$

$$\llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \rrbracket \sigma = \sigma \quad \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = F$$

$$\frac{(\llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = F)}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c', \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma}$$

$c = \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c$

caso inductivo

$$\frac{\langle c', [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_1}{\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c', \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\sigma_1 \mid v : \sigma v]}_{\sigma'}$$

$$\llbracket c' \rrbracket [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] = \sigma_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c' \rrbracket \sigma &= (\lambda \sigma'. \llbracket c' \rrbracket [\sigma' \mid v : \sigma v]) \llbracket c \rrbracket [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \\ &= (\lambda \sigma'. \llbracket c' \rrbracket [\sigma' \mid v : \sigma v]) \sigma_1 \\ &= [\sigma_1 \mid v : \sigma v]_{\sigma'} \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$

$c = \text{fail}$

caso base

$$\langle \text{fail}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$\llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

$c = c_0; c_1$  con

$$\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$$

casos inductivos

$$\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket c_0; c_1 \rrbracket \sigma &= \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma) = \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$c = \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c' \text{ con } \langle c' [\sigma \mid v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$

$$\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c' \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, [\sigma' \mid v : \sigma v] \rangle_{\sigma''}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c' \rrbracket \sigma &= (\lambda \delta'. [\delta' | v : \sigma v]) * (\llbracket c' \rrbracket [\delta' | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma]) \\
&= (\lambda \delta'. [\delta' | v : \sigma v]) \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \delta' \rangle \\
&= \langle \text{abort}, [\delta' | v : \sigma v] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Supongamos  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c', \delta' \rangle$

$c = \text{if } b \text{ then } c_0 \text{ else } c_1$  casos base

$$\begin{array}{c}
\llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = T \\
\hline
\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = F \\
\hline
\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket \sigma$$

$c = \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \quad \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = T$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = T \\
\hline
\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c'; \text{while } b \text{ do } c', \sigma \rangle
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \rrbracket \sigma &= \llbracket c'; \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \rrbracket \sigma \\
&= \llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \rrbracket * (\llbracket c' \rrbracket \sigma) \\
&= F \llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \rrbracket \sigma \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} w = \llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \rrbracket \\ Fw = w \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \llbracket \text{while } b \text{ do } c' \rrbracket \sigma
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
c = c_0; c_1 \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0', \delta' \rangle \\
\hline
\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0'; c_1, \delta' \rangle
\end{array}$$

casos inductivos

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket c_0; c_1 \rrbracket \sigma &= \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket * (\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma) \\
&= \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket * \llbracket c_0' \rrbracket \delta' \\
&= \llbracket c_0'; c_1 \rrbracket \delta'
\end{aligned}$$

$$c = \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c' \quad \langle c', [\delta' | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma] \rangle \rightarrow \langle c'', \delta' \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c', \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{newvar } v := \sigma' v \text{ in } c'', [\delta' | v : \sigma v] \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c' \rrbracket \delta' &= (\lambda \delta'. [\delta' | v : \sigma v]) * (\llbracket c' \rrbracket [\delta' | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma]) \\
&= (\lambda \delta'. [\delta' | v : \sigma v]) * (\llbracket c'' \rrbracket \delta') \\
&= \llbracket \text{newvar } v := \sigma' v \text{ in } c'' \rrbracket [\delta' | v : \sigma v]
\end{aligned}$$

(7) Demostrar simultáneamente:

(a)  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma' \iff \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \sigma'$ .

(b)  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle \iff \llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$ .

Use inducción en la estructura de  $c$ . Tendrá que usar los resultados probados en el ejercicio 5. El caso **while** requiere a su vez una inducción en el mínimo  $n$  tal que  $F^n(\perp)\sigma \neq \perp$ .

Inducción en  $c$

Casos base

$c = \text{skip}$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \sigma$$

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma \quad \text{por ende} \quad \langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma$$

$c = v := e$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = [\delta! v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma]$$

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\delta! v : \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma]$$

$c = \text{fail}$

$$\llbracket \text{fail} \rrbracket \sigma = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{fail}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

Casos inductivos

$c = c_0; c_1$  con  $\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma = \sigma_0$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_* (\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma)$$

$$= \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket \sigma_0$$

$$= \sigma'$$

H.I.  $\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma_0$

$$\langle c_1, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma'$$

Por ej. 5 y H.I

$$\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle c_1, \sigma' \rangle \rightarrow^* \sigma'$$

con  $\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_* (\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma)$$

$$= \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_* \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$$

$$\text{H.I. } \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$$

$$\langle c_1, \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

Por ej. y H.I

$$\langle c_0; c_1, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle c_1, \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma \rangle$$

Los casos con  $\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma_0} = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$  son totalmente análogos.

$c = \text{if } b \text{ then } c_0 \text{ else } c_1$  con  $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \sigma_0$

Solo problema con  $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \top$  ya que son análogos

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \llbracket c_0 \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$

$$= \sigma_0$$

$$\text{H.I. } \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_0$$

$$\llbracket b \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \top$$

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle$$

y por H.I.  $\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_0$ , luego  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_0$ .

$$\text{con } \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \llbracket c_0 \rrbracket_{\sigma}$$

$$= \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$$

$$\text{H.I. } \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$$

$$\llbracket b \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \top$$

$$\langle c, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle$$

y por H.I.  $\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$  luego  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$

$c = \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c'$  con  $\llbracket c' \rrbracket_{[\sigma' | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma}]} = \sigma'$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = (\lambda \delta'. [\sigma' | v : \sigma v]) * (\llbracket c' \rrbracket_{[\sigma' | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma}]})$$

$$= (\lambda \delta'. [\sigma' | v : \sigma v]) \sigma'$$

$$= [\sigma' | v : \sigma v]$$

$$\text{H.I. } \langle c', [\sigma' | v : \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma}] \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma'$$

por ej. y H.I

$$\langle \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c', \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} [\sigma' | v : \sigma v]$$

$c = \text{newvar } v := e \text{ in } c'$  con  $\llbracket c' \rrbracket_{\sigma|v: \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma} = \langle \text{abort}, \delta' \rangle$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = (\lambda \delta'. \llbracket c' \rrbracket_{\sigma|v: \delta v}) * (\llbracket c' \rrbracket_{\sigma|v: \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma})$$

$$= (\lambda \delta'. \llbracket c' \rrbracket_{\sigma|v: \delta v}) \langle \text{abort}, \delta' \rangle$$

$$= \langle \text{abort}, \llbracket c' \rrbracket_{\sigma|v: \delta v} \rangle$$

$$\text{H.o.I.} \quad \langle c', \llbracket \sigma|v: \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \delta' \rangle$$

por ej. s y H.o.I

$$\langle c', \llbracket \sigma|v: \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \delta' \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{newvar } v \text{ in } c', \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{abort}, \llbracket \sigma|v: \delta v \rrbracket \rangle$$

$c = \text{catchin } c_0 \text{ with } c_1$  con  $\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \delta_0$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_{\sigma} + (\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket_{\sigma})$$

$$= \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_{\perp \sigma_0}$$

$$= \sigma_0$$

$$\text{H.o.I.} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_0$$

por ej. s; H.o.I y dy. de catchin

$$\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_0 \Rightarrow \langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_0$$

$$\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle$$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_{\sigma} + (\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket_{\sigma})$$

$$= \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket_{\perp \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle}$$

$$= \sigma_1$$

$$\text{H.o.I.} \quad \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle \quad \langle c_1, \sigma_0 \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_1$$

por ej. s; H.o.I y dy. de catchin

$$\langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma_0 \rangle \Rightarrow \langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle c_1, \sigma_0 \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_1$$

$c = \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0$

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\sigma} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F^i \perp \sigma = \delta'$$

Inducción en  $k$  tal que  $F^k \perp \sigma \neq \perp$

$$F^k \perp \sigma = \delta'$$

$$k=2 \quad F^2 \perp \sigma \neq \perp \iff \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = F$$

entonces  $\llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = F$

$$\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma$$

Paso inductivo

$$F^{k-1} \perp \sigma = \perp \quad \vee \quad F^k \perp \sigma \neq \perp \quad \vee \quad \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = T$$

$$F^k \perp \sigma = (F^{k-1} \perp) * (\underbrace{\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma}_{\sigma_0}) = \sigma'$$

Por H.I sobre  $k$   $\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma'$

Por H.I  $\langle c_0 \rangle \langle c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma_0$

e.g.  $\langle c_0; \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma'$

$$\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } c_0, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma'$$

(8) Demostrar la equivalencia entre la semántica denotacional y la operacional del lenguaje imperativo simple incluyendo **fail**. Debe salir inmediatamente de los dos ejercicios anteriores.

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket = \{ \{ c \} \}$$

Si  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \sigma'$  entonces por ej. anterior  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma'$

Si  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$  entonces por ej. anterior  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle \text{abort}, \sigma' \rangle$

Si  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \perp$  Hay que ver que  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \uparrow$

Supongamos  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \sigma'$

entonces  $\langle c, \sigma \rangle = \langle c_0, \sigma_0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle c_1, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle c_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle \rightarrow \sigma' \quad n \geq 1$

por. ej. esto nos dice que  $\llbracket c_{n-1} \rrbracket \sigma_{n-1} = \sigma'$

pero esto significa que  $c = c_0; c_1; \dots; c_{n-1}$  y

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket \sigma = \llbracket c_1; \dots; c_{n-1} \rrbracket * (\llbracket c_0 \rrbracket \sigma)$$

$$= \llbracket c_1; \dots; c_{n-1} \rrbracket \sigma_1$$

$$= \llbracket c_2; \dots; c_{n-1} \rrbracket * (\llbracket c_1 \rrbracket \sigma_1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= \llbracket c_{n-1} \rrbracket * \sigma_{n-1}$$

$$= \sigma' \quad \text{Lo cual contradice la hipótesis}$$