```
Horseshoe Crabs
###### Clear environment and load libraries
rm(list - ls())
library(coda)
library(rsq)
library(mvtnorm)
##### Data
data("hcrabs")
dim(hcrabs)
head(hcrabs)
#note that
%color: 1 - light; 2 - medium light; 3 - medium; 4 - medium dark; 5 - dark (not all of these colors appear)
#spine condition: 1 - both good, 2 - one worn or broken, 3 - both worn or broken
%carapace width: cm
#weight: kg
###### Now to the sampler
#Data summaries
Y <- horabs%num.satellites
X <- model.matrix(-color*spine*width*weight,data-hcrabs)
n <- nrow (X)
p <- ncol (X)</pre>
 #Hyperparameters for the prior beta_0 <- matrix(0,nrow-p) Sigma_0 <- diag(1,p)
  #Set paramters for proposal density c <- 0.5
  delta <- 0.1 #use it to tune acceptance ratio var_prop <- delta*var(log(Y+c))*solve(t(X)%*%X)
  #Initial values for sampler beta <- beta_0
 <code>#First</code> set number of iterations and burn-in, then set seed <code>n.iter <- 10000</code> burn in <- 0.3m_iter thin <- 1 set.seed (1234)
 #Set counter for acceptances accept_counter <- 0
 #Set null matrices to save samples
BETA <- matrix(0,nrow-n_iter,ncol-p)
  #Now, to the Gibbs sampler for(s in 1:(n_iter+burn_in)){
      #generate proposal
beta_star <- t(rmvnorm(1,beta,var_prop))</pre>
     **Foompute acceptance ratio/probability
fdo so on log scale because r can be numerically unstable
log_r <= sun(dpois(*,exp(x**beta star),log-T)) + dmvnorm(c(beta_star),beta_0,Sigma_0,log-T) -
sum(dpois(*,exp(X**beta),log-T)) - dmvnorm(c(beta),beta_0,Sigma_0,log-T)
     if(log(runif(1)) < log_r) {
   accept_counter <- accept_counter + 1
   beta <- beta_star
}</pre>
     if(s > burn_in){
  BETA[(s-burn_in),] <- beta</pre>
  #Check acceptance rate accept_counter/(n_iter+burn_in)
 #thinning
sample_thin <- seq(1,n_iter,by-thin)
BETA_thinned <- BETA[sample_thin,]
colnames(BETA_thinned) <- colnames(X)</pre>
plot(mcmc(BETA_thinned))

ftrace plots look fine
autocorr.plot(mcmc(BETA_thinned))

funlike Gibbs sampling, we do have some stickiness when doing Metropolis/M-H

fyou also saw this in the lab
apply(BETA_thinned,2.effectiveSize)

fwe can still:

f1. increase burn-in

f2. lincrease the number of samples
f3. thin some more
f1 leave that as an exercise for you!!
```

#quick convergence diagnostics.
geweke.diag(mcmc(BETA_thinned))
#these are z-socres for the hypothesis that both parts of the chain come from the same distribution.
#so, Geweke's test is reassuring.

#posterior summaries
round(apply(BETA_thinned,2,mean),2)
round(apply(BETA_thinned,2,function(x) quantile(x,probs-c(0.025,0.975))),2)

#Just for reference, take a look at freqentist model freq model <- glm(num.satellites-color+spine+width+weight,family-poisson,data-hcrabs) summary(freq model) #quite similar...

 $\label{eq:proposed_prop_selection} \mbox{\sharp\#$} \mbox{$\sharp$$} \mbox{\sharp} \mbo$