Table 1. Table of hypotheses and associated specific predictions, whether each was supported ('yes'; signficant at $p<0.05$), rejected ('no'; opposite trend signficant at $p<0.05$), or found insigificant ('n.s.'; no significant correlation), and display items showing the results. 'RP' and 'DP' refer to ring- and diffuse- porous species, respectively.

	SCBI		Harvard Forest		
Hypotheses and Specific Predictions	RP	DP	RP	DP	Results
Warmer early springs result in earlier stem growth and longer growing seasons					
Day of year at which 25% of growth is achieved (DOY_{25}) is negatively correlated with early spring T.	yes	yes	yes	yes	Figs. 3-5
Day of year at which 50% of growth is achieved (DOY_{50}) is negatively correlated with early spring T.	yes	yes	yes	yes	Figs. 4-5
Day of year at which 75% of growth is achieved (DOY_{75}) is negatively correlated with early spring T.	n.s.	yes	yes	yes	Figs. 4-5
Day of year of max growth rate (DOY_{ip}) is negatively correlated with early spring T.	yes	yes	yes	yes	Fig. 4
Peak growing season length ($L_{PGS} = DOY_{75} - DOY_{25}$) is positively correlated with early spring T.	yes	yes	no	yes	Fig. 4
Maximum growth rates are independent of early spring temperatures.					
Max growth rate (g_{max}) is independent of early spring T.	n.s.	no (-)	no (+)	no (-)	Fig. 4
Annual stem growth responds positively to warmer spring temperatures.					
Annual growth (ΔDBH; dendrobands) is positively correlated with early spring T.	n.s.	n.s.	yes	no	Fig. 4
On the centennial time scale, tree ring width (RW) is positively correlated with early spring T.	mixed[1]	mixed[2]	n.s.	no[3]	Fig. 6

[1] One of nine species analyzed had significant positive response to April T_{max}; one had significant negative response to March T_{max}

[2] One of two species analyzed had signficant positive response to April T_{max}, both had negative response to May T_{max}

[3] One of the two species was negatively correlated with April T_{max}, and the other positively correlated with May T_{max}.

Table 2. Dominant ring- and diffuse-porous species at the Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute (SCBI) and Harvard Forest, along with sample sizes analyzed here.

				dendrometer bands		tree cores	
site	xylem porosity	species	species code	n trees	n tree-years	n cores	date range
SCBI	ring	Carya *cordiformis*	CACO	0	0	18	1917-2009
		Carya glabra	CAGL	0	0	39	1901-2009
		Carya ovalis	CAOVL	0	0	24	1896-2009
		Carya tomentosa	CATO	0	0	17	1926-2009
		Fraxinus americana	FRAM	0	0	69	1910-2009
		Quercus alba	QURU	34	197	66	1904-2009
		Quercus montana	QUPR	0	0	67	1893-2009
		Quercus rubra	QUAL	35	229	71	1870-2009
		Quercus velutina	QUVE	0	0	83	1902-2009
	diffuse	*Fagus grandifolia*	FAGR	13	89	81	1932-2009
		Liriodendron tulipifera	LITU	41	354	109	1920-2009
Harvard	ring	*Fraxinus americana*	FRAM	9	27	0	
		Quercus alba	QURU	118	575	179	1901-2014
		Quercus velutina	QUVE	11	50	0	
	diffuse	*Fagus grandifolia*	FAGR	8	45	0	
		Betula lenta	BELE	8	44	0	
		Betula populifolia	BEPO	5	24	0	
		Betula papyrifera	BEPA	3	13	0	
		Betula alleghaniensis	BEAL	21	90	44	1952-2013
		Prunus serotina	PRSE2	9	37	0	
		Acer rubrum	ACRU	144	669	59	1930-2014
		Acer pensylvanicum	ACPE	4	16	0	

Table 3. Summary of parameters describing the phenology and rate of growth for ring- and diffuse- porous species at SCBI and Harvard Forest.

	SCBI		Harvard Forest	
	ring	diffuse	ring	diffuse
critical T_{max} window	3/22-4/9	2/19-5/21	4/2-5/7	3/19-5/7
DOY_{25}	123 (May 4)	154 (June 4)	132 (May 15)	164 (June 14)
DOY_{50}	152 (June 2)	172 (June 22)	159 (June 9)	182 (July 2)
DOY_{75}	180 (June 30)	190 (July 9)	186 (July 6)	199 (July 19)
DOY_{ip}	152 (June 2)	173 (June 23)	161 (June 11)	183 (July 3)
g_{max} (mm/day)	0.046	0.061	0.03	0.025
L_{pgs}	56.5	35.8	54.5	35.1
ΔDBH (mm/yr)	4.7	3.6	3.1	1.4