Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

tvait	overala e l	unita	**************************************	forcet turn a/a\t	roforanas/-\‡	
trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) [†]	reference(s) [‡]	
Leaf anatomy and morpholog		2	1.00	T.D. T.C.D. D*!	7 0 40	
leaf area	LA	cm ²	↓ H	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 10	
loof maga man area /are	1040/	-2	↓ L	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 3, 10	
leaf mass per area (or	LMA (or	g cm ⁻²	Ϋ́Η	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 55, 64, 7, 2,	
inverse of specific leaf area)	1/SLA)		ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	3, 4, 6 1, 7, 2, 3, 5, 6	
leaf thickness		μm	个 H	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 11, 2, 13,	
lear trickress		μιιι	, ,,	irb, ieb, iew	16	
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 15, 2, 5	
leaf density		g cm ⁻³	ΛH	TeB	2	
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	6, 2	
			≈L	TeN	5	
pinnate lobation		cm ²	ΛH	TeB	3	
			\downarrow H	TeB	8	
			ΛL	TeB	8, 3	
leaf packing		n /cm stem	ΛL	TeN	25, 26	
blade inclination angle (vertical)	φΒ	0	↑ H	TrB, TeB	21, 22, 23	
(12131211)			ΛL	TrB, TeB	21, 24, 23, 22,	
		2	A		48	
trichome density		mm ⁻²	↑ H	TrB	17	
		2	↑ L	TrB, TeB	17, 18, 19, 20	
stomatal density	D _{stomata}	mm ⁻²	↑ Н	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 12, 3, 13, 4	
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	12, 11, 3	
total vein density	VLA	mm mm ⁻²	↑ H	TeB	46	
			ΛL	TeB	46, 47	
minor vein density	VLA_{min}	mm mm ⁻²	ΛH	TeB	14	
			ΛL	TeB	14, 47	
upper cuticle thickness	CT	μm	ΛH	TrB, TeN	27, 4	
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	27, 28	
Leaf optical properties						
PAR absorptance		%	≈↑H	TrB	42, 45	
			≈↑L	TrB	42, 45	
absorptance efficiency per unit biomass		$\%~\mathrm{g}^{\text{-1}}$	↑ н	TrB	42, 45	
510111000			↓ L	TrB	42, 45	
PAR transmittance		%	↓ - ↓ H	TrB	42, 45	
		-	↓ ↓ L	TrB	42, 45	
Reflectance		%	¥ - ≈ H	TrB	42, 45	
-			↑ H	BoN	6	
			≈ L	TrB	42, 45	
			-	=	- , ·-	

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) [†]	reference(s) [‡]		
Traits related to metabolic ca	•		100001100	101000 1760(37	1010101100(3)		
nitrogen content	N	g m ⁻²	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	55, 64, 7, 29,		
S		8	·	, ,	30, 32, 31, 9		
		mg g ⁻¹	≈↓ H	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 15, 7, 29,		
					30, 32, 34		
			≈↓ L	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 35, 29, 30,		
phosphorous content	P	g m ⁻²	↑ Н	TrB, TeB, TeN	32, 5 55, 15, 36, 1,		
phosphorous content	r	gm	11	IID, IED, IEN	37		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 5		
			≈ L	TrB, TeB	1		
		mg g ⁻¹	≈↓ H	TrB	55, 15, 35, 1		
			≈ L	TrB, TeB	15, 35, 1		
chlorophyll content	Chl	mg cm ⁻²	\downarrow H	TrB, TeB	40, 41		
			\downarrow L	TrB, TeB	42, 41		
chlorophyll a/b ratio	chl a/b	mol mol ⁻¹	ΛH	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 6		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 39, 22,		
	-12		.		6		
carbon isotope ratio	$\delta^{13}C$	‰	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 64, 7, 43,		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	31 7, 29, 31		
intercellular CO ₂	Ci	μmol mol ⁻¹	↓ H	TeB, BoN	51, 30, 44		
concentration	CI	μποιποι	V 11	TCD, DOIN	31, 30, 44		
			↓ L	TeB	30, 44		
Biochemical protection against light and heat damage							
β-carotene and lutein		μmol m ⁻²	ΛH	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 42, 6		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 38, 6		
xanthophyll cycle pigments	VAZ	μmol m ⁻²	ΛH	TrB, TeB	38, 30, 22		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	39, 30		
abundance isoprene		%	个 H (peak	TrB	49		
emitters			in mid-				
			canopy)	ToD	Γ0		
icanrana amissian rata	1	nmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	↑ L	TeB TrB	50		
isoprene emission rate	1	nmoi m - s -	个 H (peak in mid-	ПВ	49		
			canopy)				
			个 H	TeB	32, 60		
			ΛL	ТеВ	32, 61, 62		
monoterpene emission rate	MT	μg m ⁻² s ⁻¹	个 H (peak	TeB	63		
			in mid-				
Th			canopy)				
Thermal tolerance	_	°C	1 11**	TC	53		
photosynthetic heat	T ₅₀	°C	↓ H**	TrS	52		
tolerance							

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) [†]	reference(s) [‡]
			≈↑ L	TrB, TeB	53, 54
critical temperature beyond which Fv/Fm declines Phenology	\mathcal{T}_{crit}	°C	≈↑L	TrB, TeB	53
bud break		day of year	↓ H	TeB	56
leaf lifespan		months	↓ H	TrB	57
			↓ L		
drought deciduous leaf habit		%	↑ H	TrB	58, 59

1. Mau et al. 2018; 2. Coble and Cavaleri 2014; 3. Sack et al. 2006; 4. Chin and Sillett 2019; 5. Wyka et al. 2012; 6. Atherton et al. 2017; 7. Kenzo et al. 2015; 8. Kusi and Karasi 2020; 9. Dang et al. 1997; 10. Gebauer et al. 2015; 11. Marenco et al. 2017; 12. Kafuti et al. 2020; 13. Van Wittenberghe et al. 2012; 14. Zhang et al. 2019; 15. Weerasinghe et al. 2014; 16. Oldham et al. 2010; 17. Ichie et al. 2016; 18. Gregoriou et al. 2007; 19. Levizou et al. 2005; 20. Liakoura 1997; 21. Fauset et al. 2018; 22. Niinemets et al. 1998, 23. Ishida et al. 1998; 24. Millen and Clendon 1979; 25. Smith and Carter, 1988; 26. Hadley and Smith 1987; 28. Baltzer and Thomas 2005; 29. Coble et al. 2016; 30. Scartazza et al. 2016; 31. Duursma and Marshall, 2006; 32. Harley et al. 1996; 33. Hernandez et al. 2020; 34. Turnbull et al. 2003; 35. Chen et al. 2020; 36. van de Weg et al. 2012; 37. M.A Cavaleri et al. 2008; 38. Koniger et al. 1995; 39. Mastubara et al. 2009; 40. Harris and Medina 2013; 41. Hansen et al. 2001; 42. Poorter et al. 1995; 43. Coble et al. 2016; 44. Niinemets et al. 2004; 45. Poorter et al. 2000; 46. Zwieniecki et al. 2004; 47. Sack and Scoffoni, 2013; 48. Ball et al., 1988; 49. Taylor et al. 2021; 50. Niinemets et al. 2010; 51. Brooks et al. 1997; 52. Curtis et al. 2019; 53. Slot et al. 2019; 54. Hamerlynck and Knapp 1994; 55. Lloyd et al. 2010; 56. Augspurger and Bartlett, 2003; 57. Osada et al. 2001; 58. Meakem et al. 2018; 59. Condit et al. 2000; 60. Harley et al. 1997; 61. Niinemets and Sun, 2014; 62. Sharkey and Monson, 2014; 63. Simpraga et al. 2013; 64. Domingues et al. 2005