Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) [†]	reference(s) [‡]
Leaf anatomy and morpholog	gical traits				
leaf area	LA	cm ²	\downarrow H	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 10
			↓ L	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 3, 10
leaf mass per area (or	LMA (or	g cm ⁻²	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 55, 7, 2, 3,
inverse of specific leaf area)	1/SLA)				4, 6
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 7, 2, 3, 5, 6
leaf thickness		μm	↑ н	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 11, 2, 13, 16
			↑ L	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 15, 2, 5
leaf density		g cm ⁻³	↑ H	TeB	2
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	6, 2
			≈ L	TeN	5
pinnate lobation		cm ²	↑ H	TeB	3
			↓ H	TeB	8
			ΛL	TeB	8, 3
leaf packing		n /cm stem	ΛL	TeN	25, 26
blade inclination angle (vertical)	φΒ	•	↑ H	TrB, TeB	21, 22, 23
(↑L	TrB, TeB	21, 24, 23, 22, 48
trichome density		mm ⁻²	ΛH	TrB	17
,			↑ L	TrB, TeB	17, 18, 19, 20
stomatal density	D _{stomata}	mm ⁻²	↑н	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 12, 3, 13,
,	Dstomata		•	,	4
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	12, 11, 3
total vein density	VLA	mm mm ⁻²	ΛH	TeB	46
			ΛL	TeB	46, 47
minor vein density	VLA_{min}	mm mm ⁻²	ΛH	TeB	14
			ΛL	TeB	14, 47
upper cuticle thickness	CT	μm	ΛH	TrB, TeN	27, 4
• •		·	ΛL	TrB, TeB	27, 28
Traits related to metabolic ca	apacity and e	efficiency		,	,
nitrogen content	N	g m ⁻²	↑н	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	55, 7, 29, 30, 32, 31, 9
		mg g ⁻¹	≈↓ H	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 15, 7, 29, 30, 32, 34
			≈↓ L	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 35, 29, 30, 32, 5
phosphorous content	Р	g m ⁻²	↑н	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 15, 36, 1,
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	37 15, 5
			≈ L	TrB, TeB	15, 5
		ma1	≈∟ ≈↓H		
		mg g ⁻¹		TrB ToP	55, 15, 35, 1
			≈L	TrB, TeB	15, 35, 1

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) [†]	reference(s) [‡]	
chlorophyll content	Chl	mg cm ⁻²	↓ H	TrB, TeB	40, 41	
			↓ L	TrB, TeB	42, 41	
chlorophyll a/b ratio	chl a/b	mol mol ⁻¹	ΛH	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 6	
, ,	·		↑L	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 39, 22, 6	
carbon isotope ratio	$\delta^{13}C$	‰	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 7, 43, 31	
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 29, 31	
intercellular CO ₂ concentration	Ci	μmol mol ⁻¹	↓ Н	TeB, BoN	51, 30, 44	
			↓ L	TeB	30, 44	
Light absorption or reflectance						
PAR absorptance		%	≈ H	TrB	42, 45	
			≈↑L	TrB	42, 45	
absorptance efficiency per unit biomass		% g ⁻¹	↓ H	TrB	42, 45	
			\downarrow L	TrB	42, 45	
PAR transmittance		%	↓ H	TrB	42, 45	
			↓ L	TrB	42, 45	
Reflectance		%	≈ H	TrB	42, 45	
			ΛH	BoN	6	
			≈ L	TrB	42, 45	
Biochemical protection against light and heat damage						
β-carotene and lutein		μmol m ⁻²	ΛH	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 42, 6	
			↑L	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 38, 6	
xanthophyll cycle pigments	VAZ	μmol m ⁻²	↑ H	TrB, TeB	38, 30, 22	
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	39, 30	
isoprene emission ability	1	nmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	ΛH	TrB	49	
			(peak in mid-			
			canopy) 个 L (peak in	TrB	49	
			mid- canopy)			
			↑ L	TeB	50	
isoprene emission (in emitting species)	1	nmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	个 H (peak in mid-	TrB	49	
			canopy) 个 L (peak in mid- canopy)	TrB	49	

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) [†]	reference(s) [‡]
			↑ Н	TeB	32, 60
			↑L	TeB	32, 61, 62
monoterpenoid emissions	MT	μg m ⁻² s ⁻¹	↑ H (peak in mid- canopy)	TeB	63
			个 L (peak in mid- canopy)	ТеВ	63
Thermal tolerance					
photosynthetic heat tolerance	T ₅₀	°C	↓ H**	TrS	52
			≈↑ L	TrB, TeB	53, 54
critical temperature beyond which Fv/Fm declines Phenology	T_{crit}	°C	≈↑L	TrB, TeB	53
bud break		day of year	↓ H	TeB	56
leaf lifespan		months	↓ H ↓ L	TrB	57
drought deciduous leaf habit		%	ΛH	TrB	58, 59

1. Mau et al. 2018; 2. Coble and Cavaleri 2014; 3. Sack et al. 2006; 4. Chin and Sillett 2019; 5. Wyka et al. 2012; 6. Atherton et al. 2017; 7. Kenzo et al. 2015; 8. Kusi and Karasi 2020; 9. Dang et al. 1997; 10. Gebauer et al. 2015; 11. Marenco et al. 2017; 12. Kafuti et al. 2020; 13. Van Wittenberghe et al. 2012; 14. Zhang et al. 2019; 15. Weerasinghe et al. 2014; 16. Oldham et al. 2010; 17. Ichie et al. 2016; 18. Gregoriou et al. 2007; 19. Levizou et al. 2005; 20. Liakoura 1997; 21. Fauset et al. 2018; 22. Niinemets et al. 1998, 23. Ishida et al. 1998; 24. Millen and Clendon 1979; 25. Smith and Carter, 1988; 26. Hadley and Smith 1987; 28. Baltzer and Thomas 2005; 29. Coble et al. 2016; 30. Scartazza et al. 2016; 31. Duursma and Marshall, 2006; 32. Harley et al. 1996; 33. Hernandez et al. 2020; 34. Turnbull et al. 2003; 35. Chen et al. 2020; 36. van de Weg et al. 2012; 37. M.A Cavaleri et al. 2008; 38. Koniger et al. 1995; 39. Mastubara et al. 2009; 40. Harris and Medina 2013; 41. Hansen et al. 2001; 42. Poorter et al. 1995; 43. Coble et al. 2016; 44. Niinemets et al. 2004; 45. Poorter et al. 2000; 46. Zwieniecki et al. 2004; 47. Sack and Scoffoni, 2013; 48. Ball et al., 1988; 49. Taylor et al. 2021; 50. Niinemets et al. 2010; 51. Brooks et al. 1997; 52. Curtis et al. 2019; 53. Slot et al. 2019; 54. Hamerlynck and Knapp 1994; 55. Lloyd et al. 2010; 56. Augspurger and Bartlett, 2003; 57. Osada et al. 2001; 58. Meakem et al. 2018; 59. Condit et al. 2000; 60. Harley et al. 1997; 61. Niinemets and Sun, 2014; 62. Sharkey and Monson, 2014; 63. Simpraga et al. 2013