

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response	forest type(s)	reference(s)*
<b>Leaf anatomy and morphological traits</b>					
leaf mass per area (or inverse of specific leaf area)	$LMA$ (or $1/SLA$ )	$g\ cm^{-2}$	↑ with height	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 7, 2, 3, 4, 6
leaf density		$g\ cm^{-3}$	↑ with light	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 7, 2, 3, 5, 6
			↑ with height	TeB	2
			↑ with light	TrB, TeB	6, 2
			≈ with light	TeN	5
leaf area	$LA$	$cm^2$	↓ with height	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 10
			↓ with light	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 3, 10
stomatal density	$D_{stomata}$	$mm^{-2}$	↑ with height	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 12, 3, 13, 4
vein density	$VLA$	$mm\ mm^{-2}$	↑ with light	TrB, TeB	12, 11, 3
			↑ with height	TeB	47
			↑ with light	TeB	47, 48
	$VLA_{min}$	$mm\ mm^{-2}$	↑ with height	TeB	14
leaf thickness		$\mu m$	↑ with light	TeB	14, 48
			↑ with height	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 11, 2, 13, 16
trichome density		$mm^{-2}$	↑ with light	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 15, 2, 5
			↑ with height	TrB	17
blade inclination angle (vertical)	$\varphi B$	°	↑ with light	TrB, TeB	17, 18, 19, 20
			↑ with height	TrB, TeB	21, 22, 23
leaf packing		no./cm stem	↑ with light	TrB, TeB	21, 24, 23, 22, 49
			↑ with light	TeN	25, 26
pinnate lobation		$cm^2$	↑ with height	TeB	3
			↓ with height	TeB	8
			↑ with light	TeB	8, 3
drip tip length		$cm$	↓ with height	TrB	27
			↓ with light	TrB	27
upper cuticle thickness	$CT$	$\mu m$	↑ with height	TrB, TeN	27, 4
			↑ with light	TrB, TeB	27, 28

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response	forest type(s)	reference(s)*
adaxial leaf wettability (as drop contact angle)	$DCA_{ad}$	°	↑ with height	TeB	13
	<i>duration of surface wetness</i>	%	↓ with height	TrB	29
	$DCA$	°	↑ with light	TeB	13
<b>Leaf biochemical and physiological traits</b>					
nitrogen content	$N_{area}$	$g\ m^{-2}$	↑ with height	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	7, 30, 31, 33, 32, 9
			↑ with light	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	15, 34, 31, 30, 33, 32, 9
	$N_{mass}$	$mg\ g^{-1}$	≈↓ with height	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 7, 30, 31, 33, 35
			≈↓ with light	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 36, 30, 31, 33, 5
Phosphorous content	$P_{area}$	$g\ m^{-2}$	↑ with height	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 37, 1, 38
			↑ with light	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 5
			≈ with light	TrB, TeB	1
	$P_{mass}$	$mg\ g^{-1}$	≈↓ with height	TrB	15, 36, 1
xanthophyll cycle pigments	$VAZ$	$\mu mol\ m^{-2}$	≈ with light	TrB, TeB	15, 36, 1
			↑ with height	TrB, TeB	39, 31, 22
chlorophyll content	$Chl$	$mg\ cm^{-2}$	↑ with light	TrB, TeB	40, 31
			↓ with height	TrB, TeB	41, 42
β-carotene and lutein		$\mu mol\ m^{-2}$	↓ with light	TrB, TeB	43, 42
			↑ with height	TrB, TeB, BoN	31, 43, 6
chlorophyll a/b ratio	$chl\ a/b$	$mol\ mol^{-1}$	↑ with light	TrB, TeB, BoN	31, 39, 6
			↑ with height	TrB, TeB, BoN	43, 31, 6
			↑ with light	TrB, TeB, BoN	43, 31, 40, 22, 6
carbon isotope composition	$\delta^{13}C$	‰	↑ with height	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 44, 32
Intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	$C_i$	$\mu mol\ mol^{-1}$	↑ with light	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 30, 32
			↓ with height	TeB	31, 45
PAR absorptance	$ABS$	% nm	↓ with light	TeB	31, 45
			≈ with height	TrB	43, 46
			≈↑ with light	TrB	43, 46

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response	forest type(s)	reference(s)*
absorptance efficiency	<i>ABS</i>	% g <sup>-1</sup>	↓ with height	TrB	43, 46
			↓ with light	TrB	43, 46
PAR transmittance		%	↓ with height	TrB	43, 46
			↓ with light	TrB	43, 46
Reflectance		%	≈ with height	TrB	43, 46
			↑ with height	BoN	6
			≈ with light	TrB	43, 46

\* **1.** Mau et al. 2018; **2.** Coble and Cavaleri 2014; **3.** Sack et al. 2006; **4.** Chin and Sillett 2019; **5.** Wyka et al. 2012; **6.** Atherton et al. 2017; **7.** Kenzo et al. 2015; **8.** Kusi and Karasi 2020; **9.** Dang et al. 1997; **10.** Gebauer et al. 2015; **11.** Marenco et al. 2017; **12.** Kafuti et al. 2020; **13.** Van Wittenberghe et al. 2012; **14.** Zhang et al. 2019; **15.** Weerasinghe et al. 2014; **16.** Oldham et al. 2010; **17.** Ichie et al. 2016; **18.** Gregoriou et al. 2007; **19.** Levizou et al. 2005; **20.** Liakoura 1997; **21.** Fauset et al. 2018; **22.** Niinemets et al. 1998; **23.** Ishida et al. 1998; **24.** Millen and Clendon 1979; **25.** Smith and Carter, 1988; **26.** Hadley and Smith 1987; **27.** Panditharathna et al. 2008; **28.** Baltzer and Thomas 2005; **29.** Dietz et al. 2007; **30.** Coble et al. 2016; **31.** Scartazza et al. 2016; **32.** Duursma and Marshall, 2006; **33.** Harley et al. 1996; **34.** Hernandez et al. 2020; **35.** Turnbull et al. 2003; **36.** Chen et al. 2020; **37.** van de Weg et al. 2012; **38.** M.A Cavaleri et al. 2008; **39.** Koniger et al. 1995; **40.** Mastubara et al. 2009; **41.** Harris and Medina 2013; **42.** Hansen et al. 2001; **43.** Poorter et al. 1995; **44.** Coble et al. 2017; **45.** Niinemets et al. 2004; **46.** Poorter et al. 2000; **47.** Zwieniecki et al. 2004; **48.** Sack and Scoffoni, 2013; **49.** Ball et al., 1988