Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

	, .			<b>6</b> ( ) †	<b>.</b>		
trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) <sup>†</sup>	reference(s) <sup>‡</sup>		
Leaf anatomy and morpholog	-	2	1	T.D. T. D. S	7 0 10		
leaf area	LA	cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>↓</b> H	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 10		
		2	↓ L	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 3, 10		
leaf mass per area (or	LMA (or	g cm <sup>-2</sup>	Ϋ́Η	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 55, 7, 2, 3,		
inverse of specific leaf area)	1/SLA)		ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	4,6 1,7,2,3,5,6		
leaf thickness		um	个 H	TrB, TeB, TeN	1, 7, 2, 3, 5, 6 15, 11, 2, 13,		
lear tilickliess		μm		ITB, TEB, TEN	16		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 15, 2, 5		
leaf density		g cm <sup>-3</sup>	ΛH	TeB	2		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	6, 2		
			≈L	TeN	5		
pinnate lobation		cm <sup>2</sup>	ΛH	TeB	3		
			$\downarrow$ H	TeB	8		
			ΛL	TeB	8, 3		
leaf packing		n /cm stem	ΛL	TeN	25, 26		
blade inclination angle (vertical)	φΒ	o	<b>↑</b> H	TrB, TeB	21, 22, 23		
,			↑L	TrB, TeB	21, 24, 23, 22,		
trichome density		mm <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TrB	48 17		
trictionie density		mm	↑ □ ↑ L	TrB, TeB			
stomatal density	0	mm <sup>-2</sup>			17, 18, 19, 20		
stomatal density	D <sub>stomata</sub>	mm -	<b>↑</b> Н	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 12, 3, 13, 4		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	12, 11, 3		
total vein density	VLA	mm mm <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TeB	46		
			ΛL	TeB	46, 47		
minor vein density	$VLA_{min}$	mm mm <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TeB	14		
			ΛL	TeB	14, 47		
upper cuticle thickness	CT	μm	ΛH	TrB, TeN	27, 4		
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	27, 28		
Leaf optical properties							
PAR absorptance	1	%	≈ ↑ H	TrB	42, 45		
			≈↑L	TrB	42, 45		
absorptance efficiency per unit biomass	Α	$\% g^{-1}$	<b>↓</b> H	TrB	42, 45		
			↓ L	TrB	42, 45		
PAR transmittance	С	%	↓ H	TrB	42, 45		
			↓ L	TrB	42, 45		
Reflectance	В	%	* ≈ H	TrB	42, 45		
			ΛH	BoN	6		
			≈ L	TrB	42, 45		
					•		

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) <sup>†</sup>	reference(s) <sup>‡</sup>			
Traits related to metabolic capacity and efficiency								
nitrogen content	N	g m <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	55, 7, 29, 30, 32, 31, 9			
		mg g <sup>-1</sup>	≈↓ H	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 15, 7, 29, 30, 32, 34			
			≈↓ L	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 35, 29, 30, 32, 5			
phosphorous content	Р	$\mathrm{g}\;\mathrm{m}^{-2}$	<b>↑</b> H	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 15, 36, 1, 37			
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 5			
			≈ L	TrB, TeB	1			
		mg g <sup>-1</sup>	≈↓ H	TrB	55, 15, 35, 1			
			≈ L	TrB, TeB	15, 35, 1			
chlorophyll content	Chl	mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	$\downarrow$ H	TrB, TeB	40, 41			
		_	↓ L	TrB, TeB	42, 41			
chlorophyll a/b ratio	chl a/b	mol mol <sup>-1</sup>	<b>↑</b> H	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 6			
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 39, 22, 6			
carbon isotope ratio	$\delta^{13}C$	‰	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN	55, 7, 43, 31			
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 29, 31			
intercellular CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	Ci	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	<b>↓</b> H	TeB, BoN	51, 30, 44			
			↓ L	TeB	30, 44			
Biochemical protection against light and heat damage								
β-carotene and lutein		μmol m <sup>-2</sup>	<b>↑</b> H	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 42, 6			
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 38, 6			
xanthophyll cycle pigments	VAZ	μmol m <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TrB, TeB	38, 30, 22			
		•	ΛL	TrB, TeB	39, 30			
abundance isoprene emitters		%	个 H (peak in mid- canopy)	TrB	49			
			↑ L	ТеВ	50			
isoprene emission rate	1	nmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	↑ H (peak	TrB	49			
			in mid- canopy)					
			↑ H	TeB	32, 60			
			↑L	TeB	32, 61, 62			
monoterpene emission rate	MT	μg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	↑ H (peak in mid-canopy)	ТеВ	63			
Thermal tolerance								
photosynthetic heat tolerance	T <sub>50</sub>	°C	<b>↓</b> H**	TrS	52			
			≈↑ L	TrB, TeB	53, 54			

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) <sup>†</sup>	reference(s) <sup>‡</sup>
critical temperature beyond which Fv/Fm declines Phenology	T <sub>crit</sub>	°C	≈↑L	TrB, TeB	53
<b>.</b>					
bud break		day of year	<b>↑</b> Η	TeB	56
leaf lifespan		months	<b>↓</b> H	TrB	57
			↓ L		
drought deciduous leaf habit		%	<b>↑</b> H	TrB	58, 59

1. Mau et al. 2018; 2. Coble and Cavaleri 2014; 3. Sack et al. 2006; 4. Chin and Sillett 2019; 5. Wyka et al. 2012; 6. Atherton et al. 2017; 7. Kenzo et al. 2015; 8. Kusi and Karasi 2020; 9. Dang et al. 1997; 10. Gebauer et al. 2015; 11. Marenco et al. 2017; 12. Kafuti et al. 2020; 13. Van Wittenberghe et al. 2012; 14. Zhang et al. 2019; 15. Weerasinghe et al. 2014; 16. Oldham et al. 2010; 17. Ichie et al. 2016; 18. Gregoriou et al. 2007; 19. Levizou et al. 2005; 20. Liakoura 1997; 21. Fauset et al. 2018; 22. Niinemets et al. 1998, 23. Ishida et al. 1998; 24. Millen and Clendon 1979; 25. Smith and Carter, 1988; 26. Hadley and Smith 1987; 28. Baltzer and Thomas 2005; 29. Coble et al. 2016; 30. Scartazza et al. 2016; 31. Duursma and Marshall, 2006; 32. Harley et al. 1996; 33. Hernandez et al. 2020; 34. Turnbull et al. 2003; 35. Chen et al. 2020; 36. van de Weg et al. 2012; 37. M.A Cavaleri et al. 2008; 38. Koniger et al. 1995; 39. Mastubara et al. 2009; 40. Harris and Medina 2013; 41. Hansen et al. 2001; 42. Poorter et al. 1995; 43. Coble et al. 2016; 44. Niinemets et al. 2004; 45. Poorter et al. 2000; 46. Zwieniecki et al. 2004; 47. Sack and Scoffoni, 2013; 48. Ball et al., 1988; 49. Taylor et al. 2021; 50. Niinemets et al. 2010; 51. Brooks et al. 1997; 52. Curtis et al. 2019; 53. Slot et al. 2019; 54. Hamerlynck and Knapp 1994; 55. Lloyd et al. 2010; 56. Augspurger and Bartlett, 2003; 57. Osada et al. 2001; 58. Meakem et al. 2018; 59. Condit et al. 2000; 60. Harley et al. 1997; 61. Niinemets and Sun, 2014; 62. Sharkey and Monson, 2014; 63. Simpraga et al. 2013