Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) <sup>†</sup>	reference(s) <sup>‡</sup>			
Leaf anatomy and morphological traits								
leaf area	LA	cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>↓</b> H	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 10			
			↓ L	TrB, TeB, BoN	7, 8, 3, 10			
leaf mass per area (or	LMA (or	g cm <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 7, 2, 3, 4, 6			
inverse of specific leaf area)	1/SLA)		ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	1, 7, 2, 3, 5, 6			
leaf thickness		μm	↑ H	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 11, 2, 13,			
icai tilickiicss		μιιι			16			
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 15, 2, 5			
leaf density		g cm <sup>-3</sup>	ΛH	TeB	2			
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	6, 2			
			≈L	TeN	5			
pinnate lobation		cm <sup>2</sup>	ΛH	TeB	3			
			$\downarrow$ H	TeB	8			
			ΛL	TeB	8, 3			
leaf packing		n /cm stem	ΛL	TeN	25, 26			
blade inclination angle (vertical)	φΒ	o	<b>↑</b> H	TrB, TeB	21, 22, 23			
(13.3.53.)			ΛL	TrB, TeB	21, 24, 23, 22,			
trichome density		mm <sup>-2</sup>	↑н	TrB	48 17			
tricilonie density		mm	↑ '' ↑ L	TrB, TeB	17, 18, 19, 20			
stomatal density	0	mm <sup>-2</sup>	个 H	TrB, TeB, TeN	11, 12, 3, 13, 4			
Stomatal density	D <sub>stomata</sub>	mm	↑ '' ↑ L	TrB, TeB	12, 11, 3			
total vein density	VLA	mm mm <sup>-2</sup>	个 H	TeB	46			
total velli delisity	VLA	mm mm	↑ '' ↑ L	TeB	46, 47			
minor vein density	\	mm mm <sup>-2</sup>	个 H	TeB	14			
minor vein density	<i>VLA<sub>min</sub></i>	mm mm -	↑	ТеВ	14, 47			
upper cuticle thickness	СТ	um	↑ L ↑ H	TrB, TeN	27, 4			
upper cuticle trickness	CI	μm	_					
Traits related to metabolic ca	anacity and c	officional	ΛL	TrB, TeB	27, 28			
nitrogen content	N	g m <sup>-2</sup>	↑н	TrB, TeB, TeN, BoN	7, 29, 30, 32,			
introgen content	IV	g m -	П	IID, IED, IEN, DON	7, 29, 30, 32, 31, 9			
		mg g <sup>-1</sup>	≈↓ H	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 7, 29, 30,			
		1118 8	<b>V</b>	115) 165) 1611	32, 34			
			≈↓ L	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 35, 29, 30,			
					32, 5			
phosphorous content	Р	g m <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 36, 1, 37			
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	15, 5			
			≈L	TrB, TeB	1			
		mg g <sup>-1</sup>	≈↓ H	TrB	15, 35, 1			
			≈L	TrB, TeB	15, 35, 1			
chlorophyll content	Chl	mg	$\downarrow$ H	TrB, TeB	40, 41			

Table 1. Summary of typically observed variation in thermally-relevant leaf traits with canopy height and/or between sun and shade leaves

trait	symbol	units	response*	forest type(s) <sup>†</sup>	reference(s)‡
		cm <sup>-2</sup>			
			$\downarrow$ L	TrB, TeB	42, 41
chlorophyll a/b ratio	chl a/b	mol mol <sup>-1</sup>	ΛH	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 6
			↑L	TrB, TeB, BoN	42, 30, 39, 22, 6
carbon isotope composition	$\delta^{13}C$	‰	ΛH	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 43, 31
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, TeN	7, 29, 31
Intercellular CO <sub>2</sub>	Ci	μmol mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\downarrow$ H	ТеВ	30, 44
concentration					
			$\downarrow$ L	TeB	30, 44
Light absorption or reflectant	ce				
PAR absorptance		% nm	≈ H	TrB	42, 45
			≈↑L	TrB	42, 45
		1			42. 45
absorptance efficiency per unit biomass		% g <sup>-1</sup>	↓ H	TrB	42, 45
			↓ L	TrB	42, 45
PAR transmittance		%	$\downarrow$ H	TrB	42, 45
			$\downarrow$ L	TrB	42, 45
Reflectance		%	≈ H	TrB	42, 45
			ΛH	BoN	6
			≈L	TrB	42, 45
Biochemical protection again	st light and	heat damage			
β-carotene and lutein		μmol m <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 42, 6
			ΛL	TrB, TeB, BoN	30, 38, 6
xanthophyll cycle pigments	VAZ	μmol m <sup>-2</sup>	ΛH	TrB, TeB	38, 30, 22
			ΛL	TrB, TeB	39, 30
isoprene emission ability	1	nmol m-2 s-	ΛH	TrB	49
		1	(peak in		
			mid-		
			canopy)	<b>T.</b> D	10
			↑ L	TrB	49
			(peak in mid-		
			canopy)		
			↑ L	TeB	50
			T L	IGR	50

<sup>1.</sup> Mau et al. 2018; 2. Coble and Cavaleri 2014; 3. Sack et al. 2006; 4. Chin and Sillett 2019; 5. Wyka et al. 2012; 6. Atherton et al. 2017; 7. Kenzo et al. 2015; 8. Kusi and Karasi 2020; 9. Dang et al. 1997; 10. Gebauer et al. 2015; 11. Marenco et al. 2017; 12. Kafuti et al. 2020; 13. Van Wittenberghe et al. 2012; 14. Zhang et al. 2019; 15. Weerasinghe et al. 2014; 16. Oldham et al. 2010; 17. Ichie et al. 2016; 18. Gregoriou et al. 2007; 19. Levizou et al. 2005; 20. Liakoura 1997; 21. Fauset et al. 2018; 22. Niinemets et al. 1998, 23. Ishida et al. 1998; 24. Millen and Clendon 1979; 25. Smith and Carter, 1988; 26. Hadley and Smith 1987; 28. Baltzer and Thomas 2005; 29. Coble et al. 2016; 30. Scartazza et al. 2016; 31. Duursma and Marshall, 2006; 32. Harley et al. 1996; 33. Hernandez et al. 2020; 34. Turnbull et al. 2003; 35. Chen et al. 2020; 36. van de Weg et al. 2012; 37. M.A Cavaleri et al. 2008; 38. Koniger et al. 1995; 39. Mastubara et al. 2009; 40. Harris and Medina 2013; 41. Hansen et al. 2001; 42. Poorter et

al. 1995; **43.** Coble et al. 2016; **44.** Niinemets et al. 2004; **45.** Poorter et al. 2000; **46.** Zwieniecki et al. 2004; **47.** Sack and Scoffoni, 2013; **48.** Ball et al., 1988; **49.** Taylor et al. 2021; **50.** Niinemets et al. 2010