HW3

Wonjun Choi

October 29, 2022

1. (a) For positivity, assume (i) $K \ge 0$. For the integration, assume (ii) K is even (K(-v) = K(v)) and (iii) $\int K(v)dv = 1$, so that

$$\int \frac{1}{h_n} K(\frac{x-u}{h_n}) du = \int \frac{1}{h_n} K(\frac{u-x}{h_n}) du = \int K(v) dv = 1.$$

- (b) We will need some regularity conditions. Assume
 - (iv) $\int u^2 K(u) du = \mu_2 < \infty$,
 - (v) f admits the second order Taylor expansion,
 - (vi) f and K are bounded¹.

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_{n}(x)] = \int \frac{1}{nh_{n}} \sum_{i} K(\frac{x - X_{i}}{h_{n}}) dP_{X_{1},...,X_{n}}$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{h_{n}} K(\frac{x - X_{1}}{h_{n}}) dP_{X_{1}}$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{h_{n}} K(\frac{x - v}{h_{n}}) f_{0}(v) dv$$

$$= \int K(-u) f_{0}(x + uh_{n}) du$$

$$= \int K(u) \left\{ f_{0}(x) + f'_{0}(x) uh_{n} + \frac{1}{2} f''_{0}(x) u^{2} h_{n}^{2} + o(h_{n}^{2}) \right\} du$$

$$= f_{0}(x) + 0 + \frac{1}{2} f''_{0}(x) h_{n}^{2} \underbrace{\int u^{2} K(u) du + o(h_{n}^{2})}_{u^{2}(x)}.$$

The middle term is 0 because K is even.

¹I use this assumption only for DCT, so it would be stronger than necessary.

- (c)
- (d) Biased. Does not vanish. At 0, we don't have observations from the left, so the choice of the kernel could be inappropriate. Maybe we can split the case 0,(0,1), and 1 and use different kernels in each case.
- 2. (a) Large. The bias should be small regardless of h_n because it is just a function of U_i .
 - (b) Consider (3.15).

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{LL}|Z) = \frac{v_0^2(z)}{nh_n} \{1 + o_p(1)\} = \frac{\kappa_2 \sigma_0^2}{nh_n f_z(z)} \{1 + o_p(1)\}.$$

If $\sigma_0^2(z) = \mathbb{E}[U^2|z]$ is high, the variance should be high, so it makes sense. If $f_z(z)$ is high, there will be more observations near z and we have more information about the location, so it makes sense.

3. $K(\cdot) = K(0)$ is a constant and cancels out in the optimization. Manipulation of the objective function completes the proof.

$$Y_i - b_0 - b_1(Z_i - z) = Y_i - (b_0 - b_1 z) - b_1 Z_i = Y_i - a_0 - a_1 Z_i,$$

so that

$$\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha}_1 z = (\hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 z) + \hat{\beta}_1 z = \hat{\beta}_0 = \hat{\theta}.$$

4. Submitted via GitHub.