

Facts

$$\sum_{k=0}^n ar^k = \frac{ar^{n+1} - a}{r - 1} \quad \text{for } r \notin \{0, 1\}$$
$$\log_b r = \frac{\log r}{\log b}$$
$$b^e = 2^{\log(b)e}$$
$$a \log a = 2$$
$$a \log b = 2 \log(a \log(b)) = b \log a$$

$$\mathcal{O}(1) < \mathcal{O}((\log n)^c) < \mathcal{O}(\log n)$$
$$< \mathcal{O}(\log^2 n) < \mathcal{O}(n) < \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$$
$$< \mathcal{O}(n^5) < \mathcal{O}(n^c) < \mathcal{O}(n!) < \mathcal{O}(n^n)$$

Loop Invariant

A property that holds before and after each loop iteration.

Initialization: Holds before the first iteration.

Maintenance: If it holds before an iteration, it holds after.

Termination: When the loop ends, the invariant helps prove correctness.

Divide and Conquer

An algorithmic paradigm with three steps:

1. **Divide:** Split the problem into smaller subproblems.
2. **Conquer:** Solve each subproblem recursively.
3. **Combine:** Merge the subproblem solutions into the final result. The recurrence relation is:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n \leq c, \\ aT(n/b) + D(n) + C(n) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

a : number of subproblems,
 n/b : size of each subproblem,
 $D(n)$: time to divide,
 $C(n)$: time to combine.

Solving Recurrences

To solve a recurrence using the substitution method:

1. **Guess** the solution's form (e.g., $\Theta(n^2)$).
2. **Prove the upper bound** by induction using a constant and the guessed form.

Base cases: For any constant $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, $T(n)$ has a constant value, selecting a larger than this value will satisfy the base cases when $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$.

Inductive step: Assume statement true $\forall n_i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$ and prove the statement for $n = k$.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$$
$$\leq 2 \cdot 2^{\log(n/2) + 1} + cn$$
$$= 2^{\log n + 1} + cn$$
$$\leq 2^{\log n + 1} + cn$$
$$= \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$$

We can thus select a to be a positive constant so that both the base cases and the inductive step holds.

Hence, $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$.

3. **Prove the lower bound** similarly.
4. **Conclude** that the guess is correct.

Example:

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + cn \Rightarrow \Theta(n^2)$$

Master Theorem

Let $a \geq 1$, $b > 1$, and let $T(n)$ be defined by the recurrence:

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

Then $T(n)$ has the following asymptotic bounds:

1. If $f(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_b a})$.
2. If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n)$.
3. If $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, and if $a f(n/b) \leq c f(n)$ for some $c < 1$ and large n , then $T(n) = \mathcal{O}(f(n))$.

Special case:

$$T(n) = a_1 T(b_1 n) + a_2 T(b_2 n) + \dots + n^c$$

1. If $a_1 b_1^c + a_2 b_2^c + \dots < 1$ then $\Theta(n^c)$.
2. If $a_1 b_1^c + a_2 b_2^c + \dots = 1$ then $\Theta(n^c \log n)$.
3. If $a_1 b_1^c + a_2 b_2^c + \dots > 1$ then $\Theta(n^e)$, where $a_1 b_1^e + a_2 b_2^e + \dots = 1$.

Insertion Sort

Steps:

1. Start with an empty (or trivially sorted) sublist.
2. Insert the next element in the correct position by comparing backwards.
3. Repeat for all elements.

Complexity:

Time: $\Theta(n^2)$, Space: $\Theta(n)$

Pseudocode:

Require: $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$

```
1: for i ← 2 to n do
2:   key ← A[i]
3:   j ← i - 1
4:   while j ≥ 1 and A[j] > key do
5:     A[j+1] ← A[j]
6:     j ← j - 1
7:   end while
8:   A[j+1] ← key
9: end for
```

Heap Sort

A heap is a nearly complete binary tree where each node satisfies the **max-heap property**. For every node i , its children have smaller or equal values. The height of a heap is the length of the longest path from the root to a leaf.

Useful Index Rules (array-based heap):

- Root is at index $A[1]$
- Left child of node i : index $2i$
- Right child of node i : index $2i + 1$
- Parent of node i : index $\lfloor i/2 \rfloor$

Complexity:

Time: $\Theta(n \log n)$, Space: $\Theta(n)$

Pseudocode:

```
1: procedure MAX-HEAPIFY(A, i, n)  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ 
2:   l ← Left(i)
3:   r ← Right(i)
4:   largest ← i
5:   if l ≤ n and A[l] > A[largest] then
6:     largest ← l
7:   end if
8:   if r ≤ n and A[r] > A[largest] then
9:     largest ← r
10:  end if
11:  if largest ≠ i then
12:    Exchange A[i] ↔ A[largest]
13:    MAX-HEAPIFY(A, largest, n)
14:  end if
15: end procedure
```

```
1: procedure BUILD-MAX-HEAP(A[1...n])  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ 
2:   for i ← ⌊n/2⌋ downto 1 do
3:     MAX-HEAPIFY(A, i, n)
4:   end for
5: end procedure
```

```
1: procedure HEAPSORT(A[1...n])  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ 
2:   BUILD-MAX-HEAP(A)
3:   for i ← n downto 2 do
4:     exchange A[1] with A[i]
5:     MAX-HEAPIFY(A, 1, i - 1)
6:   end for
7: end procedure
```

Merge Sort

Uses the **divide and conquer** paradigm.

Complexity:

Time: $\Theta(n \log n)$, Space: $\Theta(n)$

Pseudocode:

```
1: procedure SORT(A, p, r)
2:   if p < r then
3:     q ← ⌊(p+r)/2⌋
4:     SORT(A, p, q)
5:     SORT(A, q+1, r)
6:     MERGE(A, p, q, r)
7:   end if
8: end procedure
```

```
1: procedure MERGE(A, p, q, r)
2:   n1 ← q - p + 1, n2 ← r - q + 1
3:   Let L[1...n1+1], R[1...n2+1] be new arrays
4:   for i ← 1 to n1 do L[i] ← A[p+i-1]
5:   end for
6:   for j ← 1 to n2 do R[j] ← A[q+j]
7:   end for
8:   L[n1+1] ← 0, R[n2+1] ← 0
9:   i, j ← 1
10:  for k ← p to r do
11:    if L[i] ≤ R[j] then
12:      A[k] ← L[i]; i ← i + 1
13:    else
14:      A[k] ← R[j]; j ← j + 1
15:    end if
16:  end for
17: end procedure
```

Stack

A stack is a last-in/fist-out (LIFO) data-structure

Supported operations:

- **Push:** Insert an element at head. $\mathcal{O}(1)$
- **Pop:** Retrieve head. $\mathcal{O}(1)$

Maximum Subarray Problem (Kadane's Algorithm)

Idea: Iterate from left to right, maintaining: - endingHereMax: best subarray ending at current index - currentMax: best seen so far

Observation: At index $j+1$, the maximum subarray is either:

- the best subarray in $A[1..j]$, or
- a subarray ending at $j+1$, i.e., $A[i..j+1]$

Formula:

$$\text{maxSub}(A[1..n]) = \max(\text{maxSub}(A[1..n-1]), \text{max}_{i=1}^n \text{sum}(A[i..n]))$$

Complexity:

Time: $\Theta(n \log n)$, Space: $\Theta(n)$

Pseudocode:

```
1: procedure LINEAR-MAX-SUBARRAY(A[1..n])
2:   current_max ← -∞
3:   ending_here_max ← -∞
4:   for i ← 1 to n do
5:     ending_here_max ← max(A[i], ending_here_max + A[i])
6:     current_max ← max(current_max, ending_here_max)
7:   end for
8:   return current_max
9: end procedure
```

Queue

A queue is a first-in, first-out (FIFO) collection.

- **enqueue:** Insert an element at tail. $\mathcal{O}(1)$
- **dequeue:** Retrieve head. $\mathcal{O}(1)$

Pseudocode:

```
1: procedure ENQUEUE(Q, x)
2:   Q[Q.tail] ← x
3:   if Q.tail = Q.length then
4:     Q.tail ← 1
5:   else
6:     Q.tail ← Q.tail + 1
7:   end if
8: end procedure
```

```
1: procedure DEQUEUE(Q)
2:   x ← Q[Q.head]
3:   if Q.head = Q.length then
4:     Q.head ← 1
5:   else
6:     Q.head ← Q.head + 1
7:   end if
8:   return x
9: end procedure
```

Dynamic Programming

Two key approaches: **Top-down** and **Bottom-up**.

- **Top-down:** Starts from the problem n and solves subproblems recursively, storing results (memoization).
- **Bottom-up:** Starts from base cases (e.g., 0) and iteratively builds up to the final solution. The core idea is to **remember previous computations** to avoid redundant work and save time.

Binary search

```
1: procedure BS(A, k, p, q)
2:   if q < p then
3:     return "NO" ▷ array is empty and doesn't contain k
4:   else
5:     mid ← ⌊(p+q)/2⌋
6:     if A[mid] = k then
7:       return "YES"
8:     else if A[mid] > k then
9:       return BS(A, k, p, mid - 1)
10:    else
11:      return BS(A, k, mid + 1, q)
12:    end if
13:  end if
14: end procedure
```

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$

Injective Functions

Let $f: \{1, 2, \dots, q\} \rightarrow M$ be a function chosen uniformly at random, where $|M| = m$. If $q > 1.78\sqrt{m}$, then the probability that f is injective is at most $\frac{1}{2}$.

$q = N\#$ inputs and $m = N\#$ outputs.

Strassen's Algorithm for Matrix Multiplication

Instead of performing 8 recursive multiplications as in the naive divide-and-conquer matrix multiplication, Strassen's algorithm reduces it to 7, which improves the time complexity.

Definitions:

$$M_1 = (A_{11} + A_{22})(B_{11} + B_{22})$$
$$M_2 = (A_{21} + A_{22})B_{11}$$
$$M_3 = A_{11}(B_{12} - B_{22})$$
$$M_4 = A_{22}(B_{21} - B_{11})$$
$$M_5 = (A_{11} + A_{12})B_{22}$$
$$M_6 = (A_{21} - A_{11})(B_{11} + B_{12})$$
$$M_7 = (A_{12} - A_{22})(B_{21} + B_{22})$$

Resulting matrix:

$$C_{11} = M_1 + M_4 - M_5 + M_7$$
$$C_{12} = M_3 + M_5$$
$$C_{21} = M_2 + M_4$$
$$C_{22} = M_1 - M_2 + M_3 + M_6$$

Recursion: $T(n) = 7T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$

Complexity:

Time: $\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) \approx \Theta(n^{2.81})$

Space: $\Theta(n^2)$

Priority Queue

A priority queue maintains a dynamic set S of elements, each with an associated key that defines its priority. At each operation, we can access the element with the highest key.

Supported operations:

- **Insertion:** Insert an element x into S . $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$
- **Maximum:** Return the element in S with the largest key. $\Theta(1)$
- **Extract-Max:** Remove and return the element with the largest key. $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$
- **Increase-Key:** Increase the key of an element x to a new value k (assuming $k \geq$ current key). $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$

Pseudocode:

```
1: procedure HEAP-MAXIMUM(S)
2:   return S[1]
3: end procedure
1: procedure HEAP-EXTRACT-MAX(S, n)
2:   if n < 1 then
3:     error "heap underflow"
4:   end if
5:   max ← S[1]
6:   S[1] ← S[n]
7:   n ← n - 1
8:   MAX-HEAPIFY(S, 1, n)
9:   return max
10: end procedure
```

```
1: procedure HEAP-INCREASE-KEY(S, i, key)
2:   if key < S[i] then
3:     error "new key is smaller than current key"
4:   end if
5:   S[i] ← key
6:   while i > 1 and S[Parent(i)] < S[i] do
7:     exchange S[i] with S[Parent(i)]
8:     i ← Parent(i)
9:   end while
10: end procedure
1: procedure MAX-HEAP-INSERT(S, key, n)
2:   n ← n + 1
3:   S[n] ← -∞
4:   HEAP-INCREASE-KEY(S, n, key)
5: end procedure
```

Disjoint sets

$S = S_1, \dots, S_k$ is a collection of disjoint dynamic sets. Each set is identified by a representative which is a member of the set.

- Uses a **linked list** or a **graph forest**.
- **Make-Set(x):** make a new set $S_i = x$ and add S_i to S . $\Theta(1)$ $\Theta(1)$
- **Union(x,y):** if $x \in S_x, y \in S_y$ then $S = S - S_x - S_y \cup S_x \cup S_y$. $\mathcal{O}(m + n \log n)$ $\mathcal{O}(m(n))$
- **Find(x):** returns the representative of the set containing x . $\Theta(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(h)$
- **Connected components:** returns disjoint sets of all vertices connected inside a graph. $\mathcal{O}(V \log V + E)$ $\mathcal{O}(V + E)$

Linked List

A linked list is a linear data structure where each element (node) points to the next. Unlike arrays, it is not index-based and allows efficient insertions and deletions.

Operations:

- **Search:** Find an element with a specific key $\mathcal{O}(n)$
- **Insert:** Insert an element at the head $\mathcal{O}(1)$
- **Delete:** Remove an element $\Theta(1)$ $\mathcal{O}(n)$ if simple list

Pseudocode:

▷ Searches for the first element with key k

```
1: procedure LIST-SEARCH(L, k)
2:   x ← L.head
3:   while x ≠ NIL and x.key ≠ k do
4:     x ← x.next
5:   end while
6:   return x
7: end procedure
```

▷ Inserts a new node x at the head of the list

```
1: procedure LIST-INSERT(L, x)
2:   x.next ← L.head
3:   L.head ← x
4: end procedure
```

▷ Deletes node x from the list

```
1: procedure LIST-DELETE(L, x)
2:   if L.head = x then
3:     L.head ← x.next
4:   end if
5:   if L.head = x then
6:     L.head ← x.next
7:   end if
8:   if x.next = NIL then
9:     x.next ← x.next
10:  end if
11:  if x.next = NIL then
12:    x.next ← x.next
13:  end if
14:  if x.next = NIL then
15:    x.next ← x.next
16:  end if
17:  if x.next = NIL then
18:    x.next ← x.next
19:  end if
20:  if x.next = NIL then
21:    x.next ← x.next
22:  end if
23:  if x.next = NIL then
24:    x.next ← x.next
25:  end if
26:  if x.next = NIL then
27:    x.next ← x.next
28:  end if
29:  if x.next = NIL then
30:    x.next ← x.next
31:  end if
32:  if x.next = NIL then
33:    x.next ← x.next
34:  end if
35:  if x.next = NIL then
36:    x.next ← x.next
37:  end if
38:  if x.next = NIL then
39:    x.next ← x.next
40:  end if
41:  if x.next = NIL then
42:    x.next ← x.next
43:  end if
44:  if x.next = NIL then
45:    x.next ← x.next
46:  end if
47:  if x.next = NIL then
48:    x.next ← x.next
49:  end if
50:  if x.next = NIL then
51:    x.next ← x.next
52:  end if
53:  if x.next = NIL then
54:    x.next ← x.next
55:  end if
56:  if x.next = NIL then
57:    x.next ← x.next
58:  end if
59:  if x.next = NIL then
60:    x.next ← x.next
61:  end if
62:  if x.next = NIL then
63:    x.next ← x.next
64:  end if
65:  if x.next = NIL then
66:    x.next ← x.next
67:  end if
68:  if x.next = NIL then
69:    x.next ← x.next
70:  end if
71:  if x.next = NIL then
72:    x.next ← x.next
73:  end if
74:  if x.next = NIL then
75:    x.next ← x.next
76:  end if
77:  if x.next = NIL then
78:    x.next ← x.next
79:  end if
80:  if x.next = NIL then
81:    x.next ← x.next
82:  end if
83:  if x.next = NIL then
84:    x.next ← x.next
85:  end if
86:  if x.next = NIL then
87:    x.next ← x.next
88:  end if
89:  if x.next = NIL then
90:    x.next ← x.next
91:  end if
92:  if x.next = NIL then
93:    x.next ← x.next
94:  end if
95:  if x.next = NIL then
96:    x.next ← x.next
97:  end if
98:  if x.next = NIL then
99:    x.next ← x.next
100:  end if
```

Binary Search Trees

A binary search tree (BST) is a binary tree where each node has a key and satisfies the following properties:

- For any node x , all keys in its left subtree are less than x key.
- All keys in its right subtree are greater than or equal to x key.

Pseudocode:

▷ Searches for a node with key k starting from node x

▷ Runs in $\mathcal{O}(h)$ time, where h is the height of the tree ($\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ if balanced)

```
1: procedure TREE-SEARCH(x, k)
2:   if x = NIL or k = x.key then
3:     return x
4:   else if k < x.key then
5:     return TREE-SEARCH(x.left, k)
6:   else
7:     return TREE-SEARCH(x.right, k)
8:   end if
9: end procedure
```

▷ Finds the minimum key node in the subtree rooted at x

```
1: procedure TREE-MINIMUM(x)  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ 
2:   while x.left ≠ NIL do
3:     x ← x.left
4:   end while
5:   return x
6: end procedure
```

▷ Finds the maximum key node in the subtree rooted at x

```
1: procedure TREE-MAXIMUM(x)  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ 
2:   while x.right ≠ NIL do
3:     x ← x.right
4:   end while
5:   return x
6: end procedure
```

Rod Cutting

Given a rod of length n and a table of prices p_i for rods of length $i = 1, \dots, n$, determine the optimal way to cut the rod to maximize profit. The optimal revenue function $r(n)$ is defined as:

$$r(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{p_i + r(n-i)\} & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Pseudocode:

```
1: procedure EXTENDED-BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p, n)
2:   let r[0...n] and s[0...n] be new arrays
3:   r[0] ← 0
4:   s[0] ← 0 ▷ Usually s[0] isn't explicitly used for solution reconstruction, but included as per your pseudocode
5:   for j ← 1 to n do
6:     q ← -∞
7:     for i ← 1 to j do
8:       if q < p[i] + r[j-i] then
9:         q ← p[i] + r[j-i]
10:        s[j] ← i
11:      end if
12:    end for
13:    r[j] ← q
14:  end for
15:  return r and s
16: end procedure
```

Time complexity: $\Theta(n^2)$

Space complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n)$

Modify a Binary Tree

With h being the height of the tree.

```
1: procedure TREE-INSERT(T, z)  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ 
2:   y ← NIL ▷ Parent of z
3:   x ← T.root
4:   while x ≠ NIL do
5:     y ← x
6:     if z.key < x.key then
7:       x ← x.left
8:     else
9:       x ← x.right
10:    end if
11:  end while
12:  z.p ← y
13:  if y = NIL then
14:    T.root ← z
15:  else if z.key < y.key then
16:    y.left ← z
17:  else
18:    y.right ← z
19:  end if
20: end procedure
```

```
21: procedure TRANSPLANT(T, u, v)  $\Theta(1)$ 
22:  if u.p = NIL then
23:    T.root ← v
24:  else if u = u.p.left then
25:    u.p.left ← v
26:  else
27:    u.p.right ← v
28:  end if
29:  if v ≠ NIL then
30:    v.p ← u.p
31:  end if
32: end procedure
```

```
33: procedure TREE-DELETE(T, z)  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ 
34:  if z.left = NIL then
35:    TRANSPLANT(T, z, z.right)
36:  else if z.right = NIL then
37:    TRANSPLANT(T, z, z.left)
38:  else
39:    y ← TREE-MINIMUM(z.right)
40:    if y.p ≠ z then
41:      TRANSPLANT(T, y, y.right)
42:      y.right ← z.right
43:      y.right.p ← y
44:    end if
45:    TRANSPLANT(T, z, y)
46:    y.left ← z.left
47:    y.left.p ← y
48:  end if
49: end procedure
```

```
50: procedure TREE-SUCCESSOR(x)  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ 
51:  if x.right ≠ NIL then
52:    return TREE-MINIMUM(x.right)
53:  end if
54:  y ← x.p
55:  while y ≠ NIL and x == y.right do
56:    x ← y
57:    y ← y.p
58:  end while
59:  return y
60: end procedure
```

Counting Sort

Counting Sort assumes the input consists of n integers in the range 0 to k and sorts them in $\mathcal{O}(n+k)$ time. It is stable and non-comparative.

```
1: procedure COUNTING-SORT(A, B, n, k)
2:  let C[0...k] be a new array
3:  for i ← 0 to k do
4:    C[i] ← 0
5:  end for
6:  for j ← 1 to n do
7:    C[A[j]] ← C[A[j]] + 1
8:  end for
9:  for i ← 1 to k do
10:   C[i] ← C[i] + C[i-1]
11: end for
12: for j ← n downto 1 do
13:   B[C[A[j]]] ← A[j]
14:   C[A[j]] ← C[A[j]] - 1
15: end for
16: end procedure
```

Building a Binary Search Tree

Given a sequence $K = \langle k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \rangle$ of n distinct sorted keys and, for every k_i , a probability p_i , find a binary search tree T that minimizes:

$$E[\text{search cost in } T] = \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{depth}_T(k_i) + 1) \cdot p_i$$

This is solved via dynamic programming.

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$

1: procedure OPTIMAL-BST(p, q, n)

```
2: let e[1...n+1][0...n], w[1...n+1][0...n], r[1...n+1][0...n] be new tables
3: for i ← 1 to n + 1 do
4:   e[i][i-1] ← 0
5:   w[i][i-1] ← 0
6: end for
7: for l ← 1 to n do ▷ length of subproblem
8:   for i ← 1 to n - l + 1 do
9:     e[i][i+l-1] ← ∞
10:    w[i][i+l-1] ← 0
11:    for r ← i to i+l do
12:      t ← e[i][r-1] + e[r+1][i+l] + w[i][i+l]
13:      if t < e[i][i+l] then
14:        e[i][i+l] ← t
15:        w[i][i+l] ← t
16:        root[i][i+l] ← r
17:      end if
18:    end for
19:  end for
20: end for
```

Matrix-Chain Multiplication

Given a chain $\langle A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \rangle$ of n matrices, where for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, matrix A_i has dimensions $p_{i-1} \times p_i$, find the most efficient way to fully parenthesize the product $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$ so as to minimize the total number of scalar multiplications. The optimal substructure is defined by the recurrence:

$$m[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ \min_{i \leq k < j} \{m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p_{i-1} p_k p_j\} & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}$$

```
1: procedure MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER(p)
2:  n ← p.length - 1
3:  let m[1...n][1...n] and s[1...n][1...n] be new tables
4:  for i ← 1 to n do
5:    m[i][i] ← 0
6:  end for
7:  for l ← 2 to n do ▷ l is the chain length
8:    for i ← 1 to n - l + 1 do
9:      j ← i + l - 1
10:     m[i][j] ← ∞
11:     for k ← i to j - 1 do
12:       q ← m[i][k] + m[k+1][j] + p[i-1] · p[k] · p[j]
13:       if q < m[i][j] then
14:         m[i][j] ← q
15:         s[i][j] ← k ▷ s stores the optimal split point
16:       end if
17:     end for
18:   end for
19: end for
```

Time complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$

Space complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$

