2020-08-14

Exam in DAT 105 (DIT 051) Computer Architecture

Time: August 18, 14-18 (Canvas)

Person in charge of the exam: Per Stenström, Phone: 0730-346 340

Supporting material/tools: Chalmers approved calculator, textbook.

Exam Review: More information on this will be available via Canvas

Grading intervals:

• Fail: Result < 24

• **Grade 3**: 24 <= Result < 36

• Grade 4: 36 <= Result < 48

• Grade 5: 48 <= Result

NOTE 1: Bonus points from Real-stuff studies and Quizzes will be added to the exam results for approved exams used solely for higher grades.

NOTE 2: Answers must be given in English

NOTE 3: Read the document Instructions for Canvas exam carefully available at Canvas



CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING
412 96 Göteborg

Visiting address: Rännvägen 5

Phone: 031-772 1761 Fax: 031-772 3663

Org. Nr: 556479-5598

E-mail: pers@chalmers.se

GOOD LUCK! Per Stenström

[General disclaimer: If you feel that sufficient facts are not provided to solve a problem, either 1) ask the teacher when he visits the exam, or 2) make your own additional assumptions. Additional assumptions will be accepted if they are reasonable and required to solve the problem. Always make sure to motivate your answers.]

ASSIGNMENT 1

You are supposed to characterize the performance of two computers, A and B, with data regarding three programs P1, P2 and P3.

The following data has been collected.

Assumptions for computer model A						
Execution time for programs P1, P2 and	1s, 10s and 2s, respectively					
P3:						
Number of instruction cache accesses:	10 ⁸ , 10 ⁹ and 2 x 10 ⁸ for P1, P2 and P3, resp.					
Clocks per instruction assuming an	1.5 for P1-P3					
instruction/data caches have zero misses						
Fraction of memory instructions	20%, 30%, 20% for P1, P2 and P3, resp.					
Miss penalty	100 ns					
Speedup over reference machine R	10, 10, 10 for P1, P2 and P3, resp.					
A's operating frequency	1 GHz					
Assumptions for computer model B						
Number of instruction cache accesses:	10 ⁸ , 10 ⁸ and 10 ⁸ for P1, P2 and P3, resp.					
Clocks per instruction assuming an	1 for P1-P3					
instruction/data caches have zero misses						
Fraction of memory instructions	30%, 20%, 30% for P1, P2 and P3, resp.					
Miss penalty	100 ns					
Speedup over reference machine R	5, 30, 5 for P1, P2 and P3, resp.					
B's operating frequency	1 GHz					


- **1A)** What is the execution time of P1, P2 and P3 on B? (3 points)
- **1B)** What is the geometric mean execution time on A and B? Which one is the fastest and by how much? (3 points)
- **1C)** Calculate the number of Misses Per Kilo Instructions (MPKI) for P1 on A and B.

(3 points)

1D) Assume that 50% of the misses are caused by instruction fetches. What is average number of misses per memory instruction for P1 on A and B? (3 points)

ASSIGNMENT 2

We consider in this assignment a pipeline with a 5-stage pipelined floating-point unit and a single-stage execution unit that executes integer, load/store and branch instructions. There are forwarding units from the output of each execution unit and from the memory stage.



2A) Consider the following instruction sequence:

I1: ADD F0,F1,F2

I2: ADD R1,R2,R3

I3: ADD R4,R1,R3


I4: ADD F3,F4,F5

I5: ADD F6,F7,F5

Determine the number of cycles it takes from I1 is issued from the ID-stage until I5 enters the ME-stage in the case that i) the floating-point unit is fully pipelined and ii) when it is not.

(4 points)

- **2B)** The model in 2A) is now changed to cope with higher clock frequencies as follows.
 - The IF stage is replaced by two IF stages: IF1 and IF2
 - The integer execution unit is replaced by two **fully pipelined** stages: EX1 and EX2
 - The FP pipeline now consists of five stages where only a single FP operation can be initiated every five cycles
 - The ME stage is replaced by two **fully pipelined** stages



Consider the same program as in 2A) and determine the number of cycles it takes from I1 is issued from the ID-stage until I5 enters the ME1-stage. Assuming that we can double the frequency for this pipeline, which of the pipelines (the two in 2A and the one here) is the fastest? (4 points)

2C) Consider the following loop and apply loop unrolling twice. (4 points)


LOOP: LD F0, 0(R1) LD F1, 0(R2) ADD F4, F0, F1 SD F4, 0(R1) ADDI R1, R1,#8 ADDI R2, R2,#8 SUBI R3, R3,#1 BNEZ R3, LOOP

ASSIGNMENT 3

The diagram below shows a pipeline with support for speculative execution. There are three functional units: one for integer/branch instructions (INT); one for floating-point instructions (FP) and one for memory instructions (MEM). There is a branch prediction mechanism (BPB) using 1 bit for prediction, meaning that the prediction is changed every time there is a misprediction. In addition, a branch target buffer (BTB) is in the IF stage.

For the functional units, it takes a single cycle to execute an INT instruction, three cycles for an FP instruction(non-pipelined), a single cycle for a load and two cycles for a store in the MEM unit.

The pipeline supports register renaming using a register alias table (RAT) and data hazards are handled using the Tomasulo algorithm. Speculation is enabled by a reorder buffer and speculatively executed instructions are committed in the commit stage (CT).



Consider the following program:

```
I1: LOOP: L.S
                 F0, 0(R1)
I2:
           L.S
                 F1, 0(R2)
I3:
           ADD.S F2, F1, F0
I4:
           ADD.S F1, F3, F4
                 F4, 0(R1)
I5:
           SD
           ADDI
                 R1, R1, #8
I6:
I7:
           ADDI
                 R1, R2, #8
           SUBI
                 R3, R3, #1
I8:
I9:
           BNEZ
                 R3, LOOP
```

3A)

- i) Explain in detail how the RAT is instrumental in detecting the WAR hazard between I3 and I4. (2 points)
- ii) Explain in detail how the ROB and the RAT are instrumental in detecting the RAW hazard between I1/I2 on one hand and I3 on the other. (2 points)
- **3B)** Show with a pipeline timing diagram, cycle-by-cycle, when each of the instructions I1-I9 enters a specific pipeline stage assuming that I1 has entered ID at cycle 0 and when I9 reaches the CDB stage. (3 points)
- **3C)** Explain in detail what happens in the Commit (CT) stage when a branch that is mispredicted is committed (**2 points**)
- **3D)** Assume that the branch predictor is initialized to Not-Taken and that the loop in 3A) is executed 100 times. What is the fraction of correct branch predictions in percent? (3 points)

ASSIGNMENT 4

- **4A)** Explain which of the statements, below, are **not true** and why they are **not true**. In a two-level **inclusive** memory-hierarchy the following holds:
 - i) A block in the upper level always exists in the lower level
 - ii) A block in the upper level do not exist in the lower level
 - iii) A block in the lower level may also exist in the upper level

Page 6(14)

iv) A block in the lower level does not exist in the upper level

Note: A wrong answer cancels a correct answer. (2 points)

4B) A computer architect wants to establish the relative performance between a system with a blocking and a non-blocking cache using software prefetching. In software prefetching, prefetch instructions are appropriately inserted in the code shown below. Assume that five instructions are executed per iteration where the CPI for each instruction is one assuming that it doesn't cause a cache miss. On the other hand, if the instruction causes a cache miss, CPI is 20. In addition, prefetch instructions result in CPI=2. Both caches (blocking and non-blocking) have a block size of four words. In the non-blocking cache, there are 8 miss-status-holding registers.

```
for (i=0; i<1000; i++)
C+=A[i];
```

First show how the code is annotated with prefetch instructions so that the cache miss penalty can be eliminated. How much faster does the program run on the system with a non-blocking cache using software prefetching? A convincing explanation that is easy to follow is needed for full points. (6 points)

4C) Consider a two-way set-associative cache with 8 blocks and the following sequence of block accesses:

```
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 1, 2, 3, 4, 17, 18, 1, 2
```

Determine the number of cold, capacity and conflict misses in the cache. Assume LRU for determination of capacity and conflict misses (4 points)

ASSIGNMENT 5

5A) Consider a multicore system comprising a number of processors (cores) on a chip that are connected to a single-level private cache. The private caches use the write-through write policy. $X_i=R_i$ and $X_i=W_i$, mean a read and a write request to the *same* address X from processor *i*, respectively, where $W_i=C$ means that the value C is written by processor *i*. Now consider the following access sequence assuming that X is not present in any cache from the beginning and that X originally contains the value 0:

 $W_{1=}1$ R_{1} R_{2} $W_{1=}2$

 R_2

What should be returned by the second read operation from processor 2 and what is the reason that the correct value is not returned given the cache write policy assumed? (3 points)

- **5B)** How can a simple change of the write-through cache make sure that the correct value is returned to processor 2? (3 points)
- **5C)** Multithreading refers to a general technique to switch to another thread when a high-latency operation is encountered. Explain for the three example techniques a) block multithreading b) interleaved (or fine-grained) multithreading and c) simultaneous multithreading how a five-stage pipeline must be extended to support each of the techniques. (**6 points**).

*** GOOD LUCK! ***

Solutions to the exam in DAT105/DIT 051 2020-08-18

ASSIGNMENT 1

1A) Half of the number of misses stems from memory instructions Denote SP_A = Execution time R/ Execution time A and SP_B = Execution time R/ Execution time B. Then

Execution time B = Execution time R/SP_B = Execution time A x SP_A/SP_B

Page 8(14)

Execution time B (P1) = 1 x 10/5 s = 2 s Execution time B (P2) = $10 \times 10/30$ s = 3.3 s Execution time B (P3) = $2 \times 10/5$ s = 4 s

1B) GM = $F(T(P1) \times T(P2) \times T(P3))$, where F is the third root.

A: $GM_A = F(1x10x2) = 2.7$ B: $GM_B = F(2x3.3x4) = 2.9$

Hence A is 7% faster than B.

1C) The number of instructions executed is the same as the number of instruction cache accesses. Since we know the clocks per instructions assuming 100% hit rate and the clock frequency, we can calculate how long time it would take to execute the program *without* any cache misses:

A: Ideal execution time for P1 is 10^8 x 1.5 x 1 ns = 0.15 s. Hence 0.85 s is used to service cache misses. Since 1 cache miss takes 100 ns, there must be $0.85/10^{-7}$ misses = 0.85×10^7 . That is, the total number of misses per instruction is $0.85 \times 10^7/10^8 = 0.085$ misses and 85 MPKI.

B: Ideal execution time for P1 is 10^8 x 1 x 1 ns = 0.1 s. Hence 2 - 0.1s = 1.9 s is used to service cache misses. Since 1 cache miss takes 100 ns, there must be $1.9/10^{-7}$ misses = 1.9 x 10^7 .

That is, the total number of misses per instruction is $1.9 \times 10^7/10^8 = 0.19$ misses and 190 MPKI.

1D)

A: From 1C) we know that each instruction generates 0.085/2 instruction misses including memory instructions. On the other hand, there are 20% memory instructions for P1, that is, 2×10^7 . The average number of misses for a memory instruction is $0.085 \times 10^7/(2 \times 2 \times 10^7) = 0.021$ misses. Hence, the total number of misses per memory instruction is 0.0425 + 0.021 = 0.063.

B: From 1C) we know that each instruction generates 0.19/2 = 0.095 instruction misses including memory instructions.

On the other hand, there are 30% memory instructions for P1, that is, 3×10^7 . The average number of misses for a memory instruction is $0.095 \times 10^7 / (3 \times 10^7) = 0.031$ misses. Hence, the total number of misses per memory instruction is 0.095 + 0.031 = 0.12.

ASSIGNMENT 2

2A)

i) 10 Cycles

Page 9(14)

	ID	FP1	FP2	FP3	FP4	FP5	Ex	ME	WB
C1	l1								
C2	12	l1							
C3	13		l1				12		
C4	14			l1			13	12	
C5	15	14			l1			13	12
C6		15	14			l1			13
C7			15	14				l1	
C8				15	14				l1
C9					15	14			
C10						15		14	
C11								15	14
C12									15

ii) Not pipelined functional unit: 16 cycles

	ID	FP1	FP2	FP3	FP4	FP5	Ex	ME	WB
C1	11								
C2	12	l1							
C3	13		l1				12		
C4	14			l1			13	12	
C5	15				l1			13	12
C6						l1			13
C7		14						I1	
C8			14						I1
C9				14					
C10					14				
C11						14			
C12		15						14	
C13			15						14
C14				15					
C15					15				
C16						15			

Page 10(14)

C17		-		15	
C18					15

16 cycles

2B)

<u>2B)</u>

	ID	FP1	FP2	FP3	FP4	FP5	Ex1	Ex2	МЕ	WB
C1	l1									
C2	12	l1								
C3	13		l1				12			
C4	14			l1			13	12		
C5	15				l1			13	12	
C6						l1			13	12
C7		14							l1	13
C8			l4							l1
C9				14						
C10					14					
C11						14				
C12		15							14	
C13			15							14
C14				15						
C15					I 5					
C16						15				
C17									15	
C18										15

16 Cycles

Page 11(14)

The program will need 10, 16 and 16 cycles for the two pipelines in 2A i/ and ii/ and the one here, respectively. Since this pipeline is clocked at twice the frequency of the ones in 2A, this pipeline is the fastest: 10/8 = 1.25 and 16/8 = 2.0, that is by 25% and 100%, resp.

2C)

LOOP: LD F0, 0(R1)
LD F1, 0(R2)
LD F2, 8(R1)
LD F3, 8(R2)
ADD F4, F0, F1
ADD F5, F2, F3
ADDI R1, R1,#16
ADDI R2, R2,#16
SUBI R3, R3,#2
SD F4, -16(R1)
SD F5, -8(R1)
BNEZ R3, LOOP

ASSIGNMENT 3

3A)

- i) When I3 accesses the RAT, F1 will be associated with the tag of F1 from I2 so it cannot erroneously access the result from I4. When I4 is accessing the RAT, register F1 will be associated with the tag of I4 so there is a complete disassociation between F1 accessed in I3 and F1 written to in I4 eliminating the WAR hazard between I3 and I4.
- ii) When I1 and I2 are issued, entries will be allocated in the ROB at say entry i1 and i2. These entries will be used as tags for the destination operands F0 and F1, respectively and are recorded in the RAT as the destination operands that will eventually be written back to the register file when I1 and I2 are committed. When I3 is issued, the RAT will provide the tags telling I3 to wait for the values produced by I1 and I2 hence eliminating the RAW hazard.

3B)

	ID	IS	INT	FP1	FP2	FP3	MEM 1	MEM 2	CDB
C1	I1								
C2	12	l1							
C3	13	12					I1		
C4	14	13					12		I1

Page 12(14)

					12(11)				
C5	15	14							12
C6	16	15		13					
C7	17	16			13		15		
C8	18	17	16			13		15	
C9	19	18	17	14					13
C10		19	18		14				I 5
C11			19			14			16
C12									17
C13									14
C14									18
C15									19

3C)

The ROB records all instructions in the order they appear in the program, the program order. When a branch is mispredicted and reaches the Commit stage (CT), all instructions that have been executed speculatively or are under execution appear in the ROB, after the branch. These instructions are flushed from the ROB and the branch is re-executed.

3D) Since the predictor is initialized to Not-Taken, the first branch (that is taken) is mispredicted and the last branch (that is not taken) is also mispredicted. The rest of the branches (98) are correctly predicted so the fraction is 2/100 = 2%.

ASSIGNMENT 4

4A)

- i) True because the upper level is included in the lower level
- ii) False as it contradicts i)
- iii) **True** because a block can exist in the lower level that does not exist in the upper level.
- iv) **False** because all blocks in the upper level exist in the lower level.

4B)

Each iteration takes 7 cycles. There is a cache miss every fourth iteration as there are four words in each block. Since four iterations take 20 cycles to execute, launching a prefetch every fourth iteration for the address to be used three iterations ahead will eliminate the miss penalty(ie i x 7>20):

```
for (i=0; i<1000; i++){}
```

```
Page 13(14)
```

```
if (i mod 4) == 0 then
    prefetch(i+3);

C+=A[i];
}
```

Execution time on a blocking cache:

Time for four iterations: $4 \times 5 + 20 = 40$ cycles

Execution time on a non-blocking cache:

Time for four iterations $4 \times 5 + 2 = 22$ cycles (2 for the prefetch instruction disregarding the conditional statement)

Speedup = 40/22 = 1.81. That is, 81% faster.

4C)

Cold misses: 12 (number of unique blocks)

Capacity misses:

```
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 17 18 1 2
M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M H H
```

Total: 16

Capacity=Total-Cold=4

Conflict

```
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 4 17 18 1 2 M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M H H
```

Total: 16

Conflict= Total - Capacity = 0

ASSIGNMENT 5

- **5A)** The second read from processor 2 should return 2 reflecting the second write by processor 2 but will return the value 0 as the processor will read from its own cache.
- **5B)** By simply invalidating the block in another cache when it sees a write to that block. This will force the second read to experience a cache miss that will return the correct value.

5C)

Page 14(14)

Regardless of the particular technique employed any multithreaded architecture must support as many register files as the number of threads.

Block multithreading:

- A thread switch stage is added to switch to another thread on a long-latency operation.
- On a long latency operation, pipeline is flushed before switching to the next thread.
- Thread ID accompanies the instructions for hazard detection etc.

Interleaved multithreading:

- A thread switch stage is added to switch to another thread on each cycle.
- Thread ID accompanies the instructions for hazard detection etc.

Simultaneous multithreading:

- A thread scheduler selects from which thread(s) to fetch
- Thread ID accompanies the instructions for hazard detection etc.