Chapter 9: The Partitioned Reduction Theorem II

In which we construct the generic solution to the partitioned reduction problem.

We are given an array f[0..N) of int which contains values and we are asked to construct a program to establish the following

$$\{ r = \langle \oplus j : \alpha \le j < \beta : g(f,j) \rangle, 0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le N \}$$

where

$$g.x = h.x \Leftarrow Q.x$$

 $g.x = Id \oplus \Leftarrow not.(odd.x)$

Postcondition.

Post:
$$r = \langle \oplus j : \alpha \le j < \beta : g.(f.j) \rangle$$

Strengthen postcondition.

Post':
$$r = \langle \oplus j : \alpha \le j < n : g.(f.j) \rangle \land n = \beta$$

Domain modelling.

Inspired by the shape of our postcondition, we now proceed to develop a little mathematical model of our domain. We begin with a single postulate.

* (0) C.n =
$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \le j < n : g.(f.j) \rangle$$
 , $\alpha \le n \le \beta$

The function g is defined as follows:

We now explore some theorems.

Consider

$$C.\alpha$$
= $\{(0) \text{ in model }\}$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < \alpha : g.(f.j) \rangle$$
= $\{ \text{ empty range } \}$

$$Id \oplus$$

Which gives us

- (3)
$$C.\alpha = Id \oplus$$

Consider

$$C.(n+1)$$

$$= \{(0) \text{ in model}\}$$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < n+1 : g.(f.j) \rangle$$

$$= \{\text{split off } j = n \text{ term }\}$$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < n : g.(f.j) \rangle \oplus g.(f.n)$$

$$= \{\text{case } Q.(f.n), (1) \text{ in model }\}$$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < n : g.(f.j) \rangle \oplus h.(f.n)$$

$$= \{(0) \text{ in model }\}$$

$$C.n \oplus h.(f.n)$$

Which gives us

$$-(4) C.(n+1) = C.n \oplus h.(f.n) \Leftrightarrow Q.(f.n), \alpha \leq n < \beta$$

Now consider the other case.

$$C.(n+1)$$

$$= \{(0) \text{ in model}\}$$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < n+1 : g.(f.j) \rangle$$

$$= \{\text{split off } j = n \text{ term } \}$$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < n : g.(f.j) \rangle \oplus g.(f.n)$$

$$= \{\text{case not.}(Q.(f.n)), (2) \text{ in model } \}$$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < n : g.(f.j) \rangle \oplus \text{Id} \oplus$$

$$= \{(0) \text{ in model } \}$$

$$C.n \oplus \text{Id} \oplus$$

Which gives us

$$-(5) C.(n+1) = C.n \oplus$$

$$C.n \oplus Id \oplus \leftarrow not.(Q.(f.n))$$
, $\alpha \leq n < \beta$

$$\alpha \leq n < \beta$$

This completes our model.

Rewrite postcondition in terms of model.

Post':
$$r = C.n \land n = \beta$$

Invariants.

$$P0: r = C.n$$

P1:
$$\alpha \le n \le \beta$$

Termination.

We observe that

$$P0 \land P1 \land n = \beta$$
 \Rightarrow Post

Establishing the invariants.

To establish P0 we need to bind r to the value of C.n, for some n. Theorem (1) in our model gives us the value of C.n when $n = \alpha$. So the following assignment establishes P0 and also P1.

$$n, r := \alpha, Id \oplus$$

Guard.

$$n \neq \beta$$

Variant.

Loop body.

```
\begin{array}{ll} (n,\,r:=n+1,\,E).P0\\ &=&\{\text{textual substitution}\}\\ E=C.(n+1)\\ =&\{\text{Case analysis, Q.(f.n), P1 and n}\neq\beta\text{ allow us to appeal to (4)}\}\\ E=C.n\oplus h.(f.n)\\ =&\{P0\,\}\\ E=r\oplus h.(f.n) \end{array}
```

Giving us the program fragment

$$\bigcap$$
 Q.(f.n) \rightarrow n, r := n+1, r \oplus h.(f.n)

We now look at the other case.

```
\begin{array}{ll} (n,\,r:=n+1,\,E).P0\\ &=&\{\text{textual substitution}\}\\ &=&\{C.(n+1)\\ &=&\{C\text{ase analysis, not.}(Q.(f.n))\,,\,P1\text{ and }n\neq\beta\text{ allow us to appeal to (5)}\}\\ &=&\{P0\,\}\\ &=&\{P0\,\}\\ &=&\{P0\,\}\\ \end{array}
```

Giving us the program fragment

$$[]$$
 not. $(Q.(f.n)) \rightarrow n, r := n+1, r \oplus Id \oplus$

As $(Q.x \vee not.(Q.x)) = true$ we have covered all possibilities so we can now write the finished loop program.

Finished program.