

Code injection and Syscall interception with Ptrace

Log into your VM (user / 1234), open a terminal and type in infosec pull 7.

- When prompted, enter your username and password
- Once the command completes, your exercise should be ready at /home/user/7/

When you finish solving the assignment, submit your exercise with infosec push 7.

Motivation

In this exercise, you will experiment with using the ptrace functionality. We'll have a C&C server controlling a malware, and an antivirus attempting to detect the malware. From the C&C server, you will send commands to the malware, to modify the execution of the antivirus, so the antivirus will no longer see our virus.

We will experiment with both code injection (modifying the code of the antivirus) and syscall interception (modifying the results returned from syscalls dynamically), both which can be used to sabotage the detection efforts of the antivirus.

Background

Let's go over the files and tools you'll use, starting with the binaries / directory:

- binaries/malware (and its source files) a simple backdoor that:
 - Connects to a C&C server every few seconds to download a payload
 - Writes the payload to /tmp/payload, and executes it
 - A payload can be a shell command or a binary file; anything that runs with chmod +x /tmp/payload; /tmp/payload
 - Uploads back the STDOUT of the payload to the server
- binaries/antivirus (and its source files) a simple antivirus that:
 - Receives a directory and scans files in it recursively
 - It compares the hashes of the files in it with static signatures of known malware (including the hash of our malware)
 - For each matching file, it emits a warning.
- binaries/libvalidator.so (and its source files) a part of the antivirus that was factored out (to be easily upgradeable), and containing the check_if_virus(char* path) function that the antivirus invokes on the files it scans to check if they're suspicious.



- binaries/stop_exercise.sh this script will kill all the running binaries of the exercise (malware, antivirus and /tmp/payload) to allow you to start a "fresh" run.
 - Note that this script must be executed as root (i.e. with sudo)

Outside the binaries/ directory, we have our beloved assemble.py script, and also a new script, server.py - a simple C&C server. If you check out its code (which is pretty simple), you'll see it does the following:

- It listens on port 8000 for incoming connections from the malware
- When it accepts a connection, it sends a payload to the malware
- From the malware, it receives back the product (the payload's STDOUT), and invokes a handler function to handle the payload
- The handler function can call the add_payload function to enqueue another payload and handler
 - By having each handler add more payloads and handlers, you can build arbitrarily complex logics with many steps

For example, we added an implementation that performs a directory listing on /etc, and if it contains the shadow file (see <u>Wikipedia</u>), it sends a followup payload to read it, and then extracts the root password out of it.

To see this for real time, run python server.py, and then binaries/malware; you can also run /binaries/antivirus to see it detect our malware. Look at the server logs. Now look at the malware logs. Now look at the antivirus logs. Back to the server logs. Back to the malware logs. I'm on a horse.



Question 1 (10 pt)

In this part, we'll get started by implementing a C&C server that, if the antivirus is running, finds its process ID (pid), and then simply kills it with kill -9 <pid>. This has to happen in two steps:

- First, send a command to find the process pid, and parse its STDOUT.
- Then, send a command to kill that process, using the pid you've just extracted.

Part A (5 pt)

To make this a little easier, we've implemented the EvadeAntivirusServer base class in server.py, so you only need to implement these methods:

- payload_for_getting_antivirus_pid(): this function returns the payload that's used to get the antivirus pid. We're good at naming stuff.
- get_antivirus_pid(product): this function is called on the product of the previous payload (the STDOUT), to extract the pid from it.

Implement these methods in server.py.

Note: there's no need to implement the evade_antivirus(pid) function. This is automatically implemented for you in all questions (q1.py, q2.py, ...).

Part B (5 pt)

In q1.py, implement the get_payload(pid) function. This function returns the payload that's used to evade the antivirus's detection mechanism; in this case, this should be the command to kill the antivirus. As usual, document your solution in q1.txt (specifically, document how you extracted the process ID. We know how you generated the kill command).

Question 2 (20 pt)

Killing the antivirus is pretty crude. This time, write a binary payload in q2.c that uses ptrace to overwrite check_if_virus's code with assembly that always returns 0, and serve it via the get_payload function in q2.py.

Continuing the tradition from exercise 6, update the addresses you use in addresses.py. For this exercise, update CHECK_IF_VIRUS_CODE in addresses.py and fill it in the payload using the Python code (i.e. don't hardcode this address in q2.c or q2.py - instead always read the value from addresses.py and put it in the shellcode). As usual, document your solution in q2.txt (specifically, document how you found the address to override).



That would be a good point to move on to question 3. However, you probably have some questions, so let's try answering those first:

Q: The first step is still finding the antivirus pid and extracting it; but now that you've got it, how do you "embed" it into your binary payload? And how do we "embed" the memory address to overwrite?

A: Lucky for us, we know how to patch binaries. Actually, we enjoy it. So we're going to add a global variable, for example int pid = 0x12345678; in q2.c, and then compile it into q2.template - that is, not the final payload, but a template for what we're going to send. In fact, you can use the makefile we provided to do just that.

Now, in get_payload, we don't simply read q2.template and serve it, but search for that unusual 0x12345678 sequence in it, and when we find it, we replace it with the 4 bytes of the actual pid. Neat, huh? And we can repeat this trick with another global variable, to pass the memory address we wish to overwrite.

To conclude, we send q2.template with the antivirus pid and memory address to overwrite patched in, resulting in a payload that ptrace's the antivirus, overwrites check_if_virus's code, and "blinds" the antivirus to our malware (and any other malware, actually).

Q: I'm getting a message that the target is 'up to date' when running make with the makefile?

Run make clean before, to delete the previously built version of the template files, and then run make again with the relevant target.

Q: Why does it take the payload a few seconds to run? And why does the antivirus still print a warning one last time in some of the runs?

A: You can't ptrace a sleeping process, so your payload may hang for several seconds until the antivirus wakes up. Additionally, depending on whether the OS runs ptrace or the scan first, the antivirus may emit a warning one last time. If it does, don't worry: just make sure no warning appears in any of its next scans.

Question 3 (35 pt)

Overwriting check_if_virus's code is not ideal, as libvalidator.so might get updated, and the opcodes' address might change. This time, write a binary payload in q3.c that uses ptrace to overwrite check_if_virus's GOT entry with some other function with a similar signature, that will return 0 on our malware, and serve it via the get_payload function in q3.py. As usual, document your solution in q3.txt



(specifically, document how you found the GOT address, and document which alternative you're using).

As before, document addresses you use - this time update addresses.py with CHECK_IF_VIRUS_GOT - the address of the GOT entry to override, and CHECK_IF_VIRUS_ALTERNATIVE - the address of the similar function to write.

Again, more questions that might trouble you are answered below.

Q: How do we get the pid and addresses into the payload

A: Similar to the previous question: use the makefile to create q3.template, patch it with the actual pid and addresses, and pat yourself on the back when the warning disappears from the antivirus's logs.

Q: Wait, patching the GOT?

A: Yes. In class, we mentioned that the GOT is the table storing the addresses for symbols we use from shared libraries. Just find another function with the same signature, that would return 0, and replace the addresses in the GOT.

Q: How do we know which address to patch in the GOT?

A: Re-read recitation 7 and recitation 5 to find the GOT addresses.

Question 4 (35 pt)

Overwriting check_if_virus's code a good idea, but future versions of the antivirus may have several similar functions, called from several places in the code, and we're going to have to patch all of them.

So instead of doing that, write a binary payload in q4.c that uses ptrace to intercept the antivirus's system calls and make all the <u>read</u> syscalls fail. This will cause every file to look empty to the antivirus, and as a result, our malware will not match its signature.

Note the important difference - while the previous payloads rewired the antivirus memory and then quit, this payload needs to keep running, in order to keep intercepting and sabotaging system calls.

Therefore, when you're debugging, to make sure there's only one /tmp/payload running, use the stop_exercise.sh script to kill all previously running payloads/viruses/etc. and start with a fresh run.

Implement your solution in q4.py and q4.c and document it in q4.txt.



Final notes:

- Document your code (and your payloads!).
- Remember to document all addresses in addresses.py, and don't hardcode addresses anywhere else (so we can update addresses.py ourselves).
- Don't use any additional third party libraries that aren't already installed on your machine (i.e. don't install anything).
- None of the coding parts (both C and Python) is supposed to be very long. If it's way more than 60-70 lines per question, it may be that you misunderstood the question.