

# Intro to AI Assignment 1 — Heuristic Search

Kenneth Bambridge — kmb394

February 19, 2017

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Algorithms</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Uniform Cost Search . . . . .	2
2.2	A* . . . . .	2
2.3	Weighted A* . . . . .	2
2.4	Sequential A* . . . . .	2
2.4.1	Proof that Sequential A* is $w_1$ suboptimal . . . . .	2
2.4.2	Proof that Sequential A* is $w_1 * w_2$ suboptimal . . . . .	2
2.5	Integrated A* . . . . .	2
2.5.1	Proof i . . . . .	2
2.5.2	Proof ii . . . . .	3
2.5.3	Proof iii . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Heuristics</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Chebychev Distance . . . . .	4
3.2	Manhattan Distance . . . . .	4
3.3	Diagonal Distance . . . . .	4
3.4	Euclidian Distance . . . . .	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Implementation Notes</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Map Generation . . . . .	4
4.2	Algorithm Implementation . . . . .	4
4.3	GUI Visualizer . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Benchmarks</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	Overall Comparison . . . . .	4
5.2	Comparison of Heuristic Modifiers . . . . .	4
5.3	Uniform Cost Search . . . . .	4
5.4	A* . . . . .	4
5.5	Weighted A* . . . . .	4
5.6	Sequential A* . . . . .	4
5.7	Integrated A* . . . . .	4
5.8	Conclusion . . . . .	4

# 1 Introduction

## 2 Algorithms

### 2.1 Uniform Cost Search

### 2.2 A\*

### 2.3 Weighted A\*

### 2.4 Sequential A\*

#### 2.4.1 Proof that Sequential A\* is $w_1$ suboptimal

Given that the  $g$  value of any state  $s$  expanded by the Weighted A\* algorithm is at most  $w_1$  suboptimal for an admissible and consistent heuristic, consider a state  $s_i$  in the  $OPEN_0$  queue that is on the least cost path to  $s_{goal}$ . During the initialization loop starting at line 13, all of the  $OPEN_i$  fringes are populated with the start node, which is trivially on the shortest path to  $s_{goal}$ . For the iterations starting at line 19, the only time the  $OPEN_0$  data structure is inserted or updated is on line 11, where it is inserted with the value  $g_0(s) + w_1 * h_0(s)$  for all neighbors where their  $g$  values are less than the existing keys in the fringe.

Since during every **ExpandState** call, all neighbors are considered for the node that was originally on the shortest path (the start node), there must also be a node  $s_n$  considered every time  $OPEN_0$  is updated that is on the shortest path to  $s_{goal}$ . This node's  $g$  value is also updated if it is less than the existing value. The key of this value is  $g(s_n) + w_1 * h(s_n)$ . The heuristic is admissible, so  $h(s_n) \leq c^*(s_n) \implies w_1 * h(s_n) \leq w_1 * c^*(s_n)$ . Since  $s_n$  is on the shortest path to goal,  $g(s_n)$  represents the shortest path from  $s_{start} \rightarrow s_n$ , therefore  $g(s_n) + w_1 * h(s_n) \leq w_1 * c^*(s_n)$ . Since this 'minimum' node exists for the initialization and is maintained in every loop, it exists for the duration of the algorithm.

The heuristic is admissible and consistent, therefore  $s_n$  must also be the minimum key in  $OPEN_0$  because

#### 2.4.2 Proof that Sequential A\* is $w_1 * w_2$ suboptimal

The program can exit in one of two ways, either with the anchor search or via a non-anchor heuristic. If all the non-anchor heuristics have minimum keys greater than the anchor, the anchor will be run and the goal returned if  $g_0(s_{goal})$  is less than the minimum key in  $OPEN_0$  and infinity. In this case the output is  $w_1$ -suboptimal as proven above.

When the program exits with a non-anchor heuristic, it is run when the minimum key is less than  $w_2 * OPEN_0.Minkey < w_2 * w_1 * c^*(s_{goal})$ . Therefore when the algorithm exits in this way the solution is  $w_1 * w_2$ -suboptimal.

### 2.5 Integrated A\*

#### 2.5.1 Proof i

No state expanded more than twice.

1. When a state is expanded, it is inserted into one of the *CLOSED* data structures. (a) In the inadmissible branch, it is inserted into  $CLOSED_{inad}$  (line 36). (b) In the admissible branch, it is inserted into  $CLOSED_{anchor}$  (line 44).
2. A state is never inserted into  $OPEN_i, \forall i$  while it is in the  $CLOSED_{anchor}$  data structure (line 12).
3. A state is never inserted into  $OPEN_i, \forall i \neq 0$  while it is in the  $CLOSED_{inad}$  data structure (line 14).
4. A state can only be expanded after being inserted into an  $OPEN_i$  data structure (line 29, 34, 42).
5. When a state is expanded, the state is removed from  $OPEN_i, \forall i$  (line 4).
6. By combining (1a), (2), (4), and (5), a state will be expanded at most once in the inadmissible branch (line 35).
7. By combining (1b), (2), (4), and (5), a state will be expanded at most once in the anchor branch (line 43).

Since a non-start state will only be expanded at most once in each branch, therefore no state is expanded more than twice other than the start node.

### 2.5.2 Proof ii

**State expanded in the anchor search is never re-expanded.**

1. When the anchor search expands a state, the state is added to  $CLOSED_{anchor}$  (line 44).
2. Only the anchor search branch can expand nodes in  $OPEN_0$  (line 42).
3. The anchor search branch only expands nodes in  $OPEN_0$  (lines 42–44).
4. A state is never inserted into  $OPEN_i, \forall i$  while it is in the  $CLOSED_{anchor}$  data structure (line 12).
5. After a state is expanded in the anchor search, it is not in  $OPEN_i, \forall i$  (line 4).
6. A state can only be expanded while in an  $OPEN_i$  data structure (line 29, 34, 42).
7. Therefore, a state expanded in the anchor search is never re-expanded.

### 2.5.3 Proof iii

State expanded in an inadmissible search can only be re-expanded in the anchor search if its g-value is lowered

1. A state expanded in an inadmissible can add the the state to  $OPEN_0$  (line 13).
2. Whenever a key is added to an inadmissible  $OPEN_i$ , then it's key must be less then or equal to the corresponding admissible heuristic (line 16)

3. If a state  $s_i$  was expanded in an inadmissible heuristic, then it was removed from  $OPEN_0$  (line 4).
4. The  $g$  value is retained, and then is only re-added if the check in line 10 is satisfied for  $n \in \text{succ}(s_i)$ , which for which it must be a lower  $g$  value.

## 3 Heuristics

### 3.1 Chebychev Distance

### 3.2 Manhattan Distance

### 3.3 Diagonal Distance

### 3.4 Euclidian Distance

## 4 Implementation Notes

### 4.1 Map Generation

### 4.2 Algorithm Implementation

### 4.3 GUI Visualizer

## 5 Benchmarks

### 5.1 Overall Comparison

### 5.2 Comparison of Heuristic Modifiers

### 5.3 Uniform Cost Search

### 5.4 A\*

### 5.5 Weighted A\*

### 5.6 Sequential A\*

### 5.7 Integrated A\*

### 5.8 Conclusion