Data Structures with C++ : CS189

Lecture 2-1: Polymorphism

Recap Inheritance

- ISA
- If you have 2 classes and you can say "a ISA b", then you can connect them
- Why?
  - Remove duplicate code
  - Easier to split to many programmers
  - Easier to add new leaves without touching base
  - Easier to debug
  - Easier to maintain
- If you have a bunch of leaf classes, identifying dupes and pulling functionality up is "bottom up"

Polymorphism

- Starting with a class that is the parent of everything and then specializing it is "top down" inheritance
- Bottom up: "I have Dog and Cat classes and both have code for breathing. I'll make an Animal class!"
- Top down: "I have an Animal class, but it is too generic to bark. I'll make Cat and Dog!"
- Both inheritance, just different perspectives

First, why?

```
class Animal
{};

class Cow : public Animal
{};

class Elephant
      : public Animal
{};

list< Animal * > animals;
```

- I want to write a program for a Zoo that will track if each animal has been fed
- I really don't care what kind of animals they are. Just that they are animals
- What each animal eats is different, so we will want classes still
- Cow ISA Animal and Elephant ISA Animal
- I can now get everybody in to one big list
  - STL summary should have been last semester

And how

- You are a Student. Student ISA Mammal
- Imagine me pointing at you right now
  - I say "You are a Student"
- I keep pointing
  - Now I say "You are a Mammal"
- You didn't magically change type
- So if I have a dog, two cats, a badger, and a horse, I can say "I have 5 animals"
- Polymorphism is all about abstracting a group of classes into a base class
  - Last week we were more dealing with the leaves
    - Same thing

```
Animal *tArray[3];
tArray[0] = new Dove();
tArray[1] = new Cat();
tArray[2] = new Monkey();
Hippopotamus tHippo;

for( int i = 0; i < 3; i++ )
        cout << tArray[i]->CanEat(&tHippo);

// Whatever you are, can you eat a hippo?
```

- Extendibility: If a new animal is made, that loop doesn't change
- Cohesion: Only general Animal code is in Animal. Dove stuff is in Dove

Where Polymorphism Differs

- Last week, even after pulling mammal code out of dog we still had a dog and could call dog methods
- If you only have the baseclass pointer, how can you call anything?
  - Sheep *A; calls Sheep methods
  - Dog *B; calls Dog methods
  - Animal *; ...calls Animal methods? What if I want something in mammal or dog?

Virtual

- I can only see methods at the level I have a pointer to
- Plus, an object always knows what it was originally
- Plus, if you call a method that doesn't exist where you are looking, it tries the next class up
- Actually, these are three huge points. I'll pause

So, Virtual

```
class Animal {
        virtual bool Func();
};

class Mammal
        : public Animal {
        virtual bool Func();
};

class Dog
        : public Mammal {
};
```

- Flags a method as "Please look for this at leaf level"
- Then the "can't find it look upwards" rule takes over
  - Animal has a virtual method and goes to Dog
  - Dog doesn't have it and goes to Mammal
  - Mammal has an override and stops
- Exact same as last week except we started at the top first and then tried to find the method

Virtual Destructor

- Small point that deserves its own slide: If any method in your hierarchy is virtual, the Destructor must be virtual
- ~ is a method like any other. So if I have an Animal pointer and try to delete the object, it will only delete the Animal part
  - Rest of the object is now memory leak

Pure Virtual

```
class Animal {

virtual void Eat() = 0;

};
```

- We have lots of Animal pointers, but don't want Animal objects
- "pure virtual" adds on to the virtual definition
  - "Please start at the leaf please… and there's nothing here.
- "Nothing" doesn't mean empty method, it means nothing is there
  - Syntax is "= 0" as if it were wetting the method to null in a way

Abstract Class

- That Animal class cannot be instantiated
  - "Pure virtual" method makes it an "Abstract Class"
- As long is either Dog or Mammal implements the missing "Eat" method, they can be instantiated
  - Really just "every method must be somewhere"
- Other languages have keywords for this
  - Java has "abstract" if you've seen it

Anti - Polymorphism

```
class Mammal
        : public Animal {
        bool Func();
};

class Dog
        : public Mammal {
        bool Func();
};
Mammal *ptr = new Dog
ptr->Func(); // calls Mammal
```

- With regular inheritance, we'd be fine if we only used leaf classes
- Without polymorphism, if I made a Dog, and then referred to it with a Mammal pointer, I could only call Mammal and Animal methods
  - Virtual is what lets you go down to your leaf class

Summary

- Basic inheritance is putting methods and properties at the correct level to remove duplication
- Polymorphism uses inheritance and virtual together to enable code to deal only with the baseclass

End

Tomorrow is just some polish. Start the homework so you can ask questions