# Math 115AH Homework 3

Edi Zhang

May 2, 2024

1. Prove that the linear transformation  $T: M_{n \times m}(F) \to M_{m \times n}(F)$  given by  $T(A) = A^t$  is linear.

Recall that  $A^t$  is the matrix given by the formula  $(A^t)_{ij} = A_{ji}$ .

Let  $w, v \in M_{n \times m}(F)$  and  $\lambda \in F$ .

To show that T is linear, we must show that  $T(w + \lambda v) = T(w) + \lambda T(v)$ .

Suppose

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \lambda v = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda b_{11} & \lambda b_{12} & \dots & \lambda b_{1m} \\ \lambda b_{21} & \lambda b_{22} & \dots & \lambda b_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda b_{n1} & \lambda b_{n2} & \dots & \lambda b_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$w + \lambda v = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + \lambda b_{11} & a_{12} + \lambda b_{12} & \dots & a_{1m} + \lambda b_{1m} \\ a_{21} + \lambda b_{21} & a_{22} + \lambda b_{22} & \dots & a_{2m} + \lambda b_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} + \lambda b_{n1} & a_{n2} + \lambda b_{n2} & \dots & a_{nm} + \lambda b_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies T(w + \lambda v) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + \lambda b_{11} & a_{21} + \lambda b_{21} & \dots & a_{n1} + \lambda b_{n1} \\ a_{12} + \lambda b_{12} & a_{22} + \lambda b_{22} & \dots & a_{n2} + \lambda b_{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{1m} + \lambda b_{1m} & a_{2m} + \lambda b_{2m} & \dots & a_{nm} + \lambda b_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \dots & a_{n1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{1m} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \lambda b_{11} & \lambda b_{21} & \dots & \lambda b_{n1} \\ \lambda b_{12} & \lambda b_{22} & \dots & \lambda b_{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda b_{1m} & \lambda b_{2m} & \dots & \lambda b_{nm} \end{bmatrix} = T(w) + \lambda T(v)$$

- 2. Counting elements in vector spaces over finite fields.
  - (a) Let p be a prime number. How many elements does  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  have? How about  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$ ?  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  contains p elements,  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$  contains  $p^2$  elements, and  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$  contains  $p^3$  elements.
  - (b) Explain why, for every  $i \ge 1, (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1} = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$

First, observe that i must be at least one, because otherwise

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^0 = \emptyset \implies (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^0 \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^1 = \{(a,b)\} \text{ for } a \in \emptyset \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

1

However, there exists no  $a\in\emptyset$  so  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^0\times(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^1=\emptyset\neq(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^1$ 

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1} = \{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{i+1})\} \text{ for each } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

Similarly,

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i = \{(b_1, b_2, ..., b_i)\}$$
 for each  $b_j \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$   
$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = \{c\} \text{ for } c \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

The cartesian product

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

then becomes

$$\{(b_1, b_2, ..., b_i, c)\}$$
 for  $b_j, c \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ 

Notice this contains the same number of elements as  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1}$ , which is precisely i+1 elements. Each element is also from the same field, which means any i+1-tuple in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1}$  can be represented by a tuple in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ . This shows that  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ . The same can be said vice versa. If  $v \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $v \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1} \implies (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \subset (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1}$ . Therefore  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^i \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{i+1}$ .

(c) How many elements does the vector space  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$  have? Prove using induction

Consider the base case n = 0:

 $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^0$  is the empty set, which contains n=0 elements.

This is likely a trivial case, so consider n = 1:

 $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^1 = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{a\}, a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \text{ which contains } p \text{ elements.}$ 

For the sake of showing an example of a tuple, consider n = 2:

 $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{(a_1, a_2)\}, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \text{ which from part (a), we know contains } p^2 \text{ elements. Notice that this is equal to } p^n.$ 

Now for the inductive step:

Assume that  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$  has  $p^n$  elements. WTS that this implies  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n+1}$  has  $p^{n+1}$  elements.

From part (b), we know that  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n+1} = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  and that  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  contains p elements. By our assumption that  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$  contains  $p^n$  elements,  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n+1} = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  contains  $p^n * p = p^{n+1}$  elements.

Therefore  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$  contains  $p^n$  elements by induction.

#### 3. Textbook 2.1, 18

Give an example of linear transformation  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\operatorname{Ker}(T) = \operatorname{Im}(T)$ .

By rank-nullity Ker(T) = Im(T) = 1.

Suppose we define  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$T(e_1) = \vec{0} \text{ and } T(e_2) = e_1$$

Then we have that  $\operatorname{span}(e_1) = \operatorname{span}(1,0) = \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ . Notice that  $\vec{0} = (0,0) \in \operatorname{span}(e_1)$ .

Since  $T(e_2) = e_1 \neq \vec{0}$ , span $(e_2) \notin \text{Ker}(T)$ . Furthermore,  $T(e_2)$  spans  $e_1 \Longrightarrow \text{span}(e_1) = \text{Im}(T)$ . For the case of the zero vector, since T is linear,  $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$ , so  $\vec{0} \in \text{Im}(T)$ .

Let  $v \in \text{Im}(T)$ . Then  $v = (r_1e_1 + r_2e_2), r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$T(v) = T(r_1e_1 + r_2e_2)$$

$$= T(r_1e_1) + T(r_2e_2)$$

$$= r_1T(e_1) + r_2T(e_2)$$

$$= r_1\vec{0} + r_2e_1$$

$$= \vec{0} + r_2e_1$$

$$= r_2e_1 \implies v \in \text{Ker}(T)$$

$$\implies \text{Im}(T) \subset \text{Ker}(T)$$

Now let  $v \in \text{Ker}(T)$  and let  $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$v = (r_1 e_1)$$

$$= r_1 T(e_2)$$

$$= T(r_1 e_2 \implies v \in \operatorname{Im}(T)$$

$$\implies \operatorname{Ker}(T) \subset \operatorname{Im}(T)$$

Therefore, Im(T) = Ker(T).

- 4. Consider the set of all linear transformations from a vector space V over a field F to a vector space W over a field F. Prove that
  - (a) If that  $S:V\to W$  and  $T:V\to W$  are linear transformations from V to W, then the function  $S+T:V\to W$  defined by (S+T)(x)=S(x)+T(x) for all  $x\in V$  is also linear.

Consider  $(S+T)(x+\lambda y)$ 

Then:

$$(S+T)(x+\lambda y) = S(x+\lambda y) + T(x+\lambda y) \quad \text{(By definition of } S+T)$$
 
$$= S(x) + \lambda S(y) + T(x) + \lambda T(y) \quad \text{(By linearity of } S \text{ and } T)$$
 
$$= S(x) + T(x) + \lambda (S(y) + T(y)) \quad \text{(By associativity and distributivity on } W)$$
 
$$= (S+T)(x) + \lambda (S+T)(y) \implies S+T \text{ is linear.}$$

(b) If T is a linear transformation from V to W and  $\lambda \in F$ , then the function  $\lambda T : V \to W$  defined by  $(\lambda T)(x) = \lambda T(x)$  for all  $x \in V$  is also linear.

Consider  $(\lambda T)(x + \alpha y)$ 

Then:

$$(\lambda T)(x + \alpha y) = \lambda(T)(x + \alpha y)$$
 (By definition of  $\lambda T$ )

$$= \lambda(T(x) + \alpha T(y)) \quad \text{(By linearity of } T)$$

$$= \lambda T(x) + \alpha \lambda T(y) \quad \text{(By distributivity on } W)$$

$$= (\lambda T)(x) + \alpha(\lambda T)(y) \implies \lambda T \text{ is linear.}$$

(c) The function  $\vec{0}: V \to W$  defined by  $\vec{0}(x) = \vec{0}_W$  for all  $x \in V$  is a linear transformation. First notice that  $\vec{0}$  sends  $\vec{0}_V$  to  $\vec{0}_W$ .

Now suppose we have  $\vec{0}(a + \lambda b)$  for  $a, b \in V$  and  $\lambda \in F$ .

$$\vec{0}(a + \lambda b) = \vec{0}_W = \vec{0}_W + \vec{0}_W = \vec{0}_W + \lambda \vec{0}_W = \vec{0}(a) + \lambda \vec{0}(b)$$

(d) Consider the set L(V, W) of linear transformations with domain V and codomain W. Prove that L(V, W) is a a vector space over F.

(Commutativity) Let  $S, T \in L$  such that  $S: V \to W$  and  $T: V \to W$ . Then for all  $v \in V, (S+T)(v) = S(v) +_W T(v) = T(v) +_W S(v) = (T+S)(v)$ .

 $(\text{Associativity}) \text{ Let } R, S, T \in L. \text{ Then for all } v \in V, (R+S)(v) + T(v) = R(v) +_W S(v) +_W T(v) = R(v) +_W (S+T)(v).$ 

(Additive Identity) Let  $Z, S \in L$ , define  $Z(v) = \vec{0}$  for all  $v \in V$ . Precisely, let Z be the function  $\vec{0}$  from part (c) which we proved to be linear. Then  $(Z + S)(v) = Z(v) +_W S(v) = \vec{0}_W +_W S(v) = S(v)$ .

(Additive Inverse) Let  $S \in L$ . Define  $T: V \to W$  such that for all  $v \in V$ ,  $T(v) = (-1) \cdot_W S(v)$ . To show that T is linear, first observe that  $T(\vec{0}_V) = (-1) \cdot_W S(\vec{0}_V) = (-1) \cdot_W \vec{0}_W = \vec{0}_W$ . Additionally, consider  $T(v + \lambda u)$  for  $v, u \in V$ .

$$T(v + \lambda u) = -S(v + \lambda u)$$

$$= -S(v) + -S(\lambda u) \quad \text{(By linearity of } S\text{)}$$

$$= -S(v) + (\lambda)(-S(u)) \quad \text{(By linearity of } S\text{)}$$

$$= T(v) + \lambda T(u)$$

Therefore T is linear so  $\exists T \in L$ . Then (S+T)(v) = S(v) + T(v) = S(v) + -S(v) = 0.

(e) (Multiplicative Identity) Let  $T \in L$  and  $v \in V$ . Then

$$1 \cdot T = (1 \cdot T)(v) = 1$$
 
$$= 1 \cdot_W T(v) \quad \text{(By Linearity of } T\text{)}$$
 
$$= T(v)$$

(f) (Associativity of scalars) Let  $a, b \in F$ , let  $v \in V$ , and let  $T \in L$ . Then

$$a \cdot (b \cdot T)(v) = a \cdot (b \cdot T(v))$$
 (By Linearity of  $T$ ) 
$$= (a \cdot b) \cdot T(v)$$
 (By associativity on  $W$ ) 
$$= ((a \cdot b) \cdot T)(v)$$
 (By linearity of  $T$ )

(g) (Distributivity over vectors) Let  $a \in F$ , let  $v \in V$ , and let  $S, T \in L$ . Then

$$a \cdot (S+T)(v) = a \cdot (S(v)+T(v))$$
 (By addition of linear functions) 
$$= a \cdot S(v) + a \cdot T(v)$$
 (By distributivity on  $W$ ) 
$$= (a \cdot S)(v) + (a \cdot T)(v)$$
 (By linearity of  $S$  and  $T$ )

(h) (Distributivity over scalars) Let  $a, b \in F$ , let  $v \in V$ , and let  $T \in L$ . Then

$$((a+b)\cdot T)(v)=(a+b)\cdot T(v)$$
 (By linearity of  $T$ ) 
$$=a\cdot T(v)+b\cdot T(v)$$
 (By distributivity on  $W$ ) 
$$=(a\cdot T)(v)+(b\cdot T)(v)$$
 (By linearity of  $T$ )

#### 5. Textbook 2.1, 2,6

Find bases for Ker(T) and Im(T), compute the nullity and rank of T, and verify dimension theorem. Finally, use the appropriate theorems to determine whether T is injective or surjective.

(2)

Suppose we have  $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $T(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_1 - a_2, 2a_3)$ 

To solve for Ker(T), first solve for  $(a_1 - a_2, 2a_3) = (0, 0)$ :

$$a_1 - a_2 = 0 \implies a_1 = a_2$$

$$2a_3 = 0 \implies a_3 = 0$$

Therefore a basis  $B_K$  for  $Ker(T) = \{1, 1, 0\} \implies nullity = 1$ .

Observe that Im(T) is just  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so a basis  $B_I$  for  $\text{Im}(T) = \{(1,0),(0,1)\} \implies \text{rank} = 2$ .

If V is the domain of T, then by the dimension theorem,  $\dim V = \dim \operatorname{Ker}(T) + \dim \operatorname{Im}(T)$ . Clearly, this is satisfied as  $\dim V = 3$  and  $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)) = 1$ ,  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = 2$ 

Since  $Im(T) = \mathbb{R}^2$ , T must be surjective.

We know that T is injective if and only if  $Ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$ . Since we showed above that  $K = \text{span}\{1, 1, 0\}$ , T is not injective.

(6)

Suppose we have  $T: M_{n \times n}(F) \to F$  defined by  $T(A) = \operatorname{tr}(A)$ .

Since all entries of M are in the field F,  $\operatorname{tr}(A)$  is the sum of n arbitrary elements in F. Therefore, every element in F can be represented as a sum of n arbitrary elements in  $F \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(T) = F$ .  $\Longrightarrow \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = 1$ . A basis  $B_I$  for  $\operatorname{Im}(T)$  can be written as  $\operatorname{span}(a)$  for  $a \in F$ .

For the kernel, first recognize that by rank nullity,  $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = n^2 - 1$ . Notice that each non-diagonal entry contribute a basis vector, being the matrix with that specific non-diagonal entry  $a_{ij} = 1$  and all other entries being 0 for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$  with  $i \ne j$ . These, in total, contribute  $n^2 - n$  basis vectors.

Then we look at diagonal entries. Since the diagonal contains n entries, imagine we collapse it into one n column vector.

Then the basis vectors would be

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ ... \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ ... \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that there are exactly n-1 basis vectors here. Therefore the total number of basis vectors equals  $n^2-n+(n-1)=n^2-1$ , which matches the result from rank nullity. Let  $E_{ij}$  represent the  $n \times n$  matrix such that the entry  $e_{ij}=1$  and all other entries equal 0, and let  $A_n$  represent the  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $a_{11}=1, a_{nn}=-1$ , and all other entries equal 0. Then the basis for Ker(T) would look like:

$$\{E_{ij} : i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^{n} A_i$$

T can only be injective if and only if  $Ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$  which is clearly not the case, so T is not injective. For every element  $k \in F$ , there exists n other elements in F that sum to k. An example would be k and n-1  $0_F$ 's. Therefore, there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix with the diagonal summing to k for all  $k \in F \implies T$  is surjective.

#### 6. Textbook 2.1, 17

Let V, W be finite-dimensional vector spaces and  $T: V \to W$  be linear.

- (a) Prove that if  $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$ , then T cannot be onto. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that T is onto. Then  $\operatorname{Im}(T) = W \implies \dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \dim(W)$ . By the dimension theorem,  $\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)) + \dim(W)$ . However, this is not possible as  $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$  and there cannot be a negative dimension. Therefore T cannot be onto.
- (b) Prove that if  $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$ , then T cannot be one-to-one. By the dimension theorem,  $\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\operatorname{Im}(T))$ . Suppose T is one-to-one. Recall that T is one-to-one if and only if  $\operatorname{Ker}(T) = \{\vec{0}\} \implies \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)) = 0$ . Then  $\dim(V) = 0 + \dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \dim(\operatorname{Im}(T))$ . Because  $\operatorname{Im}(T) \subset W$ ,  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) \leq \dim(W) \implies \dim(V) \leq \dim(W)$ . However, this is a contradiction because we defined that  $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$ . Therefore T cannot be one-to-one.

## 7. Textbook 2.1, 21

- (a) Prove that T and U are linear
  - i. Consider  $T(v + \lambda u)$  for  $v, u \in V$  and  $\lambda \in F$ .

More specifically suppose

$$v = (a_1, a_2, ...)$$
 and  $u = (b_1, b_2, ...)$ 

Then by our operations defined,

$$\lambda u = (\lambda b_1, \lambda b_2, ...)$$

and

$$v + \lambda u = (a_1 + \lambda b_1, a_2 + \lambda b_2, \dots)$$

Then

$$T(v + \lambda u) = (a_2 + \lambda b_2, a_3 + \lambda b_3, ...)$$
$$= (a_2, a_3, ...) + (\lambda b_2, \lambda b_3, ...)$$
$$= (a_2, a_3, ...) + \lambda (b_2, b_3, ...)$$
$$= T(v) + \lambda T(u)$$

ii. Now consider  $U(v + \lambda u)$  for  $v, u \in U$  and  $\lambda \in F$ .

Let v and u be defined as in (i):

$$v = (a_1, a_2, ...)$$
 and  $u = (b_1, b_2, ...)$ 

Then by our operations defined,

$$\lambda u = (\lambda b_1, \lambda b_2, ...)$$

and

$$v + \lambda u = (a_1 + \lambda b_1, a_2 + \lambda b_2, \dots)$$

Then

$$U(v + \lambda u) = (0, a_1 + \lambda b_1, a_2 + \lambda b_2, ...)$$

$$= (0, a_1, a_2, ...) + (0, \lambda b_1, \lambda b_2, ...)$$

$$= (0, a_1, a_2, ...) + \lambda (0, b_1, b_2, ...)$$

$$= U(v) + \lambda U(u)$$

(b) Prove that T is onto, but not one-to-one

We need to show that Im(T) = V.

By definition,  $\operatorname{Im}(T) \subset V$ , so we need to show  $V \subset \operatorname{Im}(T)$ 

Let  $y \in V$ , then  $y = (b_1, b_2, ...)$   $b_i \in F$ . Notice that  $\exists x \in V$  where  $x = (a_1, b_1, b_2, b_3, ...)$   $a_i, b_i \in F$  and  $T(x) = y \implies y \in \text{Im}(T) \implies V \subset \text{Im}(T) \implies \text{Im}(T) = V \implies T$  is onto. Observe that in the definition of  $x, a_1$  is a completely arbitrary element in F. Suppose  $x = (a_1, b_1, b_2, b_3, ...)$  and  $x_1 = (a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3, ...)$  such that  $a_1 \neq a_2$ . However,  $T(x) = T(x_1) = (b_1, b_2, ...) \implies T$  is not one-to-one

(c) Prove that U is one-to-one, but not onto.

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in V$  such that  $x_1 = (a_1, a_2, ...)$  and  $x_2, = (b_1, b_2, ...)$   $a_i, b_i \in F$ . Then  $U(x_1) = (0, a_1, a_2, ...)$  and  $U(x_2) = (0, b_1, b_2, ...)$ . Suppose  $U(x_1) = U(x_2) \implies a_1 = b_1, a_2 = b_2, ... \implies x_1 = x_2$ . Therefore, U is injective. For U to be onto, every element in V must be in Im(U). However, let  $y \in Im(U)$ . Then  $y = (0, c_1, c_2, ...), c_i \in F$ . For some arbitrary element  $v \in V$ ,  $v = (d_1, d_2, ...)$   $d_i \in F$ . But since  $d_i$  are arbitrary elements in F,  $\exists v$  such that  $d_1 \neq 0 \implies \exists v \notin Im(U) \implies V \not\subset Im(U) \implies U$  is not onto.

## 8. Textbook 2.1, 24

Let  $T:V\to W$  be linear,  $b\in W$ , and  $K=\{x\in V:T(x)=b\}$  be nonempty. Prove that if  $s\in K$ , then  $K=\{s\}+\mathrm{Ker}(T)$ .

If  $s \in K$ , then T(s) = b.  $\operatorname{Ker}(T) = \{x : T(x) = 0\}$ . By the definition of nonempty sets,  $\{s\} + \operatorname{Ker}(T) = \{j + k : j \in \{s\}, k \in \operatorname{Ker}(T)\}$ . Since T is linear, T(j + k) = T(j) + T(k) = T(j) + 0 = T(j) = b. Therefore for all  $y \in \{s\} + \operatorname{Ker}(T), T(y) = b \implies y \in \{j + k : j \in \{s\}, k \in \operatorname{Ker}(T)\} \implies y \in K \implies \{s\} + \operatorname{Ker}(T) \subset K$ . Similarly, if  $y \in K$ , then T(y) = b = b + 0 = T(z) + T(x) for all  $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(T)$  and  $z \in \{s\}$ . Then  $y \in \{s\} + \operatorname{Ker}(T) \implies K \subset \{s\} + \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ . Therefore  $K = \{s\} + \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ 

- 9. Let V and W be vector spaces over F and let  $B = \{v_1, ... v_n\}$  be a basis for V and  $G = \{w_1, ... w_n\}$  be a basis for W.
  - (a) Prove that the linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  determined by  $T(v_i) = w_i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$  is one-to-one and onto

Notice that V and W are both n-dimensional. Let  $a, b \in V$  such that  $a = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_3 v_3 + ... + \alpha_n v_n$  and  $b = \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \beta_3 v_3 + ... + \beta_n v_n$ 

Then

$$T(a) = T(\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_3 v_3 + ... \alpha_n v_n)$$

$$= \alpha_1 T(v_1) + \alpha_2 T(v_2) + ... + \alpha_2 T(v_n) \quad \text{(Linearity of T)}$$

$$= \alpha_1 w_1 + \alpha_2 w_2 + \alpha_3 w_3 + ... \alpha_n w_n$$

Similarly

$$T(b) = T(\beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \beta_3 v_3 + \dots \beta_n v_n)$$
  
=  $\beta_1 T(v_1) + \beta_2 T(v_2) + \dots + \beta_2 T(v_n)$   
=  $\beta_1 w_1 + \beta_2 w_2 + \beta_3 w_3 + \dots \beta_n w_n$ 

If  $T(a) = T(b) \implies \alpha_1 = \beta_1, \alpha_2 = \beta_2, ..., \alpha_n = \beta_n \implies a = b$ , so T is one-to-one.

To prove surjectivity, we must show that  $\forall w \in W, \exists v \in V \text{ such that } T(v) = w.$ 

Let  $w \in W$ , then  $w = k_1w_1 + k_2w_2 + ... + k_nw_n$ . Since we know that  $T(v_i) = w_i$ , we have that

$$w = k_1 T(v_1) + k_2 T(v_2) + ... + k_n T(v_n)$$
  
=  $T(k_1 v_1 + k_2 v_2 + ... + k_n v_n)$  (By Linearity of T)

Since  $v = k_1v_1 + k_2v_2 + ... + k_nv_n$  is a linear combination of elements in B, we know that  $\exists v \in V \implies F$  is surjective.

(b) Let F be a field. Using the previous item, define an explicit linear map  $T: P_3(F) \to \operatorname{Mat}_{2\times 2}(F)$  that is a bijection.  $P_3(F) = \{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3 : a_i \in F\}$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a basis for  $P_3(F)$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$$
 from part (a)

Let  $p \in P_3(F)$ . Then  $p = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3$  for some  $a_i \in F$ . Define T such that  $T(p) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then the basis 
$$\Re$$
 of  $T(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$  from part (a)

We know from part (a) that this is a bijection, since  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are both 4-dimensional, and  $T(v_i) = w_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .