

# Discrete Math for Computer Science

Peter Schaefer

Freshman Fall

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Logic</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Propositions and Logical Operations . . . . .	2
1.2	Evaluating Compound Propositions . . . . .	2
1.3	Conditional Statements . . . . .	2
1.4	Logical Equivalence . . . . .	2
1.5	Laws of Propositional Logic . . . . .	3
1.6	Predicates and Quantifiers . . . . .	3
1.7	Quantified Statements . . . . .	3
1.8	DeMorgan's law for Quantified Statements . . . . .	4
1.9	Nested Quantifiers . . . . .	4
1.10	More Nested Quantifiers . . . . .	4
1.11	Logical Reasoning . . . . .	4
1.12	Rules of Inference with Propositions . . . . .	4
1.13	Rules of Inference with Quantifiers . . . . .	4

# 1 Logic

## 1.1 Propositions and Logical Operations

**Proposition:** a statement that is either true or false.

Some examples include "It is raining today" and " $3 \cdot 8 = 20$ ".

However, not all statements are propositions, such as "open the door"

Name	Symbol	alternate name	$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$p \oplus q$
NOT	$\neg$	negation	T	T	F	T	T	F
AND	$\wedge$	conjunction	T	F	F	F	T	T
OR	$\vee$	disjunction	F	T	T	F	T	T
XOR	$\oplus$	exclusive or	F	F	T	F	F	F

XOR is very useful for encryption and binary arithmetic.

## 1.2 Evaluating Compound Propositions

$p$  : The weather is bad.

$p \wedge q$  : The weather is bad *and* the trip is cancelled

$q$  : The trip is cancelled.

$p \vee q$  : The weather is bad *or* the trip is cancelled

$r$  : The trip is delayed.

$p \wedge (q \oplus r)$  : The weather is bad *and* either the trip is cancelled *or* delayed

**Order of Evaluation**  $\neg$ , then  $\wedge$ , then  $\vee$ , but parenthesis always help for clarity.

Example Truth Table:

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$	$\neg q$	$(p \wedge q) \oplus \neg q$
T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	F	F
F	F	F	T	T

## 1.3 Conditional Statements

$p \rightarrow q$  where  $p$  is the hypothesis and  $q$  is the conclusion

Format	Terminology	
$p \rightarrow q$	given	given
$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	contrapositive	$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ contrapositive
$q \rightarrow p$	converse	inverse
$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$	inverse	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q \equiv q \rightarrow p$ converse

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$		Phrase	Logic
T	T	T	$p$ is a <u>sufficient</u> condition for $q$	$q$ if $p$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	F	F	$q$ is a <u>necessary</u> condition for $p$	$q$ only if $p$	$q \rightarrow p$
F	T	T		$q$ if and only if $p$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
F	F	T			

**Order of Operations:**  $p \wedge q \rightarrow r \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

## 1.4 Logical Equivalence

**Tautology:** a proposition that is always true

**Contradiction:** a proposition that is always false

**Logically equivalent:** same truth value regardless of the truth values of their individual propositions

**DeMorgan's Laws:**

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

Verbally,

It is not true that the patient has migraines *or* high blood pressure  $\equiv$   
 $\equiv$  The patient does not have migraines *and* does not have high blood pressure

It is not true that the patient has migraines *and* high blood pressure  $\equiv$   
 $\equiv$  The patient does not have migraines *or* does not have high blood pressure

## 1.5 Laws of Propositional Logic

You can use **substitution** on logically equivalent propositions.

Law Name	$\vee$ or	$\wedge$ and
Idempotent	$p \vee p \equiv p$	$p \wedge p \equiv p$
Associative	$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
Commutative	$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$
Distributive	$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
Identity	$p \vee F \equiv p$	$p \wedge T \equiv p$
Domination	$p \vee T \equiv T$	$p \wedge F \equiv F$
Double Negation	$\neg \neg p \equiv p$	
Complement	$p \vee \neg p \equiv T$	$p \wedge \neg p \equiv F$
DeMorgan	$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$
Absorption	$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$	$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$
Conditional	$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$	$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$

## 1.6 Predicates and Quantifiers

**Predicate:** a logical statement where truth value is a function of a variable.

$P(x)$ :  $x$  is an even number.       $P(5)$ : false       $P(2)$ : true

**Domain:** the set of all possible values for a variable in a predicate.

Ex.  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  is the set of all positive integers.

\*If domain is not clear from context, it should be given as part of the definition of the predicate.

Quantifier	Symbol	Meaning
Universal	$\forall$	"for all"
Existential	$\exists$	"there exists"

**Quantifier:** converts a predicate to a proposition.

$\exists x(x + 1 < x)$  is false.

**Counter Example:** universally quantified statement where an element in the domain for which the predicate is false. Useful to prove a  $\forall$  statement false.

## 1.7 Quantified Statements

Consider the two following two predicates:

$P(x)$ :  $x$  is prime,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$O(x)$ :  $x$  is odd

Proposition made of predicates:  $\exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg O(x))$

Verbally: there exists a positive integer that is prime but is not odd.

**Free Variable:** a variable that is free to be any value in the domain.

**Bound Variable:** a variable that is bound to a quantifier.

	$P(x)$	$S(x)$	$\neg S(x)$
$P(x)$ : $x$ came to the party	Joe	T	F
$S(x)$ : $x$ was sick	Theo	F	T
	Gert	T	F
	Sam	F	T

## 1.8 DeMorgan's law for Quantified Statements

Consider the predicate:  $F(x) : "x \text{ can fly}"$ , where  $x$  is a bird. According to the DeMorgan Identity for Quantified Statements,

$$\neg \forall x F(x) \equiv \exists x \neg F(x)$$

"not every bird can fly  $\equiv$  "there exists a bird that cannot fly"

Example using DeMorgan Identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \neg \exists x (P(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(x)) &\equiv \forall x \neg (P(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(x)) \\ &\equiv \forall x (\neg \neg P(x) \wedge \neg \neg Q(x)) \\ &\equiv \forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \end{aligned}$$

## 1.9 Nested Quantifiers

A logical expression with more than one quantifier that binds different variables in the same predicate is said to have **Nested Quantifiers**.

Logic	Variable Boundedness	Logic	Meaning
$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	$x, y$ bound	$\forall x \forall y M(x, y)$	"everyone sent an email to everyone"
$\forall x P(x, y)$	$x$ bound, $y$ free	$\forall x \exists y M(x, y)$	"everyone sent an email to someone"
$\exists x \exists y T(x, y, z)$	$x, y$ bound, $z$ free	$\exists x \forall y M(x, y)$	"someone sent an email to everyone"
		$\exists x \exists y M(x, y)$	"someone sent an email to someone"

### 1.10 More Nested Quantifiers

### 1.11 Logical Reasoning

### 1.12 Rules of Inference with Propositions

### 1.13 Rules of Inference with Quantifiers