Paper title

Edoardo Costantini

Tilburg University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author 2

Utrecht University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author Note

Corresponding author's contacts:

e.costantini@tilburguniversity.edu;

+31 **************

Abstract

This is some abstract.

Paper title

1 Introduction

A captivating introduction is all you need.

1.1 The power of knowing

Followed by a good description of previous studies (Collins, Schafer, & Kam, 2001).

2 Imputation methods and algorithms

A selection of methods

2.1 Method 1

Very cool method 1.

2.2 Method 2

Very cool method 2.

2.2.1 Aspect 1. Aspect 1

2.2.2 Aspect 2. Aspect 2

3 Simulation study 1

Why we did what we did.

3.1 Simulation study procedure

3.1.1 Data generation. How we generated data

3.1.2 Missing data imposition. How we imposed missing data

**3.1.3 Imputation.** Imputation models specification

3.1.4 Analysis and comparison criteria. Outcome measures

3.2 Results

What results did we report

3.2.1 Aspect 1. Here is a picture of what happened

density.default(x = x)

Figure 1. Some caption

3.2.2 Aspect 2. This is something else we found.

4 Limitations and future directions

This is what's left to be done.

5 Discussion

5.1 Methods that work well

Discuss it.

5.2 Methods with mixed results

And discuss it.

₃₂   6   References

₃₃ Collins, L. M., Schafer, J. L., & Kam, C.-M. (2001). A comparison of inclusive and

₃₄   restrictive strategies in modern missing data procedures. *Psychological Methods*,

₃₅   *6*(4), 330–351. doi: 10.1037//1082-989X.6.4.330