

Paper title

Edoardo Costantini

Tilburg University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author 2

Utrecht University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author Note

Corresponding author's contacts:

e.costantini@tilburguniversity.edu;

+31 \*\*\*\*\*

## Abstract

This is some abstract.

Paper title

## 1 Introduction

A captivating introduction is all you need.

### 1.1 The power of knowing

Followed by a good description of previous studies (Collins et al., 2001).

## 2 Imputation methods and algorithms

A selection of methods

### 2.1 Method 1

Very cool method 1.

### 2.2 Method 2

Very cool method 2.

**2.2.1 Aspect 1.** Aspect 1

**2.2.2 Aspect 2.** Aspect 2

## 3 Simulation study 1

Why we did what we did.

### 3.1 Simulation study procedure

**3.1.1 Data generation.** How we generated data

**3.1.2 Missing data imposition.** How we imposed missing data

**3.1.3 Imputation.** Imputation models specification

**3.1.4 Analysis and comparison criteria.** Outcome measures

### 3.2 Results

What results did we report

**3.2.1 Aspect 1.** Here is a picture of what happened

23        **3.2.2 Aspect 2.** This is something else we found.

24                                **4 Limitations and future directions**

25        This is what's left to be done.

26    **5 Discussion**

27   **5.1 Methods that work well**

28        Discuss it.


29   **5.2 Methods with mixed results**

30        And discuss it.

## 6 References

31

- 32 Collins, Linda M., Joseph L. Schafer, and Chi-Ming Kam. 2001. “A comparison of  
33 inclusive and restrictive strategies in modern missing data procedures.” *Psychological*  
34 *Methods* 6:330–351.



*Figure 1.* Some caption