Running head: SHORT TITLE

Paper title

Edoardo Costantini

Tilburg University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author 2

Utrecht University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author Note

Corresponding author's contacts:

e. costantini @tilburguniversity.edu;

+31 *********

Abstract

This is some abstract.

Paper title

1 Introduction

A captivating introduction is all you need.

1.1 The power of knowing

Followed by a good description of previous studies (Collins et al., 2001).

2 Imputation methods and algorithms

A selection of methods

2.1 Method 1

Very cool method 1.

2.2 Method 2

Very cool method 2.

- **2.2.1 Aspect 1.** Aspect 1
- **2.2.2** Aspect 2. Aspect 2

3 Simulation study 1

Why we did what we did.

3.1 Simulation study procedure

- **3.1.1 Data generation.** How we generated data
- 3.1.2 Missing data imposition. How we imposed missing data
- **3.1.3** Imputation. Imputation models specification
- 3.1.4 Analysis and comparison criteria. Outcome measures

3.2 Results

What results did we report

3.2.1 Aspect 1. Here is a picture of what happened

3.2.2 Aspect 2. This is something else we found.

4 Limitations and future directions

This is what's left to be done.

5 Discussion

5.1 Methods that work well

Discuss it.

5.2 Methods with mixed results

And discuss it.

6 Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available at [https://some-url].

7 Code availability

The code used for the study is available at the corresponding author's GitHub page: [https://github.com/EdoardoCostantini/some-url]. Please read the README.md files for instructions on how to replicate the results.

8 References

Collins, Linda M., Joseph L. Schafer, and Chi-Ming Kam. 2001. "A comparison of inclusive and restrictive strategies in modern missing data procedures." *Psychological Methods* 6:330–351.




Figure 1. Some caption