

Paper title

Edoardo Costantini

Tilburg University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author 2

Utrecht University, Department of Methodology and Statistics

Author Note

Corresponding author's contacts:

e.costantini@tilburguniversity.edu;

+31 \*\*\*\*\*

## Abstract

This is some abstract.

Paper title

## 1 Introduction

A strong and commanding introduction.

### 1.1 The power of knowing

Followed by a good description of previous studies (Collins, Schafer, & Kam, 2001).

## 2 Imputation methods and algorithms

A selection of methods

### 2.1 Method 1

Very cool method 1.

### 2.2 Method 2

Very cool method 2.

**2.2.1 Aspect 1.** Aspect 1

**2.2.2 Aspect 2.** Aspect 2

## 3 Simulation study 1

Why we did what we did.

### 3.1 Simulation study procedure

**3.1.1 Data generation.** How we generated data

**3.1.2 Missing data imposition.** How we imposed missing data

**3.1.3 Imputation.** Imputation models specification


**3.1.4 Analysis and comparison criteria.** Outcome measures

### 3.2 Results

What results did we report

23

**3.2.1 Aspect 1.** Here is a picture of what happened



*Figure 1.* Some caption

24

**3.2.2 Aspect 2.** This is something else we found.

25

## 4 Limitations and future directions

26

This is what's left to be done.

27

## 5 Discussion

28

### 5.1 Methods that work well

29

Discuss it.

30

### 5.2 Methods with mixed results

31

And discuss it.

## 6 References

32

- 33 Collins, L. M., Schafer, J. L., & Kam, C.-M. (2001). A comparison of inclusive and  
34 restrictive strategies in modern missing data procedures. *Psychological Methods*,  
35 6(4), 330–351. doi: 10.1037//1082-989X.6.4.330