

# **CYBERSECURITY INCIDENT RESPONSE PLAYBOOK**

**Version: 1.0**

**Date: 2024**

This playbook serves as a guide for cybersecurity teams to address common security alerts effectively. The aim is to minimise the impact of security incidents through structured response and recovery steps.

## **BY IZZMIER IZZUDDIN**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

***INCIDENT RESPONSE ELEMENTS* ..... 3**

**NIST INCIDENT RESPONSE LIFECYCLE:..... 3**

***INCIDENT RESPONSE* ..... 4**

**1. CREDENTIAL STUFFING ATTACK ..... 4**

**SCENARIO EXAMPLE..... 4**

**2. LATERAL MOVEMENT DETECTED ..... 9**

**SCENARIO EXAMPLE..... 9**

**3. PRIVILEGE ESCALATION ATTEMPT .....14**

**SCENARIO EXAMPLE.....14**

**4. DISTRIBUTED DENIAL OF SERVICE (DDOS) ATTACK .....19**

**SCENARIO EXAMPLE.....19**

**5. INSIDER THREAT INCIDENT .....24**

**SCENARIO EXAMPLE.....24**

**6. SUPPLY CHAIN ATTACK.....30**

**SCENARIO EXAMPLE.....30**

**7. ADVANCED PERSISTENT THREAT (APT) .....37**

**SCENARIO EXAMPLE.....37**

## INCIDENT RESPONSE ELEMENTS

### NIST INCIDENT RESPONSE LIFECYCLE:

1. **Preparation:** Establish roles, responsibilities and resources.
2. **Detection and Analysis:** Investigate alerts and validate incidents.
3. **Containment, Eradication and Recovery:** Limit damage, eliminate threats and restore operations.
4. **Post-Incident Activity:** Review, learn and improve.

# INCIDENT RESPONSE

## 1. CREDENTIAL STUFFING ATTACK

**Description:** Automated login attempts using stolen credentials detected on your application.

### **Detection and Analysis:**

- Identify unusual login attempts through SIEM alerts or application logs.
- Correlate with threat intelligence for known leaked credentials.
- Monitor login success rates from suspicious IPs or geolocations.

### **Containment:**

- Block suspicious IPs using WAF or firewall rules.
- Enable CAPTCHA or other anti-bot mechanisms for login attempts.
- Enforce temporary account lockouts after a defined number of failed login attempts.

### **Eradication:**

- Identify and neutralise botnet activity.
- Patch vulnerable authentication systems.
- Notify affected users to reset their passwords.

### **Recovery:**

- Enhance MFA enforcement.
- Deploy account anomaly detection mechanisms.
- Conduct a post-event review to fine-tune defenses.

## SCENARIO EXAMPLE

### **Incident Simulation: Credential Stuffing Attack**

**Date:** November 21, 2024

**Time:** 10:00 AM

**Environment:** Corporate web application with user authentication portal.

## Detection

### Alert Details:

- **Alert ID:** SIEM-2024-11-001
- **Source:** SIEM (Splunk)
- **Description:** Multiple failed login attempts detected for user accounts from unusual IP ranges.
- **Severity:** High

### Logs:

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:55:32

Event: Failed Login

UserID: izzmier@manchesterunited.com

IP: 192.168.50.23

Reason: Invalid password

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:56:12

Event: Failed Login

UserID: iffah@manchesterunited.com

IP: 202.56.120.14

Reason: Invalid password

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:57:01

Event: Successful Login

UserID: rosnani@manchesterunited.com

IP: 185.23.45.67

### Key Indicators:

1. A surge of failed login attempts for multiple accounts within 5 minutes.

2. Logins from IPs associated with geolocations inconsistent with user profiles (different countries).
3. One successful login for a compromised account (rosnani@manchesterunited.com).

## **Analysis**

### **Key Findings:**

#### **1. Threat Vector:**

- The attack leverages stolen credentials from a public breach to perform automated login attempts.
- Tools like **SentryMBA** or similar credential stuffing tools are suspected.

#### **2. Accounts Impacted:**

- **Failed Attempts:** izzmier@manchesterunited.com, iffah@manchesterunited.com.
- **Successful Compromise:** rosnani@manchesterunited.com.

#### **3. Origin of Attack:**

- Analysis of IP addresses:
  - 192.168.50.23: Internal corporate range (user might be testing their credentials).
  - 202.56.120.14: Thailand (Anomalous).
  - 185.23.45.67: Associated with a known malicious proxy service (identified via VirusTotal and threat intelligence platforms).

#### **4. Behavioral Analysis:**

- The successful login (rosnani@manchesterunited.com) was immediately followed by API calls to export sensitive financial data.
- Correlation with logs shows unauthorised file download attempts.

## **Containment**

### **Actions Taken:**

### **1. User Account Suspension:**

- rosnani@manchesterunited.com account disabled.

### **2. IP Blocking:**

- Blocked IP ranges associated with malicious activities at the firewall and WAF.

### **3. Session Termination:**

- Active sessions for all impacted accounts forcibly terminated.

### **4. Real-time Monitoring:**

- Enabled real-time alerts for suspicious login attempts.

## **Eradication**

### **1. Credentials Rotated:**

- Forced password resets for rosnani@manchesterunited.com and all accounts showing failed login attempts.

### **2. Blacklisting:**

- Added identified malicious IPs to the threat intelligence blocklist.

### **3. Tool Analysis:**

- Investigated system logs for evidence of credential stuffing tools; no malware found.

## **Recovery**

### **1. Data Verification:**

- Cross-referenced file access logs to ensure no data exfiltration occurred.

### **2. Enhanced MFA Deployment:**

- Enforced MFA for all user accounts, reducing risks of further credential-based attacks.

### **3. SIEM Updates:**

- Added new rules to flag high volumes of failed logins from unusual IPs.

**Post-Incident Review**

**Root Cause:**

- Stolen credentials from a publicly available breach used in an automated attack.

**Recommendations:**

1. Implement a CAPTCHA mechanism after multiple failed login attempts.
2. Educate users on the risks of reusing passwords across platforms.
3. Integrate threat intelligence feeds for proactive alerting of suspicious IPs.

**Visualisation of Data**

**Login Attempts Heatmap:**

- Red zones indicate high activity from IPs 202.56.120.14 and 185.23.45.67.
- Normal user activities showed no prior interaction from these regions.

**Timeline:**

Time	Event	Action Taken
09:55 AM	Failed logins detected	Alert triggered in SIEM
09:57 AM	Successful login for Alex Brown	Account disabled
10:05 AM	API data export attempt blocked	IP blocked, session killed



## **2. LATERAL MOVEMENT DETECTED**

**Description:** Unauthorised internal reconnaissance activities detected in the network.

### **Detection and Analysis:**

- Analyse alerts from EDR or network traffic analysis tools for lateral movement patterns.
- Use logs to identify unusual account access to multiple systems.

### **Containment:**

- Isolate affected systems and segments.
- Disable compromised accounts.

### **Eradication:**

- Remove unauthorised tools or malware enabling movement (Mimikatz, PsExec).
- Conduct a thorough review of user privileges and roles.

### **Recovery:**

- Restore affected systems from clean backups.
- Strengthen segmentation policies.
- Deploy additional lateral movement detection mechanisms.

## **SCENARIO EXAMPLE**

### **Simulated Scenario: Lateral Movement Detected**

**Date:** November 21, 2024

**Time:** 3:30 PM

**Environment:** Corporate Active Directory (AD) network with shared drives and email servers.

### **Detection**

#### **Alert Details:**

- **Alert ID:** SIEM-2024-11-002
- **Source:** EDR and SIEM
- **Description:** Lateral movement detected between systems Finance-PC01 and HR-Server01.
- **Severity:** Critical

#### **Logs:**

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T15:15:42

Source: Finance-PC01

Event: Suspicious SMB Traffic

Destination: HR-Server01

Description: Unusual process (`wmiexec`) initiated communication.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T15:18:27

Source: HR-Server01

Event: Credential Dumping Detected

Description: Process `lsass.exe` accessed by `procdump.exe`.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T15:22:50

Source: HR-Server01

Event: Privilege Escalation Attempt

User: finance-admin

Description: Added to local administrators group.

#### **Analysis**

##### **Key Findings:**

##### **1. Attack Method:**

- Adversary gained initial access to Finance-PC01 and used tools (wmiexec) for lateral movement to HR-Server01.
- Indicators suggest the use of known techniques like **Pass-the-Hash** or **Credential Dumping** to compromise HR-Server01.

## **2. Compromised Accounts:**

- finance-admin: Elevated privileges on HR-Server01.
- Hashes from Finance-PC01 and HR-Server01 were potentially dumped.

## **3. Malicious Tools Used:**

- wmiexec: Detected in SMB logs for executing commands remotely.
- procdump.exe: Used to access lsass.exe for credential dumping.

## **4. Threat Actor's Goal:**

- Likely exfiltration or compromise of sensitive HR data from HR-Server01.

## **Containment**

### **Actions Taken:**

#### **1. Network Isolation:**

- Isolated Finance-PC01 and HR-Server01 from the corporate network.

#### **2. Account Lockdown:**

- Disabled the finance-admin account to prevent further misuse.

#### **3. Real-Time Blocking:**

- Updated firewall rules to block suspicious SMB traffic across the network.

#### **4. Session Termination:**

- Forced logouts and session terminations for finance-admin.

## **Eradication**

### **1. Tool Removal:**

- Removed wmiexec and procdump.exe from compromised systems.

- Performed a full scan using EDR to identify and remove additional artifacts.

## **2. Patch and Updates:**

- Applied patches for any vulnerabilities exploited in Finance-PC01 and HR-Server01.
- Hardened configurations to disable remote SMB execution for non-administrative users.

## **3. Access Review:**

- Revoked all elevated privileges granted during the attack.
- Conducted a full audit of Active Directory accounts and permissions.

## **Recovery**

### **1. System Restoration:**

- Restored Finance-PC01 and HR-Server01 from the last known good backups.

### **2. Credential Reset:**

- Forced password resets for all users whose credentials were potentially compromised.

### **3. SIEM Fine-Tuning:**

- Updated detection rules to better monitor:
  - Lateral movement attempts.
  - Unusual privilege escalation activities.

## **Post-Incident Review**

### **Root Cause:**

- Initial compromise of Finance-PC01 occurred through phishing or exploitation of an unpatched vulnerability.

### **Recommendations:**

#### **1. Enhance Monitoring:**

- Deploy honeypots to detect lateral movement.
- Improve logging and alerting for SMB and RDP activity.

## 2. Zero Trust Architecture:

- Implement stricter segmentation and access policies across departments.

## 3. Awareness Training:

- Conduct targeted phishing training for Finance team employees.

## Visualisation

### Timeline of Attack:

Time	Event	Action Taken
15:15 PM	SMB traffic detected (Finance-PC01)	Alert triggered in SIEM
15:18 PM	Credential dumping on HR-Server01	Systems isolated
15:22 PM	Privilege escalation on HR-Server	Account disabled
15:30 PM	Forensic analysis initiated	Tools removed, logs reviewed

### Network Heatmap:

- Red lines indicate suspicious traffic between Finance-PC01 and HR-Server01.

### 3. PRIVILEGE ESCALATION ATTEMPT

**Description:** An attacker tries to elevate their privileges on a critical system.

**Detection and Analysis:**

- Review SIEM logs for privilege escalation indicators (“sudo” commands, new account creations).
- Cross-check logs with endpoint alerts on suspicious registry changes or policy modifications.

**Containment:**

- Revoke elevated privileges and disable compromised accounts.
- Block associated malicious IP addresses.

**Eradication:**

- Patch vulnerabilities exploited for escalation.
- Audit user roles and access permissions to identify gaps.

**Recovery:**

- Reinforce least-privilege principles.
- Enable automated alerts for privilege changes.
- Educate users and administrators about privilege abuse.

### SCENARIO EXAMPLE

**Simulated Scenario: Privilege Escalation Attempt**

**Date:** November 21, 2024

**Time:** 10:00 AM

**Environment:** Corporate IT environment with Active Directory, application servers and endpoint security.

**Detection**

**Alert Details:**

- **Alert ID:** SIEM-2024-11-003
- **Source:** Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR)
- **Description:** Privilege escalation attempt detected on AppServer01.
- **Severity:** High

**Logs:**

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:55:12

Source: AppServer01

Event: Process Execution

User: dev-user01

Description: Execution of `exploit.exe` (SHA256: abc123...) flagged as suspicious.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:57:45

Source: AppServer01

Event: Privilege Modification

User: dev-user01

Description: Added to Local Administrators group.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:58:30

Source: AppServer01

Event: Registry Change

User: dev-user01

Description: Registry key modified:  
`HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Policies\System`.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:59:50

Source: AppServer01

Event: Persistence Mechanism Detected

User: dev-user01

Description: Startup item added to

`HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run`.

## Analysis

### Key Findings:

#### 1. Attack Method:

- Privilege escalation attempt used a known exploit (exploit.exe) targeting a misconfigured service or unpatched vulnerability on AppServer01.
- Logs show dev-user01 gained local administrator privileges.
- Persistence established via registry modifications.

#### 2. Compromised Account:

- dev-user01: Regular user account used for daily operations, elevated privileges to access sensitive systems.

#### 3. Tools and Indicators:

- **Exploit Executable:** exploit.exe flagged by EDR as malicious (hash matched with public databases).
- Registry keys and startup folder modifications indicate persistence setup.

#### 4. Potential Impact:

- Access to sensitive application and database resources.
- Ability to move laterally across the network with elevated privileges.

## Containment

### Actions Taken:

#### 1. Account Suspension:

- Disabled dev-user01 account immediately.



## **2. Network Isolation:**

- Isolated AppServer01 from the network to prevent further exploitation.

## **3. Session Termination:**

- Forced termination of all active sessions for dev-user01.

## **Eradication**

### **1. Malware Removal:**

- Deleted exploit.exe from AppServer01.
- Scanned system using antivirus and EDR for other artifacts.

### **2. Patch Management:**

- Applied missing patches to address the exploited vulnerability.

### **3. Registry Cleanup:**

- Reverted unauthorised registry changes:
  - Removed persistence mechanisms.
  - Reset modified policies.

### **4. Privilege Review:**

- Verified and reset local administrators' group membership to exclude unauthorised accounts.

## **Recovery**

### **1. System Restoration:**

- Restored AppServer01 from a clean backup image.

### **2. Credential Reset:**

- Reset credentials for dev-user01 and other accounts that may have been exposed.

### **3. Validation:**

- Verified no additional unauthorised changes were made using file integrity monitoring tools.

## Post-Incident Review

### Root Cause:

- Exploitation of a known vulnerability in an unpatched service on AppServer01.

### Recommendations:

#### 1. Patch Management:

- Enforce automated patch updates for critical servers.

#### 2. Access Control:

- Implement strict role-based access control (RBAC) policies.

#### 3. Monitoring:

- Enhance detection for registry changes and privilege modifications.

#### 4. Training:

- Conduct security awareness training to educate users on risks and secure behavior.

## Visualisation

### Timeline of Attack:

Time	Event	Action Taken
09:55 AM	Execution of exploit.exe	Alert triggered in SIEM
09:57 AM	Privilege escalation detected	Account disabled
09:58 AM	Registry modification detected	Server isolated
10:05 AM	Persistence mechanism found	Malicious tools removed

### Heatmap of Activity:

- Red indicates suspicious privilege modifications and registry changes concentrated on AppServer01.

#### 4. DISTRIBUTED DENIAL OF SERVICE (DDOS) ATTACK

**Description:** A flood of malicious traffic is impacting the availability of services.

**Detection and Analysis:**

- Detect unusually high traffic using network monitoring tools.
- Analyse traffic patterns to identify the type and source of the DDoS attack.

**Containment:**

- Enable rate-limiting on affected endpoints.
- Use a content delivery network (CDN) or anti-DDoS services to filter malicious traffic.

**Eradication:**

- Block traffic from specific attack sources using firewall rules.
- Deploy protective measures, such as geo-blocking or application-layer firewalls.

**Recovery:**

- Validate service performance post-attack.
- Conduct stress tests to ensure resilience.
- Improve capacity planning and implement DDoS mitigation tools.

#### SCENARIO EXAMPLE

##### Simulated Scenario: Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) Attack

**Date:** November 21, 2024

**Time:** 2:30 PM

**Environment:** Corporate e-commerce platform hosted on a cloud infrastructure (AWS).

##### Detection

**Alert Details:**

- **Alert ID:** SIEM-2024-11-004

- **Source:** Web Application Firewall (WAF) and Cloud Monitoring
- **Description:** High volume of inbound traffic detected targeting the e-commerce login API endpoint (/api/v1/login).
- **Severity:** Critical

#### **Logs:**

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T14:15:32

Source: WAF

Event: Excessive HTTP Requests

IP: 185.23.45.90

Endpoint: /api/v1/login

Request Rate: 1,200 requests/sec

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T14:16:01

Source: Cloud Monitoring

Event: Resource Spike

CPU Utilisation: 98%

Memory Utilisation: 95%

Load Balancer Queue Length: 5,000 connections

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T14:18:45

Source: CDN

Event: Throttling Triggered

Blocked IP: 192.168.1.101

Blocked IP: 101.45.23.67

#### **Analysis**

## **Key Findings:**

### **1. Attack Type:**

- **Application Layer (Layer 7)** DDoS attack targeting the /api/v1/login endpoint with a flood of HTTP POST requests.
- Traffic exceeded normal thresholds by 500%, causing server resource exhaustion.

### **2. Attack Origin:**

- Multiple source IPs observed, indicating the use of a botnet.
- Geo-IP analysis showed malicious traffic originating from multiple countries (Russia, Thailand and the U.S.).

### **3. Impact:**

- Service disruption: Legitimate users unable to access the login page due to resource exhaustion.
- Backend database latency increased to 8 seconds/query.

## **Containment**

### **Actions Taken:**

#### **1. Traffic Filtering:**

- Deployed WAF rules to block malicious IPs and patterns associated with the attack.
- Enabled rate limiting to throttle excessive requests to /api/v1/login.

#### **2. Geofencing:**

- Restricted traffic from countries not served by the platform.

#### **3. Load Redistribution:**

- Adjusted CDN settings to cache non-sensitive static content aggressively, reducing server load.

#### **4. Blackhole Routing:**

- Diverted attack traffic to a sinkhole to prevent further load on production servers.

## **Eradication**

### **1. Botnet Analysis:**

- Collaborated with threat intelligence feeds to analyse malicious IPs for botnet behavior.
- Reported the identified botnet to relevant ISPs and law enforcement agencies.

### **2. System Hardening:**

- Strengthened backend API security with stricter input validation and rate limiting.
- Updated firewall rules to detect and block unusual request patterns dynamically.

### **3. Code Review:**

- Reviewed the login API for vulnerabilities that could be exploited in the attack.

## **Recovery**

### **1. Service Restoration:**

- Scaled up backend resources temporarily to handle residual traffic.
- Rebalanced the load across servers to ensure service availability.

### **2. Monitoring:**

- Enabled enhanced monitoring for high-traffic endpoints.
- Set up real-time alerts for abnormal traffic spikes.

### **3. Post-Attack Verification:**

- Conducted penetration testing to validate the resilience of the updated system.

## **Post-Incident Review**

**Root Cause:**

- Lack of rate limiting and WAF rules for the affected endpoint made it susceptible to a Layer 7 DDoS attack.

**Recommendations:**

**1. Traffic Management:**

- Deploy advanced anti-DDoS solutions like AWS Shield or Cloudflare.
- Implement request authentication mechanisms such as CAPTCHA for login requests.

**2. Network Architecture:**

- Optimise load balancers and use auto-scaling to handle unexpected traffic surges.

**3. Incident Response Readiness:**

- Create a dedicated playbook for DDoS incidents to reduce response time.
- Conduct periodic drills to test the effectiveness of mitigation strategies.

**Visualisation**

**Timeline of Attack:**

Time	Event	Action Taken
14:15 PM	Spike in login requests detected	WAF rules applied
14:16 PM	Resource exhaustion observed	Traffic throttled
14:18 PM	Attack escalated	Geofencing implemented
14:30 PM	Attack traffic mitigated	CDN caching optimised

**Traffic Analysis:**

- **Normal Traffic:** ~50 requests/sec.
- **Attack Traffic:** Spiked to 1,200 requests/sec.

## 5. INSIDER THREAT INCIDENT

**Description:** Malicious or unintentional activities from an internal user jeopardising data security.

### Detection and Analysis:

- Monitor user behavior analytics for anomalies.
- Investigate alerts for unauthorised data access, exfiltration or deletions.

### Containment:

- Suspend the user account involved in the incident.
- Block access to sensitive data or systems.

### Eradication:

- Identify and address security gaps in user access permissions.
- Remove unauthorised tools or software used by the insider.

### Recovery:

- Reinforce security awareness training programs.
- Implement strict logging and monitoring of privileged user activities.
- Establish a whistleblower mechanism for reporting internal threats.

## SCENARIO EXAMPLE

### Simulated Scenario: Insider Threat Incident

**Date:** November 21, 2024

**Time:** 11:00 AM

**Environment:** Corporate Active Directory network with sensitive financial and HR systems.

### Detection

#### Alert Details:

- **Alert ID:** SIEM-2024-11-005



- **Source:** User Behavior Analytics (UBA) and SIEM
- **Description:** Unusual data access patterns detected from user.internal@company.com.
- **Severity:** Critical

**Logs:**

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T10:30:12

Source: File Server (HR-Shared)

Event: File Access

User: user.internal@company.com

Files Accessed: payroll-2024-Q3.xlsx, termination-list.docx

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T10:40:45

Source: Email Server

Event: Email Sent

User: user.internal@company.com

Recipient: personaluser@gmail.com

Attachment: payroll-2024-Q3.xlsx

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T10:50:32

Source: VPN Logs

Event: Data Transfer Spike

User: user.internal@company.com

Data Transferred: 1.5 GB in 10 minutes.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T10:55:10

Source: Endpoint Monitoring (Laptop-1001)

Event: USB Device Plugged

User: user.internal@company.com

Files Copied: HR-confidential-strategy.pdf.

## **Analysis**

### **Key Findings:**

#### **1. Behavioral Indicators:**

- Access to sensitive HR documents without a valid business reason.
- Large data transfer over VPN in a short time span.
- Attempted exfiltration using email and USB devices.

#### **2. Insider Profile:**

- **User:** user.internal@company.com (Finance Department).
- **Access Level:** Standard employee access with read-only permissions for HR and finance shared drives.

#### **3. Impact:**

- Potential exposure of sensitive HR and payroll data.
- Risk of data being sold or disclosed to unauthorised parties.

#### **4. Motivation:**

- Likely disgruntled employee; no prior performance reviews indicate dissatisfaction.

## **Containment**

### **Actions Taken:**

#### **1. Immediate Account Suspension:**

- Disabled the account user.internal@company.com in Active Directory.

#### **2. Endpoint Isolation:**

- Isolated the laptop (Laptop-1001) from the network using EDR.

### **3. Email and VPN Lockdown:**

- Quarantined the suspicious email in the email server.
- Revoked VPN access and terminated active sessions.

### **4. USB Device Audit:**

- Identified and blocked the USB device's serial number in endpoint protection policies.

## **Eradication**

### **1. Data Recovery:**

- Retrieved and deleted the email with attachments sent to unauthorised external addresses.
- Identified and mitigated the potential leakage by reviewing logs and blocking further data transfer channels.

### **2. Policy Updates:**

- Enforced stricter controls over sensitive file access, including Just-In-Time (JIT) permissions.
- Implemented USB device restrictions for non-administrative users.

### **3. User Access Review:**

- Audited all access permissions granted to user.internal@company.com and removed unnecessary privileges.

## **Recovery**

### **1. System Restoration:**

- Reintegrated isolated systems (laptop) into the network after forensic analysis confirmed no malicious persistence.

### **2. Access Control Reinforcement:**

- Applied role-based access controls (RBAC) to shared folders to minimise exposure risk.

- Implemented user-specific file activity monitoring for high-risk areas.

### **3. Awareness Training:**

- Conducted insider threat awareness training for employees and managers.

## **Post-Incident Review**

### **Root Cause:**

- Lack of continuous monitoring for abnormal user behavior and delayed detection of unauthorised access.

### **Recommendations:**

#### **1. Enhanced Monitoring:**

- Deploy user behavior analytics (UBA) across all endpoints.
- Automate alerts for large data transfers or abnormal access to sensitive files.

#### **2. Zero Trust Security:**

- Limit access to sensitive files based on business need.
- Enforce stricter controls for remote and local data transfer.

#### **3. Periodic Audits:**

- Regularly audit permissions and user access logs for anomalies.

#### **4. Reporting and Hotline:**

- Establish an anonymous reporting mechanism for employees to report potential insider threats.

## **Visualisation**

### **Timeline of Attack:**

Time	Event	Action Taken
10:30 AM	Access to HR files detected	Account flagged in SIEM
10:40 AM	Email with attachment sent	Email quarantined

10:50 AM	Large data transfer over VPN	Account disabled, VPN revoked
10:55 AM	USB data exfiltration attempt	Endpoint isolated

**Activity Heatmap:**

- High-risk actions concentrated on shared drive access, email activity and external device usage.

## 6. SUPPLY CHAIN ATTACK

**Description:** An external vendor's compromised software or service affects your infrastructure.

### **Detection and Analysis:**

- Identify the compromised vendor or software via alerts or advisories.
- Investigate logs for unusual changes or connections to vendor systems.

### **Containment:**

- Disconnect affected systems from the compromised vendor's infrastructure.
- Disable access to affected software or services.

### **Eradication:**

- Patch affected software with vendor-provided updates.
- Remove compromised applications or configurations.

### **Recovery:**

- Validate the integrity of critical systems post-remediation.
- Audit vendor security practices and revise contracts for better security guarantees.
- Establish a vendor risk management program.

## SCENARIO EXAMPLE

### **Simulated Scenario: Supply Chain Attack**

**Date:** November 21, 2024

**Time:** 9:00 AM

**Environment:** Corporate IT infrastructure with cloud services, vendor connections and third-party software integrations.

### **Detection**

#### **Alert Details:**

- **Alert ID:** SIEM-2024-11-006
- **Source:** SIEM (Splunk) and Threat Intelligence Platform
- **Description:** Suspicious connection established from a trusted third-party vendor's IP, potentially indicating an exploitation of a supply chain vulnerability.
- **Severity:** High

**Logs:**

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T08:45:32

Source: Cloud Provider API

Event: New API Key Generated

User: third-party-vendor@company.com

IP: 203.0.113.45 (Vendor IP)

Action: API key used to access internal resources without valid authorisation.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:00:12

Source: SIEM

Event: Data Exfiltration Detected

User: third-party-vendor@company.com

Files Accessed: financial\_records\_Q3.xlsx, customer\_db\_backup.zip

Data Transfer: 1 GB to external IP.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T09:02:17

Source: Email Server

Event: Email Sent

User: third-party-vendor@company.com

Recipient: personalemail@gmail.com

Attachment: financial\_records\_Q3.xlsx

## Analysis

### Key Findings:

#### 1. Attack Vector:

- The attack originates from a trusted third-party vendor (third-party-vendor@company.com) who had valid API access to company systems. This vendor appears to have been compromised.
- The vendor's compromised credentials were used to generate a new API key and access internal systems, exfiltrating sensitive data (financial records and backups).

#### 2. Compromised Vendor:

- **Vendor Name:** Third-Party Software Solutions Inc. (provides software integration for payment processing and reporting).
- **Vulnerabilities:** Unpatched vulnerabilities in the vendor's software allowed an attacker to gain access to the vendor's systems, enabling the breach of their credentials.

#### 3. Exfiltrated Data:

- **Files Exfiltrated:** Payroll data, customer database backups and confidential financial documents.
- **Amount of Data:** 1 GB transferred to an external IP. This is an indication of large-scale data theft.

#### 4. Evidence of Exploitation:

- The attacker used the vendor's API access to bypass traditional authentication methods.
- Unauthorised email sent with the same data attached, indicating potential collaboration or further compromise.

## Containment

### Actions Taken:



### **1. Immediate API Access Revocation:**

- All API keys associated with the vendor's account were revoked.
- Disconnected all active sessions and disabled the vendor's access to internal resources.

### **2. Blocking Vendor IP:**

- Blocked the IP address 203.0.113.45 from accessing any company infrastructure.
- Implemented geo-blocking to prevent further unauthorised access from unfamiliar geolocations.

### **3. Email Quarantine:**

- Quarantined the email containing exfiltrated data.
- Investigated the recipient email (personalemail@gmail.com) to determine if the data was further distributed.

### **4. User and Device Isolation:**

- Isolated the vendor's device and accounts involved in the attack.
- Analysed the devices and network traffic from the vendor's systems.

## **Eradication**

### **1. Vendor Compromise Investigation:**

- Worked with the vendor to perform a full forensic analysis of their systems to identify the root cause of the breach.
- Found that the vendor had outdated software and failed to implement the latest security patches, which were exploited by attackers.

### **2. Patching and Secure Configuration:**

- Applied all critical patches and updates to the vendor's software to prevent further exploitation.
- Strengthened the security measures on the company's side to limit access from third parties using least privilege access controls.

### **3. Data Integrity and Recovery:**

- Conducted an audit of the exfiltrated data to assess its impact.
- Recovered data from backups and verified the integrity of the unaffected systems.

### **4. Access Review:**

- Re-evaluated all third-party vendor access privileges and implemented a more rigorous vetting process for vendor security.

## **Recovery**

### **1. System and Vendor Collaboration:**

- Collaborated with the vendor to restore services and ensure that their systems were secured before re-establishing access.
- Monitored the restored systems for any further anomalous activity.

### **2. Notification and Legal Compliance:**

- Notified affected parties (customers, employees) in accordance with data protection laws (GDPR, CCPA).
- Informed regulatory bodies about the data breach as required by law.

### **3. Enhanced Monitoring:**

- Implemented enhanced monitoring on APIs and vendor access points to detect unusual activities in the future.
- Deployed advanced SIEM rules to correlate vendor activity with internal systems for early detection.

### **4. Data Loss Prevention (DLP):**

- Strengthened DLP controls to monitor and block sensitive data from being transmitted to unauthorised locations.
- Implemented stronger email filtering mechanisms to prevent data leaks.

## **Post-Incident Review**

**Root Cause:**

- Exploitation of a vulnerable third-party vendor’s software, leading to the compromise of their credentials and subsequently, unauthorised access to sensitive company data.

**Recommendations:**

**1. Vendor Risk Management:**

- Establish a rigorous third-party risk management program that includes regular security audits and checks for vendors.
- Ensure that all vendors follow a minimum security standard and are regularly assessed for vulnerabilities.

**2. Zero Trust for Third-Party Access:**

- Adopt a zero-trust security model for all third-party interactions, limiting their access to only the essential data and systems.
- Implement more granular access controls to minimise the impact of a potential breach.

**3. Supply Chain Monitoring:**

- Continuously monitor and verify all data exchanges with third-party vendors.
- Use threat intelligence feeds to track the security posture of all partners and vendors.

**4. Incident Response Plan Update:**

- Update the incident response plan to include specific steps for addressing supply chain attacks and breaches involving third-party vendors.

**Visualisation**

**Timeline of Attack:**

Time	Event	Action Taken
08:45 AM	Vendor API key generated	Alert triggered
09:00 AM	Data exfiltration attempt detected	API access revoked

09:02 AM	Unauthorised email sent	Email quarantined
09:30 AM	Vendor systems isolated	Vendor collaboration for investigation
10:00 AM	Data recovery and system restoration	Systems and access restored

**Activity Heatmap:**

- Increased access to sensitive data from the vendor's account, along with outbound data transfer spikes.

## **7. ADVANCED PERSISTENT THREAT (APT)**

**Description:** Coordinated and stealthy attack targeting high-value systems and data.

### **Detection and Analysis:**

- Detect using threat intelligence feeds, unusual persistence mechanisms or behavioral analysis.
- Analyse artifacts (malicious scripts, lateral movement) using sandbox environments.

### **Containment:**

- Isolate affected systems and networks.
- Restrict access to sensitive data immediately.

### **Eradication:**

- Remove malicious software, backdoors and other persistence mechanisms.
- Rotate credentials and enforce MFA for all affected accounts.

### **Recovery:**

- Rebuild compromised systems from a trusted baseline.
- Implement advanced detection mechanisms (honeypots, machine learning models).

### **Post-Incident:**

- Conduct a deep-dive forensic analysis to identify TTPs (Tactics, Techniques and Procedures).
- Share findings with threat intelligence platforms.

## **SCENARIO EXAMPLE**

### **Simulated Scenario: Advanced Persistent Threat (APT)**

**Date:** November 21, 2024

**Time:** 12:00 PM

**Environment:** Corporate environment with a mix of on-premises and cloud infrastructure (Azure, AWS), sensitive intellectual property and research data.

## Detection

### Alert Details:

- **Alert ID:** SIEM-2024-11-007
- **Source:** SIEM (Splunk), Network Intrusion Detection System (IDS) and Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR)
- **Description:** Suspicious network traffic and lateral movement detected across multiple internal systems, likely indicating an APT in progress.
- **Severity:** Critical

### Logs:

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T11:30:15

Source: Network IDS

Event: C2 Traffic Detected

Description: Outbound encrypted traffic detected to external IP (192.0.2.10) over port 443 (HTTPS).

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T11:45:52

Source: EDR

Event: Suspicious PowerShell Command Execution

Description: PowerShell script executed on `DevServer01`, downloading a payload from a known APT C2 server.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T11:50:33

Source: Active Directory

Event: Suspicious Account Privilege Escalation

Description: `user.john.doe@company.com` added to `Domain Admins` group without proper authorisation.

Timestamp: 2024-11-21T11:58:12

Source: SIEM

Event: Lateral Movement Detected

Description: `DevServer01` accessing `HRServer02`, which holds sensitive payroll data.

## Analysis

### Key Findings:

#### 1. Attack Type:

- The attack shows signs of a sophisticated **Advanced Persistent Threat (APT)**, characterised by stealthy, long-term access with specific goals (intellectual property theft or system disruption).
- The attacker used **credential dumping** to escalate privileges and gain access to critical infrastructure.

#### 2. Initial Entry Point:

- The APT likely began through **phishing**, which allowed the attacker to gain a foothold via user.john.doe@company.com.
- This account, although with regular privileges, was exploited to escalate privileges to **Domain Admin** and gain control of key systems.

#### 3. Persistence Mechanism:

- **PowerShell scripts** and **encrypted communication (C2)** with an external server were used for persistence.
- The use of a **secure shell (SSH)** and **VPN tunneling** made it difficult to detect the movement.

#### 4. Lateral Movement:

- The attacker moved laterally from DevServer01 to HRServer02, which contains sensitive payroll data.

- Data access patterns suggest an attempt to exfiltrate payroll and employee data.

## **5. Impact:**

- Risk of sensitive data (intellectual property, payroll information) being exfiltrated.
- Potential for system and infrastructure disruption if the attack is allowed to continue.

## **Containment**

### **Actions Taken:**

#### **1. Account Isolation:**

- Immediately disabled the user.john.doe@company.com account to prevent further escalation.
- Isolated any accounts with newly escalated privileges (i.e., Domain Admin rights).

#### **2. Network Segmentation:**

- Isolated DevServer01, HRServer02 and other systems involved in lateral movement from the rest of the network.
- Blocked outbound C2 traffic by updating firewall and IDS rules to drop packets to the external IP (192.0.2.10).

#### **3. Real-time Monitoring:**

- Enabled network and endpoint monitoring on the affected systems to detect further malicious activity.
- Enforced **multi-factor authentication (MFA)** for all privileged accounts.

#### **4. Credential Revocation:**

- Reset passwords for all potentially compromised accounts.
- Rolled back changes to the Domain Admins group and performed an audit to ensure no other unauthorised privilege escalations occurred.

## **Eradication**



## 1. Malware Removal:

- Deployed **EDR tools** to scan DevServer01, HRServer02 and other potentially impacted endpoints to identify and remove the malware.
- Analysed the **PowerShell scripts** to identify indicators of compromise (IOCs) and wiped any backdoors left behind by the attacker.

## 2. Patch Vulnerabilities:

- Applied critical security patches to all systems, especially on those with exposed remote access (RDP, SSH).
- Hardened the configurations of servers and services vulnerable to remote code execution.

## 3. C2 Server Blocking:

- Utilised threat intelligence to confirm the **C2 server** IP address (192.0.2.10) as known for APT activity, blocking it permanently and adding it to threat feeds.

## 4. Privileged Account Review:

- Conducted a full audit of all domain admin accounts and access permissions.
- Removed all unnecessary administrative rights and enforced least privilege access policies.

## Recovery

### 1. System Restoration:

- Restored the affected systems from clean, known good backups.
- Validated the integrity of the restored data to ensure no data corruption or unauthorised changes were made during the attack.

### 2. Incident Logging and Reporting:

- Documented all actions taken during the containment, eradication and recovery phases for compliance and internal analysis.
- Reported the incident to law enforcement and regulatory bodies (where applicable).

### 3. Strengthened Monitoring:

- Implemented additional **network intrusion detection systems (NIDS)** and **endpoint monitoring** to detect any follow-up attempts or new threats.
- Enhanced internal visibility and logging to improve response times for future incidents.

## Post-Incident Review

### Root Cause:

- **Initial Phishing Attack:** The attacker gained initial access via phishing and escalated privileges by exploiting weak access controls and poor network segmentation.
- **Insufficient Detection:** The APT remained undetected for an extended period due to encrypted communication channels and the stealthy nature of the attack.

### Recommendations:

#### 1. Improved Endpoint Protection:

- Deploy advanced **behavioral anomaly detection** on all endpoints to detect unusual activity (PowerShell scripts, file downloads, lateral movement).
- Strengthen **EDR tools** and **SIEM integrations** for deeper visibility into endpoint actions.

#### 2. Zero Trust Model:

- Implement a **Zero Trust Security** framework, especially for critical resources and privileged accounts.
- Reevaluate remote access methods and use technologies like **Privileged Access Management (PAM)** to control and audit admin access.

#### 3. Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA):

- Enforce MFA for all **privileged accounts**, especially for those accessing critical systems and services.
- Implement stricter network access policies for high-risk systems.

#### 4. Ongoing Threat Intelligence:

- Integrate threat intelligence feeds into SIEM systems to keep track of emerging TTPs (Tactics, Techniques and Procedures) associated with APT groups.
- Regularly review threat intelligence for signs of new vulnerabilities or exploited software in your environment.

## Visualisation

### Timeline of Attack:

Time	Event	Action Taken
11:30 AM	C2 traffic detected (outbound)	Firewall rules updated
11:45 AM	PowerShell script executed	Account disabled, endpoint isolated
11:50 AM	Privilege escalation detected	Group membership revoked
11:58 AM	Lateral movement detected	Systems isolated and monitored
12:30 PM	Systems restored from backup	Systems and network recovery complete

### Network Heatmap:

- Outbound traffic towards external IP (192.0.2.10) from compromised internal systems and lateral movement across internal servers.