

Foundations of Computation

The practical contains a number of exercises designed for the students to practice the course content. During the practical session, the tutor will work through some of these exercises while students will be responsible for completing the remaining exercises in their own time. There is no expectation that all the exercises will be covered in the practical session.

Covers: Lecture Material Week 4

At the end of this tutorial, you will be able to prove programs by induction on lists and trees.

Exercise 1 Associativity of List Concatenation

We know that list concatenation is associative, i.e that

$$xs \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) \ = \ (xs \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs$$

holds for all lists xs , ys and zs . Prove this using list induction. Precisely state

- The property that you are proving, including all quantifiers
- What you need to show for the base case and in the inductive step
- What you are assuming as induction hypothesis.

Solution.

We show that

$$\forall xs. \forall ys. \forall zs. xs \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) \ = \ (xs \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs$$

by list induction. That is, we use the indu

where

$$P(xs) = \forall ys. \forall zs. xs \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) \ = \ (xs \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs$$

An alternative (easier) way would be to treat ys and zs as constants and

$$\forall xs. xs \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) \ = \ (xs \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs$$

and then argue that – since ys and zs were arbitrary, this holds for all ys and zs .

Base Case. We show that

$$P([]) = \forall ys. \forall zs. [] \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) \ = \ ([] \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs$$

Let zs and ys be arbitrary lists. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [] \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) &= ys \ ++ \ zs && \text{-- by A1} \\ &= ([] \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs && \text{-- by A1} \end{aligned}$$

Step Case. We show that $\forall x. \forall xs. P(xs) \rightarrow P(x : xs)$. So let x and xs be arbitrary and assume the inductive hypothesis

$$\forall ys. \forall zs. xs \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) \ = \ (xs \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs \quad (IH)$$

For arbitrary ys and zs we then need to show that

$$(x : xs) \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) \ = \ ((x : xs) \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs$$

We argue as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x : xs) \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs) &= x : (xs \ ++ \ (ys \ ++ \ zs)) && \text{-- by A2} \\ &= x : ((xs \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs) && \text{-- by IH} \\ &= (x : (xs \ ++ \ ys)) \ ++ \ zs && \text{-- by A2} \\ &= ((x : xs) \ ++ \ ys) \ ++ \ zs && \text{-- by A2} \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof.

Exercise 2

Tree Induction

Consider the definition of binary trees given in the lectures

```
data Tree a = Nul | Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)
```

and the following two functions:

```
size :: Tree a -> Int
size Nul      = 0                -- C1
size (Node l x r) = 1 + size l + size r -- C2
```

```
mirror :: Tree a -> Tree a
mirror Nul      = Nul            -- M1
mirror (Node l x r) = Node (mirror r) x (mirror l) -- M2
```

`size t` counts the number of nodes in `t` and `mirror t` obtains the mirrored tree `t`.

Establish, using structural induction, that `mirror` preserves the size of the tree.

Solution.

We establish that the following

$$P(t) = (\text{size } t = \text{size } (\text{mirror } t))$$

holds for all trees `t` by structural induction.

Base case: $P(\text{Nul})$. We show `t`

`size N`

by means of the following calculation:

```
size (mirror Nul)
= size Nul                -- by M1
```

Step case: We show that

$$\forall t1. \forall x. \forall t2. P(t1) \wedge P(t2) \rightarrow P(\text{Node } t1 \ x \ t2)$$

We separate the induction hypothesis for the left and the right subtree:

```
size (mirror t1) = size t1      -- (IH1)
size (mirror t2) = size t2      -- (IH2)
```

Proof Goal. For arbitrary `a`, show that $P(\text{Node } t1 \ a \ t2)$, i.e

$$\text{size } (\text{mirror } (\text{Node } t1 \ x \ t2)) = \text{size } (\text{Node } t1 \ x \ t2)$$

The proof is as follows:

```
size (mirror (Node t1 x t2))
= size (Node (mirror t2) x (mirror t1)) -- by M2
= 1 + size (mirror t2) + size (mirror t1) -- by C2
= 1 + size (mirror t1) + size (mirror t2) -- (we assume integers are commutative)
= 1 + size t1 + size (mirror t2)         -- by IH1
= 1 + size t1 + size t2                   -- by IH2
= size (Node t1 x t2)                     -- by C2
```

which finishes the proof.

Exercise 3

Euclid's Algorithm

We can formulate Euclid's Algorithm in Haskell as follows:

```
euclid :: Int -> Int -> Int
euclid n 0 = n
euclid 0 m = m
euclid n m = euclid (max n m - min n m) (min n m)
```

Our aim is to show that `euclid` terminates for all inputs $n, m > 0$.

1. Define a *termination measure*, that is, a function $t : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $t(n', m') < t(n, m)$ where n' and m' are the arguments of a recursive call to `euclid` in the definition of `euclid n m`, whenever the recursive call would be evaluated.
2. Prove that your termination measure t , defined above, indeed has this property.

Solution. We put $t(n, m) = n + m$. For a recursive call, we then need to show that

$$t(\max(n, m) - \min(n, m), \min(n, m)) < t(n, m)$$

and we do this by distinguishing cases.

Case 1: $n \geq m$. Then

$$t(\max(n, m) - \min(n, m), \min(n, m)) = t(n - m, m) = n - m + m = n < n + m = t(n, m)$$

as $m > 0$ (as otherwise we would be in the base case).

Case 2: $n < m$. Then similarly

$$t(\max(n, m) - \min(n, m), \min(n, m)) = t(n, m - n) = n + m - n = m < n + m = t(n, m)$$

as $n > 0$ (as otherwise we would be in the other base case).

Exercise 4

Show that `xs ++ [] = xs` holds for all lists `xs`, using list induction.

Solution.

We have to show that $\forall xs. xs ++ [] = xs$.

Base Case. We show `[] ++ [] = []`. But this is precisely the definition of A1 for `ys = []`.

Step Case. We show that $\forall xs. \forall x. xs ++ [] = xs \rightarrow (x:xs) ++ [] = x:xs$. So let `x` and `xs` be arbitrary and assume the induction hypothesis

$$xs ++ [] = xs. \quad (IH)$$

We show that

$$(x:xs) ++ [] = x:xs.$$

This follows, as

$$\begin{aligned} (x:xs) ++ [] &= x:(xs ++ []) && \text{-- by A2} \\ &= x:xs && \text{-- by IH} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 5

List Reversal and Concatenation

Consider the following definition of list reversal:

```
reverse [] = [] -- R1
reverse (x : xs) = reverse xs ++ [x] -- R2
```

The aim of this exercise is to show that list reversal interacts with concatenation in the following way:

$$\forall xs. \forall ys. \text{reverse } (xs ++ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ \text{reverse } xs.$$

Use list induction to establish that $\text{reverse } (xs ++ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ \text{reverse } xs$ for all lists xs and ys . You may find it helpful to use associativity of concatenation as well as other properties that we have proved in earlier exercises. Precisely state

- The property that you are proving, including all quantifiers
- What you need to show for the base case and in the inductive step
- What you are assuming as induction hypothesis.

Solution.

We show that $\forall xs. \forall ys. \text{reverse } (xs ++ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ \text{reverse } xs$

Base Case. We show that $\forall ys. \text{reverse } ([] ++ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ \text{reverse } []$. Let ys be arbitrary. Then

```
reverse ([] ++ ys) = reverse (ys)           -- by A1
= reverse ys ++ []   -- Ex4
= reverse ys ++ reverse [] -- by R1
```

as we had to show.

Step Case. We let x and xs be arbitrary and assume that

$$\forall ys. \text{reverse } (xs ++ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ \text{reverse } xs \quad (IH)$$

and show that

$$\forall ys. \text{reverse } ((x:xs) ++ ys) = \text{reverse } ys ++ \text{reverse } (x:xs)$$

So let ys be arbitrary. We have

```
reverse ((x:xs) ++ ys) = rev
= reverse (xs ++ ys) ++ [x] -- by R2
= (reverse ys ++ reverse xs) ++ [x] -- by IH
= reverse ys ++ (reverse xs ++ [x]) -- by assoc (A3)
= reverse ys ++ reverse (x:xs) -- by R2
```

and the equality is proven.

Exercise 6

Arguing by Cases

Show that

$$\text{elem } z \ (xs ++ ys) = \text{elem } z \ xs \ || \ \text{elem } z \ ys$$

holds for all lists xs and ys and all z . Precisely state

- The property that you are proving, including all quantifiers
- What you need to show for the base case and in the inductive step
- What you are assuming as induction hypothesis.

You will want to argue by cases.

Solution.

We use list induction to show

$$\forall xs. \underbrace{\forall ys. \forall z. \text{elem } z \ (xs ++ ys) = \text{elem } z \ xs \ || \ \text{elem } z \ ys.}_{P(xs)}$$

Base Case. We show $P([])$, that is

$$\forall ys. \forall z. \text{elem } z \ ([] ++ ys) = \text{elem } z \ [] \ || \ \text{elem } z \ ys$$

We let ys and z be arbitrary to obtain:

```

elem z ([] ++ ys)      = elem z ys                -- by A1
                       = False || elem z ys        -- by O2
                       = elem z [] || elem z ys     -- by E1

```

Step Case. We assume that

$$P(xs) = \forall ys. \forall z. \text{elem } z \text{ } (xs ++ ys) = \text{elem } z \text{ } xs \text{ } || \text{elem } z \text{ } ys \quad (IH)$$

and show $P(x : xs)$, that is,

$$\forall ys. \forall z. \text{elem } z \text{ } ((x : xs) ++ ys) = \text{elem } z \text{ } (x : xs) \text{ } || \text{elem } z \text{ } ys.$$

We distinguish the following cases for arbitrary ys and z :

- Case $z == x$

```

elem z ((x:xs) ++ ys)  = elem z (x : (xs ++ ys))    -- by A2
                       = True                        -- by E2
                       = True || elem z ys            -- by O1
                       = elem z (x:xs) || elem z ys   -- by E2

```

- Case $z \neq x$

```

elem z ((x:xs) ++ ys)  = elem z (x : (xs ++ ys))    -- by A2
                       = elem z (xs ++ ys)           -- by E3
                       = elem z xs || elem z ys       -- by IH
                       = elem z (x:xs) || elem z ys   -- by E3

```

Assignment Project Exam Help

Exercise 7

More Properties

Consider the following function

```

slinky :: [a] -> [a]
slinky []      ys = ys          S1
slinky (x:xs) ys = slinky xs (x:ys) -- S2

```

Prove each of the following equations

- (a) $\text{slinky } (\text{slinky } xs \text{ } ys) \text{ } zs = \text{slinky } ys \text{ } (xs ++ zs)$
- (b) $\text{slinky } xs \text{ } (\text{slinky } ys \text{ } zs) = \text{slinky } (ys ++ xs) \text{ } zs$
- (c) $\text{slinky } xs \text{ } (ys ++ zs) = \text{slinky } xs \text{ } ys ++ zs$

by list induction, and state explicitly

- The property that you are proving, including all quantifiers
- What you need to show for the base case and in the inductive step
- What you are assuming as induction hypothesis.

Hint. Think about precisely which variable is the variable you should induct on.

Solution.

1. We show that

$$\forall xs. \forall ys. \forall zs. \text{slinky } (\text{slinky } xs \text{ } ys) \text{ } zs = \text{slinky } ys \text{ } (xs ++ zs).$$

Base Case. Show that

$$\forall ys. \forall zs. \text{slinky } (\text{slinky } [] \text{ } ys) \text{ } zs = \text{slinky } ys \text{ } ([] ++ zs).$$

```

slinky (slinky [] ys) zs
= slinky ys zs          -- S1
= slinky ys ([] ++ zs) -- A1

```

Step Case. Let x and xs be arbitrary and assume the inductive hypothesis

$$\forall y s. \forall z s. \text{slinky } (\text{slinky } x s \ y s) \ z s = \text{slinky } y s \ (x s ++ z s) \text{ -- (IH).}$$

We have to show that

$$\forall y s. \forall z s. \text{slinky } (\text{slinky } (x : x s) \ y s) \ z s = \text{slinky } y s \ ((x : x s) ++ z s).$$

```
slinky (slinky (x:xs) ys) zs
= slinky (slinky xs (x:ys)) zs    -- S2
= slinky (x:ys) (xs ++ zs)       -- IH
= slinky ys (x:(xs ++ zs))       -- S2
= slinky ys ((x:xs) ++ zs)       -- A2
```

2. Here, we consider xs as a constant, and show that the property

$$P(y s) = \forall z s. \text{slinky } x s \ (\text{slinky } y s \ z s) = \text{slinky } (y s ++ x s) \ z s$$

holds for all ys .

Base Case. Show that

$$\text{slinky } x s \ (\text{slinky } [] \ z s) = \text{slinky } ([] ++ x s) \ z s$$

This is just a matter of unfolding equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{slinky } x s \ (\text{slinky } [] \ z s) &= \text{slinky } x s \ z s && \text{-- by S1} \\ &= \text{slinky } ([] ++ x s) \ z s && \text{-- by A1} \end{aligned}$$

Step Case.

Assume the inductive hypothesis for an arbitrary list as in place of ys :

$$\forall z s. \text{slinky } x s \ (\text{slinky } as \ z s) = \text{slinky } (as ++ x s) \ z s$$

Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z s. \text{slinky } x s \ (\text{slinky } (a : as) \ z s) &= \text{slinky } ((a : as) ++ x s) \ z s \\ \text{slinky } x s \ (\text{slinky } (a : as) \ z s) &= \text{slinky } x s \ (\text{slinky } as \ (a : z s)) && \text{-- by IH (*)} \\ &= \text{slinky } (as ++ x s) \ (a : z s) && \text{-- by IH (*)} \\ &= \text{slinky } (a : (as ++ x s)) \ z s && \text{-- by S2} \\ &= \text{slinky } ((a : as) ++ x s) \ z s && \text{-- by A2} \end{aligned}$$

(*) Note, zs in the IH is instantiated to $a : zs$ when it is used in the proof

3. Here, we treat zs as a constant and establish that the property

$$P(xs) = \forall y s. \text{slinky } x s \ (y s ++ z s) = \text{slinky } x s \ y s ++ z s$$

holds for all lists xs . We do this by induction on xs .

Base Case. Show that

$$\text{slinky } [] \ (y s ++ z s) = \text{slinky } [] \ y s ++ z s$$

We prove this by unfolding equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{slinky } [] \ (y s ++ z s) &= y s ++ z s && \text{-- by S1} \\ &= \text{slinky } [] \ y s ++ z s && \text{-- by S1} \end{aligned}$$

Step Case.

Assume the inductive hypothesis

$$\forall y s. \text{slinky } as \ (y s ++ z s) = \text{slinky } as \ y s ++ z s \text{ -- (IH).}$$

Prove that, for any a ,

$$\forall y s. \text{slinky } (a : as) \ (y s ++ z s) = \text{slinky } (a : as) \ y s ++ z s.$$

```

slinky (a:as) (ys ++ zs) = slinky as (a:(ys ++ zs)) -- by S2
                        = slinky as ((a:ys) ++ zs) -- by A2
                        = slinky as (a:ys) ++ zs -- by IH (*)
                        = slinky (a:as) ys ++ zs -- by S2

```

(*) Note, ys in the IH is instantiated to $a : ys$ when it is used in the proof

Exercise 8

More Efficient List Reversal

Consider the following, more efficient, version of list reversal:

```

rev_a [] ys = ys -- RA1
rev_a (x:xs) ys = rev_a xs (x:ys) -- RA2

rev2 xs = rev_a xs [] -- RR

```

The aim of this exercise is to show that $\forall xs. reverse\ xs = rev2\ xs$. Notice that $rev2$ is defined in terms of rev_a and that the second (accumulating) argument of rev_a changes in the recursive call.

1. Find a property that describes the relationship between `reverse` and `rev_a` where the second argument is an explicit variable. This property will have the form

$$\forall xs. \forall ys. \dots reverse\ xs \dots ys \dots = \dots rev_a\ xs\ ys \dots$$

2. Establish this property by list induction.
3. Use the validity of this property to establish the original goal, that is, $\forall xs. reverse\ xs = rev2\ xs$.

Hint. In the proof, you may (and probably want to) use some of the equations that have been established in earlier exercises. Also note that

Solution.

1. We find the property

$$\forall xs. \forall ys. reverse\ (xs ++ ys) = rev_a\ xs\ ys$$

2. We show the above property by list induction.

Base Case. Show that $\forall ys. rev_a\ []\ ys = reverse\ [] ++ ys$.

Let ys be arbitrary. Then we have

```

rev_a [] ys
= ys -- RA1
= [] ++ ys -- A1
= reverse [] ++ ys -- R1

```

Step Case. We show that

$$\forall xs. \forall x. (\forall ys. rev_a\ xs\ ys = reverse\ xs ++ ys) \rightarrow (\forall ys. rev_a\ (x:xs)\ ys = reverse\ (x:xs) ++ ys)$$

So let x and xs be arbitrary and assume that

$$\forall ys. rev_a\ xs\ ys = reverse\ xs ++ ys \quad (IH).$$

We show that this property also holds for $x : xs$ in place of xs . Let ys be arbitrary.

```

rev_a (x:xs) ys
= rev_a xs (x:ys) -- RA2
= reverse xs ++ (x:ys) -- IH
= reverse xs ++ x : ([] ++ ys) -- A1
= reverse xs ++ ([x] ++ ys) -- A2
= (reverse xs ++ [x]) ++ ys -- assoc (Ex1)
= reverse (x:xs) ++ ys -- R2

```

which finishes the proof.

3. We now show that `reverse xs = rev2 xs` for all lists `xs`.

```
rev2 xs
= rev_a xs []      -- RR
= reverse xs ++ [] -- as we have shown in part 2
= reverse xs       -- Ex4
```

Exercise 9

Double List Reversal

Consider the definition of list reversal given in the previous exercise, i.e. the function

```
reverse [] = []      -- R1
reverse (x : xs) = reverse xs ++ [x] -- R2
```

The aim of this exercise is to show that

$$\text{reverse} (\text{reverse } xs) = xs.$$

As for the other exercises, precisely state

- The property that you are proving, including all quantifiers
- What you need to show for the base case and in the inductive step
- What you are assuming as induction hypothesis.

Hint. In the proof, you may (and probably want to) use some of the equations that have been established in earlier exercises. Also note that `[x]` is just a notation for the list `x : []`.

Solution.

We prove $\forall xs. \text{reverse} (\text{reverse } xs) = xs$.

Base Case. We show that `rev`

```
reverse (reverse [])
= reverse []      -- R1
= []              -- R1
```

Step Case. We show that

$$\forall xs. \forall x. ((\text{reverse} (\text{reverse } xs) = xs) \rightarrow (\text{reverse} (\text{reverse } (x:xs)) = x:xs))$$

So let `x` and `xs` be arbitrary. We assume that

$$\text{reverse} (\text{reverse } xs) = xs \quad (IH)$$

and show that the same property also holds with `x : xs` in place of `xs`. The argument is the following:

```
reverse (reverse (x:xs))
= reverse ((reverse xs) ++ [x])      -- R2
= reverse [x] ++ reverse (reverse xs) -- Ex5
= reverse (x:[]) ++ xs                -- IH
= (reverse [] ++ [x]) ++ xs           -- R2
= ([] ++ [x]) ++ xs                  -- R1
= (x:[]) ++ xs                        -- A1
= x:([] ++ xs)                        -- A2
= x:xs                                -- A1
```


Appendix: Function definitions

```
(++) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
[]      ++ ys      = ys                -- A1
(x:xs) ++ ys      = x : (xs ++ ys)    -- A2

elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool
elem y []          = False            -- E1
elem y (x:xs)
  | x == y         = True              -- E2
  | otherwise      = elem y xs         -- E3

(||) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
True  || _         = True              -- O1
False || x         = x                 -- O2

reverse :: [a] -> [a]
reverse []          = []                -- R1
reverse (x:xs)      = reverse xs ++ [x] -- R2

map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f [] = []                          -- M1
map f (x:xs) = (f x):(map f xs)        -- M2

pref :: a -> [a] -> [a]
pref x l = x:l                          -- P
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro