

Sample Answers to Tutorial Exercises, Week 4

Part 1 - Propositional Logic

P4.1 Let the propositional variable A stand for “A is a knight” and similarly for B and C . Note that if A makes statement S then we know that $A \Leftrightarrow S$ holds. Or we can consider the two possible cases for A separately: Either A is a knight, and A ’s statement can be taken face value; or A is a knave, in which case the negation of the statement holds, that is,

$$\left(A \wedge (A \Rightarrow (\neg B \wedge \neg C)) \right) \vee \left(\neg A \wedge \neg(A \Rightarrow (\neg B \wedge \neg C)) \right)$$

We can rewrite the implications:

$$\left(A \wedge (\neg A \vee (\neg B \wedge \neg C)) \right) \vee \left(\neg A \wedge \neg(\neg A \vee (\neg B \wedge \neg C)) \right)$$

Then, pushing negation in and using the distributive laws, we get

$$A \wedge (\neg B \wedge \neg C) \vee \neg A \wedge A \wedge (B \vee C)$$

The second disjunct is $\neg A \wedge A \wedge (B \vee C)$, which is always false. So A must be a knight, and B and C are both knaves.

P4.2 Let us call the initial contents of R_1 and R_2 x and y respectively. We want to see what happens to the individual bits in x and y , but since we can just consider x and y in their entirety:

- After the first assignment, R_1 holds $x \oplus y$, and R_2 holds y .
- So after the second assignment, R_1 holds $x \oplus y$, and R_2 holds $x \oplus y \oplus y$, that is, x .
- So after the third assignment, R_2 holds x , and R_1 holds $x \oplus y \oplus x$, that is, y .

P4.3 The formulas F_2 , F_3 , F_5 , and F_6 are logically equivalent. Grouping the formulas into sets of equivalent formulas, we get

$$\{\{F_1\}, \{F_4\}, \{F_2, F_3, F_5, F_6\}\}$$

This can easily be verified by completing the truth tables.

P4.4 These are the clauses generated:

- For each node i generate the clause $B_i \vee G_i \vee R_i$. That’s $n + 1$ clauses of size 3 each.
- For each node i generate three clauses: $(\neg B_i \vee \neg G_i) \wedge (\neg B_i \vee \neg R_i) \wedge (\neg G_i \vee \neg R_i)$. That comes to $3n + 3$ clauses of size 2 each.
- For each pair (i, j) of nodes with $i < j$ we want to express $E_{ij} \Rightarrow (\neg(B_i \wedge B_j) \wedge \neg(G_i \wedge G_j) \wedge \neg(R_i \wedge R_j))$. This means for each pair (i, j) we generate three clauses: $(\neg E_{ij} \vee \neg B_i \vee \neg B_j) \wedge (\neg E_{ij} \vee \neg G_i \vee \neg G_j) \wedge (\neg E_{ij} \vee \neg R_i \vee \neg R_j)$. There are $n(n + 1)/2$ pairs, so we generate $3n(n + 1)/2$ clauses, each of size 3.

Altogether we generate $3n + 3 + 6n + 6 + 9n(n + 1)/2$ literals, that is, $9(n + 1)(n/2 + 1)$.

- P4.5 (a) $\neg P$ becomes $\boxed{P \oplus \mathbf{t}}$. With XNF it is perhaps more natural to use 0 for **f** and 1 for **t**. We really are dealing with arithmetic modulo 2, \oplus playing the role of addition, and \wedge playing the role of multiplication.
- (b) $P \wedge Q$ is unchanged, or we can write simply \boxed{PQ} .
- (c) $P \wedge \neg Q$ can be written $P(Q \oplus \mathbf{t})$, so by “multiplying out” we get $\boxed{PQ \oplus P}$.
- (d) $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ becomes $\boxed{P \oplus Q \oplus \mathbf{t}}$ (since bimplication is the negation of exclusive or).
- (e) $P \vee Q$ becomes $\boxed{P \oplus Q \oplus PQ}$, as truth tables will confirm. But how could we *discover* that solution? Well, we now know how to deal with negation and disjunction, and so we can make use of the fact that $P \vee Q \equiv \neg(\neg P \wedge \neg Q)$. This way we arrive at $\mathbf{t} \oplus ((\mathbf{t} \oplus P)(\mathbf{t} \oplus Q))$. Now all we need to do is to simplify that formula (come on, do it).
- (f) Using the insight from part (e), we transform $P \vee (Q \wedge R)$ to $\boxed{P \oplus QR \oplus PQR}$.
- (g) Negation is just ‘ $\oplus \mathbf{t}$ ’, so we can write $\neg(P \oplus Q)$ as $\boxed{P \oplus Q \oplus \mathbf{t}}$.
- (h) For $(P \oplus Q) \wedge R$, we just “multiply out”, to get $\boxed{PR \oplus QR}$.
- (i) Given $(PQ \oplus PQR \oplus R) \wedge (P \oplus Q)$ we again multiply out. There will be six products, but some will cancel out:

$$\oplus QR$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

- (j) Given $Q \wedge (P \oplus PQ \oplus \mathbf{t})$ we multiply out, to get \boxed{Q} at is, \boxed{Q} .
- (k) From part (e) we know that $A \vee B \equiv A \oplus B \oplus (A \wedge B)$. So from $Q \vee (P \oplus PQ)$ we obtain the formula $Q \oplus P \oplus PQ \oplus (Q(P \oplus PQ))$

$$Q \oplus P \oplus PQ \oplus PQ \oplus PQ = \boxed{P \oplus Q \oplus PQ}$$

(So the formula in (k) must be equivalent to $P \vee Q$.)

Part 2 - Predicate Logic

- P4.6 (i) $\forall x((D(x) \wedge \exists y(D(y) \wedge M(y) \wedge F(x, y))) \Rightarrow M(x))$
 (ii) $\forall x((D(x) \wedge M(x)) \Rightarrow \forall y((D(y) \wedge \neg M(y)) \Rightarrow R(x, y)))$
 (iii) $\forall x((D(x) \wedge R(x, b)) \Rightarrow R(a, x))$
 (iv) $\exists x(D(x) \wedge R(x, b) \wedge \neg R(x, a))$
 (v) $\forall x \forall y((D(x) \wedge D(y)) \Rightarrow (R(x, y) \vee R(y, x)))$
 (vi) $\forall x \forall y \forall z((D(x) \wedge D(y) \wedge D(z)) \Rightarrow ((R(x, y) \wedge R(y, z)) \Rightarrow R(x, z)))$

- P4.7 (i) $\neg \forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow \exists y(E(y) \wedge L(x, y)))$
 (ii) $\forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow \neg \exists y(E(y) \wedge L(x, y)))$
 (iii) $\exists x(D(x) \wedge \neg \exists y(E(y) \wedge L(x, y)))$
 (iv) $\neg \exists x(\forall y(E(y) \Rightarrow L(x, y)))$
 (v) $\neg \forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow R(a, x))$
 (vi) $\forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow \neg R(a, x))$
 (vii) $\forall y(E(y) \Rightarrow \exists x(D(x) \wedge L(x, y)))$
 (viii) $\neg \forall y(E(y) \Rightarrow \exists x((D(x) \wedge L(x, y)) \wedge \neg M(x)))$
 (ix) $(\forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow M(x))) \Rightarrow (\forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow \neg \exists y(L(x, y) \wedge E(y))))$

- P4.8 Here is how we might calculate the truth of $\neg \forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow \exists y(E(y) \wedge L(x, y)))$. We can say that “ x is a cat who likes nice ducks” if both of those two statements are true then z does not like x , and that’s that case no matter which z and which x we are talking about:

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Now, as a bonus exercise, turn that into clausal form!

- P4.9 For any formula G and variable x , $\neg \forall x G \equiv \exists x \neg G$, and $\neg \exists x G \equiv \forall x \neg G$. Interpret the formula $\neg \forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow \exists y(E(y) \wedge L(x, y)))$ in natural language, then use these equivalences to “push the negation” through each of the quantifiers and connectives, and re-interpret the result in natural language. Reflect on why these are saying the same thing. $\neg \forall x(D(x) \Rightarrow \exists y(E(y) \wedge L(x, y)))$ says “It’s not the case that every duck lays an egg”. If we push negation all the way in, the resulting equivalent formula is $\exists x(D(x) \wedge \forall y(E(y) \Rightarrow \neg L(x, y)))$. This says that there is a duck which doesn’t lay any egg at all, i.e. taking any particular egg, we claim the duck doesn’t lay that egg.

- P4.10 In the following formulas, identify which variables are bound to which quantifiers, and which variables are free.

- (i) In $\forall y(D(x) \wedge \exists x(E(y) \Leftrightarrow L(x, y)))$ the first occurrence of x is free and the second is bound to the existential quantifier. Both y ’s are bound to the universal quantifier
 (ii) In $\exists z(E(z) \wedge M(y)) \Rightarrow \forall y(E(z) \wedge M(y))$, the first occurrence of z is bound to the existential quantifier, and the second is free. The first occurrence of y is free and the second is bound to the universal quantifier.

- (iii) In $\exists x((E(x) \wedge M(y)) \Rightarrow \forall y(E(x) \wedge M(y)))$, both occurrences of x are bound to the existential quantifier. The first occurrence of y is free and the second is bound to the universal quantifier.
- (iv) In $\forall z(\exists z(D(z)) \Rightarrow D(z))$, the first occurrence of z is bound to the existential quantifier, and the second is bound to the universal quantifier.
- (v) In $\exists u((D(z) \wedge \forall x(M(x) \Rightarrow D(x))) \Rightarrow \forall z(L(x, z)))$, the first occurrence of z is free, and the second is bound to the $\forall z$. The first two occurrences of x are bound to the $\forall x$, and the second is free.
- (vi) In $\forall x(\forall y(M(x) \Rightarrow D(x)) \wedge \exists y(D(y) \wedge \forall y(L(y, x))))$, all occurrences of x are bound to the $\forall x$. The first occurrence of y is bound to the $\exists y$, and the second is bound to the last $\forall y$.

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro