

**School of Computing and Information Systems**  
**COMP30026 Models of Computation Problem Set 5**

23–27 August 2021

**Content:** interpretations in predicate logic, clausal form

P5.1 For each of the following predicate logic formulas, give an interpretation that makes the formula true, and one that makes it false:

- (a)  $\forall x \forall y (P(x, y))$
- (b)  $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge P(y, x))$
- (c)  $(\forall x \exists y P(x, y)) \wedge (\forall x \exists y P(y, x))$

P5.2 Show that  $\forall x (P(x)) \models \exists y (P(y))$  holds, by supposing that an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$  makes  $\forall x (P(x))$  true (so  $\mathcal{I} \models \forall x (P(x))$ ), and explaining why it must make  $\exists y (P(y))$  true. Does  $\exists x (P(x)) \models \forall y (P(y))$  also hold? Recall that part of our definition of *interpretation* is that the *domain* is non-empty.

P5.3 Turn the closed formula  $\forall x \forall y \exists z (P(x) \Rightarrow \forall y \forall z (Q(y, z)))$  into a simpler equivalent formula of the form  $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$ .

P5.4 Determine whether  $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \wedge \neg P(y))$  is satisfiable. Invert the formula to clausal form.

P5.5 Turn the closed formula  $\neg \forall x \exists y \left[ \forall z (Q(x, z) \wedge \neg R(y, z)) \right]$  into clausal form.

**Assignment Project Exam Help**

**<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>**

**Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro**