School of Computing and Information Systems COMP30026 Models of Computation Tutorial Week 11

21–23 October 2020

The plan

Try to get through all of this week's exercises. Reminder 1: A good text on context-free languages is available under "Readings Online". Reminder 2: Assignment 2 is due by the end of Week 11.

The exercises

- 90. Construct push-down automata (PDAs) for the languages from Exercise 77. In each case, the alphabet is $\Sigma = \{0, 1$
 - (a) {w | w starts anhttps://eduassistpro.github.io/
 - (b) $\{w \mid \text{the length of } w \text{ is odd}\}$
 - (c) {w | th Alesship minden its Project Exam Help

91. Let us say that the project of the progressive it none of its transitions are of form $\delta(q,\epsilon,a) = \dots$ That is, a progressive PDA consumes an input symbol in each of its moves.

Let us say that a PD move. That is https://eduassistpro.github.io/

- (a) $\forall q \in Q \ \forall v \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\} \ \forall a \in \Gamma \cup \{\epsilon\} \ (|\delta(q, v, a)\rangle)$

Which of your PDAs from Exercise 90 are progressive, and which are deterministic?

(The PDAs required in Assignment 2's Challenge 6 are both progressive and deterministic.)

92. We have seen that the set of context-free languages is not closed under intersection. However, it is closed under intersection with regular languages. That is, if L is context-free and R is regular then $L \cap R$ is context-free.

We can show this if we can show how to construct a push-down automaton P' for $L \cap R$ from a push-down automaton P for L and a DFA D for R. The idea is that we can do something similar to what we did in Exercise 65 when we built "product automata", that is, DFAs for languages $R_1 \cap R_2$ where R_1 and R_2 were regular languages. If P has state set Q_P and D has state set Q_D , then P' will have state set $Q_P \times Q_D$.

More precisely, let $P = (Q_P, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta_P, q_P, F_P)$ and let $D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, q_D, F_D)$. Recall the types of the transition functions:

$$\delta_P: (Q_P \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon) \to \mathcal{P}(Q_P \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$$

$$\delta_D: (Q_D \times \Sigma) \to Q_D$$

We construct P' with the following components: $P' = (Q_P \times Q_D, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, (q_P, q_D), F_P \times F_D)$. Discuss how P' can be constructed from P and D. Then give a formal definition of δ , the transition function for P'.

- (b) Now consider $B = \{ \mathbf{a}^i \mathbf{b}^j \mathbf{a}^j \mathbf{b}^i \mid i \geq 0 \land j \geq 0 \}$. Give a context-free grammar for B.
- (c) A and B look very similar. We might try to prove B not context-free by doing what we did to prove that A is not context-free. Where does the attempted proof fail?
- 94. Recall that a binary relation \prec over set S is a well-founded relation iff there is no infinite sequence $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$ such that $s_i \succ s_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, each sequence of elements from S, when listed in decreasing order, is finite. For each of the following, say whether it is well-founded:
 - (a) The usual "smaller than" relation, <, on the natural numbers \mathbb{N} .
 - (b) The usual "smaller than" relation, <, on the rational numbers, \mathbb{Q} .

 - (d) The (strict) lexication in the strict) lexication is defined by (m, m

For the last question, it may help to drive the Hasse diagram for the partially ordered set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, ordered by \mathbb{Z} , the reflexive cosure of \mathbb{Q} [FCT EXAM HELP]

- 95. You Av Schapon 17 the flured finished Chere ar X late 13 lours ted, Due, and white, and on the table, next to the bag, is a huge box with marbles of all three colours, enough that you never ru
 - (a) If the bantips://eduassistpro.githubrie from the
 - (b) If one of the two marbles is red, move both to the box.
 - (c) If both ar Alite Out Me of hen Altin Gelbag, as SIST5 but no bles from the box (the other white marble goes in the box).
 - (d) Otherwise move both to the box, and move 10 red marbles from the box to the bag.

Show that the process must halt.

96. (Optional.) The Week 10 lecture introduced the function $c: \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, defined recursively like so:

$$c(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } n = 1\\ c(n/2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n > 1\\ c(3n+1) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Write a Haskell function hailstone :: Integer -> Int which calculates the number of recursive calls made when computing c(n). For example, hailstone 5 should evaluate to 5, and hailstone 27 should evaluate to 111.

c is known to terminate for all natural numbers up to 10^{20} . It is conjectured to terminate for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but whether this is actually the case is an open problem. There are examples where similar conjectures have been refuted. One famous example has to do with prime factorisations. Say that n > 1 is peven if its prime factorisation has an even number of factors; otherwise n is podd. So $28 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 7$ is podd, and $40 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5$ is peven. Pólya conjectured that, for any k, the set $\{2,3,4,\ldots,k\}$ never has a majority of peven elements. However, that turned out to be false, the smallest counter-example being k = 906150257.