

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Liam O'Conn
University of Edinburgh, IFCS (an
Term 2 2020)
Add WeChat `edu_assist_pro`

Natural Deduction

Logic

We can specify a logical system as a *deductive system* by providing a set of *rules* and *axioms* that describe how to prove various connectives.

Each connective t

For example, to prove B holds
assuming A . This is written as $A \vdash B$.

derivability
(if the top, then the bottom)

entailment

(assuming the left, we can prove the right)

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

More rules

Implication also has an elimination rule, that is also called *modus ponens*:

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma, A}{\Gamma, B} \rightarrow\text{-E}$$

Conjunction (and)

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B}$$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

It has **two** elimination rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B}{\Gamma \vdash A} \wedge\text{-E}_1 \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B}{\Gamma \vdash B} \wedge\text{-E}_2$$

More rules

Disjunction (or) has two introduction rules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B} \vee I_1 \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B} \vee I_2
 \end{array}$$

Disjunction elim

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

The true literal, written \top , has only an introduction:

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

And false, written \perp , has just elimination (*ex falso quodlibet*):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash P}$$

Example Proofs

Example

Prove:

- $A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A$
- $A \vee \perp \rightarrow$

What would ^{negation} be?
Typically we just d

$$\neg A \equiv (A \rightarrow$$

Example

Prove:

- $A \rightarrow (\neg\neg A)$
- $(\neg\neg A) \rightarrow A$ We get stuck here!

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Constructive Logic

The logic we have expressed so far does not admit the Law of the excluded middle:

Or the equivalent <https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat $(\neg \neg P) \rightarrow P$ edu_assist_pro

This is because it is a *constructive* logic that does not allow us to do proof by contradiction.

Boiling Haskell Down

The theoretical properties we will describe also apply to Haskell, but we need a smaller language for demonstration purposes.

- No user-defined types, just a small set of built-in types.
- No polymor
- Just lambda

This language is a very minimal functional language, called the **simply typed lambda calculus**, originally due to Alonzo Church.

Our small set of built-in types are intended to be enough to express types we would otherwise define.

We are going to use logical inference rules to specify how expressions are given types (**typing rules**).

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Function Types

We create values of a function type $A \rightarrow B$ using lambda expressions:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

The typing rule for λ

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

What other types would be needed?

Composite Data Types

Assignment Project Exam Help
In addition to functions, most programming languages feature ways to *compose* types together to produce new types, such as:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat Unions edu_assist_pro

Records

Combining values conjunctively

We want to store two things in one value.

(might want to use non-compact slides for this one)

Assignment Project Exam Help

C Structs

types

Has

```
type Point {  
  float x;  
  float y;  
}  
Point midpoint (Point p1, Point p2) {  
  float x = ((p1.x + p2.x) / 2.0);  
  float y = ((p1.y + p2.y) / 2.0);  
  return new Point(x, y);  
}
```

```
private float y;  
public Point (float x, float y) {  
  this.x = x; this.y = y;  
}  
public float getX() {return this.x;}  
public float getY() {return this.y;}  
public float setX(float x) {this.x=x;}  
public float setY(float y) {this.y=y;}  
}  
Point midPoint (Point p1, Point p2) {  
  return new Point((p1.getX() + p2.getX()) / 2.0,  
    (p2.getY() + p2.getY()) / 2.0);  
}
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Product Types

For simply typed lambda calculus, we will accomplish this with tuples, also called

product types

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

We won't have type declarations, named fields or anything like that. Values can be combined by nesting products, for example a three

$(\text{Int}, (\text{Int}, \text{Int}))$

Constructors and Eliminators

We can construct a product type the same as Haskell tuples:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

The only way to extract
eliminators:

`fst` and `snd`

Add WeChat `edu_assist_pro`

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e :: (A, B)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } e :: A} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e :: (A, B)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } e :: B}$$

Unit Types

Assignment Project Exam Help

Currently, we have no way to express a type with just **one** value. This may seem useless at first, but it

We'll introduce the inhabitant, also w

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat $\Gamma \vdash () : ()$ edu_assist_pro

Disjunctive Composition

We can't, with the types we have, express a type with exactly **three** values.

Example (Trivial type)

```
data TrafficLight = Red | Amber | Green
```

In general we want to
contain different

Example (More)

```
type Length = Int
type Angle = Int
data Shape = Rect Length Length
           | Circle Length | Point
           | Triangle Angle Length Length
```

This is awkward in many languages. In Java we'd have to use inheritance. In C we'd have to use unions.

Sum Types

We'll build in the Haskell `Either` type to express the possibility that data may be one of two forms.

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

These types are also called *sum types*.

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Our `TrafficLight` type can be expressed (grotesquel

$$\text{TrafficLight} \simeq \text{Either } () (\text{Either } () ())$$

Constructors and Eliminators for Sums

Assignment Project Exam Help

To make a value of type `Either A B`, we invoke one of the two constructors.

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

We can branch based on which alternative is used using pattern matching:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Either } A \ B \quad \Gamma, x :: A, \Gamma \vdash e_1 : P}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{case } e \text{ of Left } x \rightarrow e_1; \dots)}$$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Examples

Assignment Project Exam Help

Example (Traffic Lights)

Our traffic light ty

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](https://eduassistpro.github.io/)

Red
Amber
Green

Left (L)
Right (R)
Right (R)

The Empty Type

Assignment Project Exam Help

We add another type, called `Void`, that has **no** inhabitants. Because it is empty, there is no way to construct it.

We do have a way to el

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{absurd } e : \text{Void}}$

If I have a variable of the **empty** type in scope, we must be looking at an `e` that will **never** be evaluated. Therefore, we can assign any type `w` expression, because it will never be executed.

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Gathering Rules

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e :: \text{Void}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{absurd } e :: P} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash () :: ()}$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e :: \text{Either } A \ B \quad x :: A, \Gamma \vdash e_1 :: P \quad y :: B, \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: P}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{case } e \text{ of Left } x \rightarrow e_1; \text{Right } y \rightarrow e_2) :: P}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: A \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: B \quad \Gamma \vdash e :: B}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) :: (A, B)} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } e :: A} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } e :: B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 :: A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 :: A}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 :: B} \quad \frac{x :: A, \Gamma \vdash e :: B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e :: A \rightarrow B}$$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Removing Terms...

Assignment $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{void}}{\Gamma \vdash P} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash ()}$ Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat $\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (A, B)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash P}{\Gamma \vdash (A, B)}$ $\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash ()}$ edu_assist_pro

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash B} \quad \frac{A, \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B}$

This looks exactly like **constructive logic**!

If we can construct a **program** of a certain **type**, we have also created a **proof** of a

The Curry-Howard Correspondence

This correspondence goes by many names, but is usually attributed to Haskell Curry and William Howard.

It is a ~~very deep~~ result:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

It turns out, no matter what logic you want to define, there is always λ -calculus, and vice versa.

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Typed λ -Calculus	Classical Logic
Continuations	Modal Logic
Monads	Linear Logic
Linear Types, Session Types	Separation Logic
Region Types	

Examples

Example (Commutativity of Conjunction)

 $andComm :: (A, B) \rightarrow (B, A)$ This proves A <https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Example (Transitivity of Implication)

 $transitive :: (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$
 $transitive\ f\ g\ x = g\ (f\ x)$ Transitivity of implication is just **function composition**.

Translating

Assignment Project Exam Help

We can translate logical connectives to types and back:

$()$ Void	True F
--------------	-----------

We can also translate our *equational reasoning*
on proofs!

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
Add WeChat *edu_assist_pro*

Proof Simplification

Assuming $A \wedge B$, we want to prove $B \wedge A$.

We have this unpleasant proof:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

$$\frac{\frac{A \wedge B}{B}}{\frac{A}{B \wedge A}}$$

Proof Simplification

Translating to types, we get:

Assuming $x :: (A, B)$, we want to construct (B, A) .

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

We know that

$$(\text{snd } x, \text{snd } (\text{fst } x, \text{fst } x)) = (\text{snd } x, \text{fst } x)$$

Lets apply this simplification to our proof!

Proof Simplification

Assuming $x :: (A, B)$, we want to construct (B, A) .

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\frac{x :: (A, B)}{\quad} \quad \frac{x :: (A, B)}{\quad}$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Back to logic:

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

$$\frac{\frac{A \wedge B}{B} \quad A}{B \wedge A}$$

Applications

Assignment Project Exam Help

As mentioned before, in dependently typed languages such as Agda and Idris, the distinction between value-level and type-level languages is removed, allowing us to refer to our program types (i.e. proofs).

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Peano Arithmetic

If there's time, Liam will demo how to prove some basic facts of natural numbers in Agda, a dependently typed language.

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Generally, dependent types allow us to use rich types not just for programming but also for verification via the Curry-Howard correspondence.

Caveats

All functions we define have to be **total and terminating**.

Otherwise we get an *inconsistent* logic that lets us prove false things:

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$proof_1 :: P = NP$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

$$proof_2 = pro$$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Most common calculi correspond to **constructive** logic, not **classical** like the **law of excluded middle** or **double negation elimination** do not hold:

$$\neg\neg P \rightarrow P$$

Semiring Structure

These types we have defined form an algebraic structure called a *commutative semiring*.

Laws for `Either` and `Void`:

- Associativity: $\text{Either} (\text{Either } A \ B) \ C \simeq \text{Either } A \ (\text{Either } B \ C)$
- Identity:
- Commutativity:

Laws for tuples and

- Associativity: $((A, B), C) \simeq (A, (B, C))$
- Identity: $(((), A) \simeq A$
- Commutativity: $(A, B) \simeq (B, A)$

Combining the two:

- Distributivity: $(A, \text{Either } B \ C) \simeq \text{Either } (A, B) \ (A, C)$
- Absorption: $(\text{Void}, A) \simeq \text{Void}$

What does \simeq mean here? It's more than logical equivalence.

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Isomorphism

Two types A and B are *isomorphic*, written $A \simeq B$, if there exists a *bijection* between them. This means that for each value in A we can find a unique value in B and vice versa.

Example (Refa

We can use this reas

```
data Switch = On Name
            | Off Name
```

Can be simplified to the isomorphic $(Name, Maybe$

Generic Programming

Representing data types generically as sums and products is the foundation for *generic programming* libraries such as GHC generics. This allows us to define algorithms that work on arbitrary data structures.

Type Quantifiers

Consider the type of `fst`:

```
fst :: (a, b) -> a
```

This can be written

```
fst :: forall a b. (a
```

Or, in a more mathematical

$$\text{fst} :: \forall a b. (a, b$$

This kind of quantification over type variables is called parametric just polymorphism for short.

(It's also called generics in some languages, but this terminology is bad)

What is the analogue of \forall in logic? (via Curry-Howard)?

Curry-Howard

The type quantifier \forall corresponds to a universal quantifier \forall , but it is *not* the same as the \forall from first-order logic. What's the difference?

First-order logic quantifiers range over a set of *individuals* or values, for example the natural numbers

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

These quantifier

second-order logic, not first-order:

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

$\forall A. \forall B. A \times B$
 $\forall A. \forall B. (A, B)$

The first-order quantifier has a type-theoretic analogue too (type indices), but this is not nearly as common as polymorphism.

Generality

If we need a function of type $\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$, a polymorphic function of type $\forall a. a \rightarrow a$ will do just fine: we can just instantiate the type variable to Int . But the reverse is not true. This gives rise to an ordering.

Generality

A type A is *more general* than a type B if A can be instantiated to give the type B .

Example (Functions)

$$\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int} \sqsubseteq \forall z. z \rightarrow z \sqsubseteq \forall x y. x \rightarrow y \sqsubseteq \forall a. a$$

Constraining Implementations

Assignment Project Exam Help

How many possible total, terminating implementations are there of a function of the following type?

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

How about this type

$\forall p. a \rightarrow a$
Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](#)

Polymorphic type signatures constrain implementation

Parametricity

Definition

The principle of parametricity states that the result of polymorphic functions cannot depend on **values** of an abstracted type.

More formally, su

If run any arbitrary f
give the same result

phic on type a .

g , that will
e output

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Example

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

We know that **every** element of the output occurs in the input.

The parametricity theorem we get is, for all f :

$$foo \circ (map\ f) = (map\ f) \circ foo$$

More Examples

Assignment Project Exam Help

$head :: \forall a. [a] \rightarrow a$

What's the param

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Example (Ans

For any f :

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

$f (head \ell) = head$

More Examples

Assignment Project Exam Help

$(++) :: a. [a] \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$

What's the param

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Example (Answer)

Add WeChat $map\ f\ (a ++ b) = map\ f\ a ++ map\ f\ b$ edu_assist_pro

More Examples

Assignment Project Exam Help

$concat :: a. [[a]] \rightarrow [a]$

What's the param

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Example (Answer)

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](#)

$map\ f\ (concat\ s) = concat$

Higher Order Functions

Assignment Project Exam Help

What's the param

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Example (Ans

$\text{filter } p (\text{map } f \text{ } l s) = \text{map } f$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Parametricity Theorems

Assignment Project Exam Help

Follow a similar str

parametricity f

the famous paper,

Upshot: We can ask `lambdabot` on the Haskell IRC c

relational

r in

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat `edu_assist_pro`

¹<https://people.mpi-sws.org/~dreyer/tor/papers/wadler.pdf>

Wrap-up

Assignment Project Exam Help

- 1 That's the e
 - 2 There is a quiz f
 - 3 Next week <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> ident type systems, and a **revision lecture** on Wednesday with Curtis..
 - 4 Please come up with **questions** to ask Curtis fo over very quickly otherwise.
- Add WeChat edu_assist_pro