

Week 8

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

University of the
Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Dr. Russell Campbell

Russell.Campbell@ufv.ca

COMP 481: Functional and Logic Programming

Overview

- Wrapping an Existing Type Into a New Type
- Using `newtype` to Make Type Class Instances
- On `newtype` and Laziness
- Type Keywords Review
- Getting Back to Monoids
- The Monoid Laws
- A Few Monoids
 - Multiply and Sum
 - Any and All
 - The Ordering Monoid
 - `Maybe` the Monoid
- Folding with Monoids

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Programming Paradigms

There are **two paradigms** in programming that contrast each other, which you now know:

- **procedural** programming
 - uses **functions** and stores data within **arrays**
- **object-oriented** programming
 - arranges data as **fields** within a **hierarchy** of objects

Fun <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> ry much like procedural programming, but t e.

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

- arranging data into arrays allows for **fast** access to it during execution
- access to data within a hierarchy of objects can be **slow**, because of stepping through pointers to get to it

Arrays versus Records

Haskell has efficiency of arranging data apart from objects.

Assignment Project Exam Help

- this point follows more design
- <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> as another typing design we will learn

Add WeChat `edu_assist_pro`

(Unity 3D is in the process of implementing an Entity Component System for Data Oriented Technology Stack to pack data into arrays)

Assignment Project Exam Help

— Wrapping a <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> o a New Type —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Two Behaviours

We have seen in the last chapter the two ways that lists can implement the ` \times ` operation:

1. with **every** nested function in the first list applied to **every** possible element of the second list
2. application of the first list applied to its second list

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](#)

The issue with `ZipL` it is implemented with the
`data` keyword:

```
data ZipList a = ZipList { getZipList :: [a] }
```

newtype

For object-oriented-like design, Haskell wraps and unwraps the nested ``a`` and ``[a]`` types each time in use of ``ZipList``.

- this is not as efficient as it could be

Haskell also has the `newtype` keyword for defining types so that they are identical to their underlying type.

- they are identical to their underlying type and can be used with implementations of applicatives
- this is because the `newtype` constructor only allows exactly one constructor
 - also, the constructor can have up to only **one** field (in a record, if desired)

newtype with Functor Example (Simranjit Singh)

```
newtype Score a b =  
    Score { getScore :: (String -> b)  
          deriving Show  
  
instance Functor (Score c) where  
    fmap f (Score (x, y)) = Score (x, f y)
```

```
-- examples  
p1 = Score ("James", 1)  
p2 = Score ("Anna", 4)  
    "Drew", 8)
```

```
ghci> (+3) <$> p1  
Score {getScore = ("James",4)}
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat: edu_assist_pro

Assignment Project Exam Help

— Using ``new`` Class Instances —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Swapping Parameters

To implement functor on a constructor with two parameters so that functions `fmap` on its first parameter:

```
newtype Pair b a = Pair { getPair :: (a, b) } deriving (Show)
```

```
inst https://eduassistpro.github.io/  
fmap g (Pair (x, y) x, y)
```

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](#)

- note the swap in the constructor for `Pair b a` and the record `getPair :: (a, b)`

Three Parameters

More parameters can be involved, and this time suppose we wanted to use the function on the **second** parameter:

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
newtype Triple a c b = Triple { getTriple :: (a, b, c) } deriving  
(Show)
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
instance Functor (Triple a) where  
    fmap g (Triple (x, y, z)) = Triple (x, g y, z)
```

Add WeChat **edu_assist_pro**

Using `fmap`

Try `fmap` on a `Pair` and a `Triple` with some function. For example:

```
ghci> getPair (fmap reverse (Pair ("london calling", 3)))  
("gnilglaC noDnoI", 3)
```

The <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> formal representation for Haskell with `Pair`

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](https://eduassistpro.github.io/)

- they only allow us to implement another way to use `fmap` (as an example) with pairs and 3-tuples.
- we could create yet more `newtype` and implementations for pairs and 3-tuples if we wanted

Assignment Project Exam Help

— On ` <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> iness —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

undefined

Haskell being **lazy** means it will only evaluate expressions until it absolutely must (e.g.: to print a result to output).

- only calculations that are necessary are performed, and no others
- trying to evaluate an **undefined** value (a special keyword) will result in an exception

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
ghci> undefined
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

```
*** Exception: Prelude.undefined
```

```
CallStack (from HasCallStack):
```

```
  error, called at libraries\base\GHC\Err.hs:75:14 in base:GHC.Err
```

```
  undefined, called at <interactive>:56:1 in interactive:Ghci3
```

Ignoring
undefined

however, notice how `undefined` can go unevaluated just fine when other calculations are the focus:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
ghci> head [1,2,3,unde
```

```
1
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

`newtype` versus `data`

`newtype` allows structures to be more lazy than `data` because there is only exactly one constructor.

- Haskell must **evaluate** the parameters to determine which value constructor implementation matches with use of `data`
- the `newtype` value constructor implementation must only have the one version, so evaluation can be **deferred**

`data CoolBool = Cool { Cool :: Bool } deriving (Show)`

```
helloMe :: CoolBool ->
helloMe (CoolBool _) = "hello!"
```

```
helloMe undefined
```

```
*** Exception: Prelude.undefined
```


Demonstration of newtype Laziness

Exit interactive session and reenter to define the next version:

```
newtype CoolBool = CoolBool { getCoolBool :: Bool } deriving  
(Show)
```

```
helloMe :: CoolBool -> String
```

```
helloMe (CoolBool _) = 'hello!'
```

```
ghci
```

```
"hello!"
```

- the `(CoolBool _)` **did not need to evaluate** `undefined`

Altogether, the difference between `data` and `newtype` is:

- make **completely new types** with `data`
- make other **versions** of types with `newtype`

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Assignment Project Exam Help

— T <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

type

The ``type`` keyword is just used to create synonyms.

- e.g.: ``type` IntList = [Int]`

it makes descriptions more readable like function signatures

```
ghci> [1,2,3,1,2,3] :: [Int]
[1,2,3,1,2,3]
```

- recall we created another name for the list association
``[(String,String)]`` as a ``PhoneBook``

newtype Implementations

The `newtype` will create a completely separate type:

```
newtype CharList = CharList { getCharList :: [Char] } deriving  
(Show)
```

- `CharList` values cannot have `++` applied with other `[Char]` values because the two types are different
- two `[Char]` values concatenated with `++`, since `++` is not even implemented
 - it is possible to convert `CharList` to `[Char]` and apply `++` and convert back
 - `newtype` record syntax provides the field `getCharList` as conversion function
- none of the `[Char]` instance implementations are inherited to `CharList` for any of the involved type classes
 - you will need to derive or manually write them

Three Kinds of Data Implementation

Consider using ``newtype`` over ``data`` when you only have one value constructor.

The three canonical rules that follow are as follows:

1. If <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> `ty`—the ``type`` synonym will do
2. If an already existing `ty` is to be wrapped and implemented as an **instance of a type class**—the ``newtype`` keyword will do
3. If a **completely new type** is needed—the ``data`` keyword will do

Assignment Project Exam Help

— Get <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Monoid Type Class

So we can implement instances of different type classes.

We have seen and learned of their usefulness:

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ``E``, etc.

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

There is yet another `Monoid` that is fairly involved and powerful, called ``Mo``

- a ``Monoid`` describes a combination of
 - a binary function
 - with an identity value

Monoid Example

An example:

```
ghci> [1,2,3] ++ []
```

```
[1,2,3]
```

```
ghci> [] ++ [1,2,3]
```

```
[1,2,3]
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

- above, the binary function ++ and the identity element is []
- notice the identity element leaves the other operand **unchanged**, regardless of which side it is applied

Can you think of other examples?

`Monoid` implementation is as follows:

```
class Monoid m where
```

```
  mempty :: m
```

```
  mappend :: m -> m -> m
```

```
  mconcat :: [m] -> m
```

```
  mconcat = foldr mappend mempty
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

- `mempty` describes the identity element constant that should act as the identity element
- `mappend` is a monomer, and should be some associative binary operator
- `mconcat` is *implemented by default* to take a list and forms one monoid value using `mappend` between its elements
 - `mconcat` can have its default implementation changed depending on `m`

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Monoid Laws

The following three laws involving `Monoid`` are not implemented in Haskell by default...

— left identity —

`mempty `mappend` x = x`

Assignment Project Exam Help

`x `m` <https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat `edu_assist_pro`

— associativity —

`(x `mappend` y) `mappend` z = x `mappend` (y `mappend` z)`

...so you will need to check your own implementations when you create instances!

Associativity

The last law requires a `Monoid` instance to ensure order of evaluation of `mappend` operations do not matter:

• since we implement last law, we can get away with writing

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Part of the reason `edu_assist_pro` guarantee such laws hold:

- we then do not have to change our understanding of a computational result based on order of operations

Implementing a Monoid Instance

We saw the example of `[]` and `++` function as a `Monoid` instance, which has the following implementation:

```
instance Monoid [a] where
```

```
  empty = []
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

- the implementation of `Monoid` requires a concrete type, so note the use of `t []`
- (requirement since version `build-4.11.0.0` for `Semigroup` to be a superclass of a `Monoid`, but we leave this for a bit later)

Examples of Using Monoid Behaviour

```
ghci> [1,2,3] `mappend` [4,5,6]
[1,2,3,4,5,6]

ghci> ("one" `mappend` "two") `mappend` "three"
"onetwothree"

ghci> "one" `mappend` ("two" `mappend` "three")
"onetwothree"

ghci> "one" `mappend` "three"
"one"

ghci> "ping" `mappend` "ping"
"ping"

ghci> mconcat [[1,2],[3,6],[9]]
[1,2,3,6,9]

ghci> mempty :: [a]
[]
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Details on Examples

(monoid behaviour)

- we needed a **type annotation** in the last expression, because Haskell would not be able to infer any type for it without giving `:: [a]`

- it is more general to write `[a]` than `[Int]` or `[String]`, since lists could contain all its elements of any one type

- `th ho [3,6],[9]] ts` demonstrates

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

The above examples demonstrated how `++` and `[]` satisfy the associativity and identity laws.

Commutativity

- there is no requirement to satisfy any **commutativity** laws
 - **swapping** order of elements in a `++` operation will be different
 - `ghci> "tick" ++ "tock"`
 - `"ticktock"`

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

- other monoids can be **commutative** over `mappend`:
Add WeChat **edu_assist_pro**
can you think of

- to be commutative, the result of the binary operation must be the same, regardless of order, for **every** pair of possible operands

Semigroup

Understand that both

- ``+`` and ``0`` is a monoid
- as well as ``*`` and ``1`` are a different monoid.

Recap: we can treat the same kind of thing with different implementations of type classes by repurposing them with ``newtype``.

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
We have two implementations for the two monoids, but the `base-4.11.0.0` has had an update since version ``base-4.11.0.0``:

- any ``Monoid`` must also be a ``Semigroup`` instance
- ``Semigroup`` means that the associativity should be implemented and expected before implementing ``Monoid``

We start with `Multiply`:

```
newtype Multiply a = Multiply { getMultiply :: a }  
    deriving (Eq, Ord, Read, Show, Bounded)
```

```
instance Num a => Semigroup (Multiply a) where  
    (Multiply x) <> (Multiply y) = Multiply (x * y)
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
inst          ply a) where
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
mappend = (<>)
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Now we can try the following operations using `<>`:

```
ghci> getMultiply $ Multiply 3 <> Multiply 9
```

```
ghci> getMultiply $ Multiply 3 <> mempty
```

```
ghci> getMultiply $ Multiply 3 <> Multiply 4 <> Multiply 2
```

```
ghci> getMultiply . mconcat . map Multiply $ [3,4,2]
```

Implement `Sum` as a Monoid Instance

Practice implementing `Sum` similarly to `Multiply`.

You will need to think about what the **identity element** is that corresponds to the operation of binary addition.

Assignment Project Exam Help

- on <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> it with the following:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
ghci> getSum $ Sum 2
```

```
11
```

```
ghci> getSum $ mempty <> Sum 3
```

```
3
```

```
ghci> getSum . mconcat . map Sum $ [1, 2, 3]
```

```
6
```


Bool as a Monoid

We can work with `Bool` values and its common operations for our own monoids as well (like operators OR and AND).

- convince yourself of the `mempty` definition for the implementation where `<>` takes on the binary operation of OR:

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
newtype Any = Any { getAny :: Bool }
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
instance Semigroup (Any) where  
  (Any x) <> (Any y) = Any (x || y)
```

```
instance Monoid Any where  
  mempty = Any False  
  mappend = (<>)
```

And give the following a try:

```
ghci> getAny $ Any True <> Any False
True
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
ghci> getAny $ mempty <> Any True
True
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
ghci> getAny $ mconcat [False, False, False, True]
True
```

```
ghci> getAny $ mempty <> mempty
False
```


Bool as a Different Monoid

Can you implement another ` $\langle \rangle$ ` for the AND operator?

- it should have the following results:

```
ghci> getAll $ mempty <> All True
```

```
True
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
ghci> https://eduassistpro.github.io/
```

```
False
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

```
ghci> getAll . mconcat . map All $ [True, True, True]
```

```
True
```

```
ghci> getAll . mconcat . map All $ [True, True, False]
```

```
False
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

— T <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Comparing Integers

The following slides are merely a demonstration of how to mix restrictions on comparing between ``String`` values.

- we have the three possible results of comparing integers:

```
ghci> 1 `compare` 2  
LT
```

```
https://eduassistpro.github.io/  
ghci> 2 `compare` 2
```

```
EQ Add WeChat edu_assist_pro
```

```
ghci> 3 `compare` 2  
GT
```

- (could implement for ``Char`` as well)

Appending Ordering Values

Although it may not seem as if it would be possible to define ``Monoid`` behaviour over the three ordering values, it is already done so:

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

```
EQ `mappend` y = y
GT `mappend` _ = G
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

- you can check that the ``mempty`` acts like the identity on the other ordering values

Refined Comparison

The following might be a way we want to compare strings:

```
lengthCompare :: String -> String -> Ordering
lengthCompare x y =
    (length x `compare` length y) `mappend`
    (x `compare` y)
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

- this is the first string `x` at result
- but if the two strings have the same length, they will be further compared alphabetically

```
ghci> lengthCompare "zen" "ants"
```

LT

```
ghci> lengthCompare "zen" "ant"
```

GT

Even More Refined Comparison

We might want to design an a more refined scheme.

Further refine comparison to check between `x` and `y` by
number of vowels before alphabetic comparison:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
-> Ordering

```
lengthCompare x y =  
  (length x `compare` length y) `mappend`  
  (vowels x `compare` vowels y) `mappend`  
  (x `compare` y)  
where vowels = length . filter (`elem` "aeiou")
```

Example of Orderings

See how the above refinement of ordering affects results with use of `lengthCompare`:

```
ghci> lengthCompare "zen" "anna"
```

LT
Assignment Project Exam Help

ghci
LT <https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro
ghci> lengthCompare "z

GT

This is only one example of how to apply `Monoids` in a non-trivial way toward creating your own orderings.

Assignment Project Exam Help

— \ <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Nested Monoids

Already implemented is the use of `Maybe` as a monoid (and a semigroup).

- the nested elements can also be instances of `Monoid` and `Semigroup`, so the implementations look like the following:

~~instance Semigroup a => Semigroup (Maybe a) where~~

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

(Just x) <> (Just <> y)

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

```
instance Monoid a => Monoid (Maybe a) where
    mempty = Nothing
    mappend = (<>)
```

- notice how `x <> y` nests in right evaluation of a `Just` element

Ignoring Nothing

Give the following a try:

```
ghci> Nothing <> Just "andy"
```

```
Just "andy"
```

```
ghci> Just LT <> Nothing
```

```
Just LT
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
ghci> Just (Sum 3) <> Just (Sum 4)
```

```
Just
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

- we can use `Maybe` ^{ted} values for types previously defined to work with their own, such as `Sum`

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

The above implementation of `Maybe` is very useful:

- for working with binary computations in the nested elements when we do not care that some of the `Maybe` values are `Nothing`
- since they are absorbed during calculations as an identity

Only the First Element

And `Maybe` values with nested element ***not*** a monoid?

- grab the values without worrying about nested operations

Create `newtype First` as implementation of `Maybe`:

```
newtype First a = First { getFirst :: Maybe a }  
    deriving (Eq, Ord, Read, Show)
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
inst    e  
First Nothing <> x = Just x)
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

```
instance Monoid (First a) where  
    mempty = First Nothing  
    mappend = (<>)
```

Using `First` as a Monoid

This way, we can work with the *first* element that is not `First Nothing` given some operations with `<>`:

```
ghci> getFirst $ First (Just 'a') <> First (Just 'b')  
Just 'a'
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

```
ghci> getFirst $ First (Just 'b')  
Just 'b'
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

```
ghci> getFirst $ First > First Nothing  
Just 'a'
```

```
ghci> getFirst . mconcat . map First $ [Nothing, Just 9, Just 10]  
Just 9
```

Last

The `Data.Monoid` module already has a `Last` data type implemented that works similarly

Assignment Project Exam Help
It always evaluates to the rightmost non-`Nothing` value:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
ghci
ghci> getLast . mconcat \$ [Nothing, Just 9, Just 10]
Just 10

- note that Haskell can imply the package prefix for unique names

Assignment Project Exam Help

— F <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> —

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

The `foldr` function:

- used to have different version found in the `Data.Foldable` module
- allows us similar operations on other types that act similar to lists
- it is now just implemented into the `Prelude` default version
- the default only used to work on lists

Assignment Project Exam Help

Tree we could practice folding:

- <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> declared instance of `Foldable`

ghci> `foldr`

`foldr :: Foldable t => (a -> b -> b) -> b -> t a -> b`

Just a quick reminder demo:

ghci> `foldr (*) 1 [1,2,3]`

6

Folding with Monoids

In this example, the **first** parameter is a binary operation:

- the **second** parameter is the starting **accumulator** value
- the **third** parameter is the **list** we want to fold

A few more examples:

Assignment Project Exam Help

ghci

11 **<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>**

ghci> foldl (||) False

True **Add WeChat edu_assist_pro**

When folding **right**, whatever function we pass must have:

- its **first** parameter as the next input **list** element
- its **second** parameter as the **accumulator**

Folding with Nothing

Something that is a bit weird, but works, because of monoid behaviour:

```
ghci> foldl (||) False (Nothing)
False
```

```
ghci> foldl (||) True (Nothing)
True
```

```
ghci> foldl (&&) False (Nothing)
False
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

```
ghci> foldl (&&) True (Nothing)
True
```

- the above I just remember as the fold as acting on the identity

Foldable Trees

We make another type an instance of `Foldable` with the tree data structure we had previously defined:

```
data Tree a = Node a (Tree a) (Tree a) deriving (Show)
```

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>
Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

foldMap

To make something foldable, we must implement a function called `foldMap`, which is described with the type:

```
foldMap :: (Monoid m, Foldable t) => (a -> m) -> t a -> m
```

- the function `(a -> m)` to:
 - `(a -> m)` as a function that maps a nested element type `a` to an element that can be combined in a monoid `m`
- the data structure `t a` we would like to fold
- the final result of the fold `m` for one monoid value

Implementing `foldMap` for `Tree`

We need to implement `foldMap` function for our trees:

```
instance Foldable Tree where
  foldMap g EmptyTree = mempty
  foldMap g (Node x l r) =
    foldMap g l <>
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

- the above implement in-order traversal
- a tree that is empty just evaluates to the monoid `mempty` value
 - this way, when the recursive `foldMap` reaches empty leaf nodes, they resolve as identity operations to the other parent nodes

A Test Tree

Testing trees out in an interactive ghci session is a bit much to type, but it is easiest to do in multiline syntax:

```
:{  
testTree = Node 5  
  (Node 3  
    (Node 1 EmptyTree EmptyTree)  
    (No  
      )  
    (Node 9  
      (Node 8 EmptyTree EmptyTree)  
      (Node 10 EmptyTree EmptyTree)  
    )  
  )  
:}
```

(lines are blocked after `Node 5` with one space at the front)

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Folding
`testTree`

Now you should be able to check:

```
ghci> foldl (+) 0 testTree
```

42
Assignment Project Exam Help

```
ghci
```

```
6480 https://eduassistpro.github.io/
```

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

- the first result is just the **sum** of all the nested node values together
- the second result is just the **product** of all of the nested node values together

Using Nested Monoids

But we want to see `foldMap` in action:

```
ghci> getAny $ foldMap (\x -> Any $ x == 3) testTree  
True
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

- the tree are by `<>` and not some
the nested elements themselves
- we converted nested
monoid behaviour, i. each node to something that has
e (we defined earlier)
- the result is whether some node in the tree contains the value `3`

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](#)

Applying Custom Nested Monoids

If instead we wanted to get the sum of all the elements, you can guess we would next use the monoid we defined

earlier for `Sum`.

Assignment Project Exam Help

ghci <https://eduassistpro.github.io/> `m x)) testTree`

42

ghci> `getMultiply $ fo (Multiply x)) testTree`

64800

More Nested Monoids

We would likely not implement basic operations as those already defined to work with the fold functions.

Keep in mind the flexibility with each of the further implementations of `Monoid` we had written:

```
ghci > foldM testTree 0 $ \x > .15) testTree
False
```

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](https://eduassistpro.github.io/)

The above checks whether any of the nodes in the `testTree` have a value above `15`, which it does not.

Conversion to Lists

We can even convert our tree into a list:

```
ghci> foldMap (\x -> [x]) testTree
```

```
[1,3,3,3,3,9,10]
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

- `concatMap` concatenates the results of a `foldMap` performed on lists
- we could change the order of the traversal in the implementation of `foldMap` for our trees

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Monad Example (David Semke)

```
import Data.Monoid
```

```
data Box a = Box a
    deriving (Show)
```

```
instance Functor Box where
    fmap f (Box x) = Box (f x)
```

```
instance Applicative Box where
    pure x = Box x
    (Box f) <*> x = fmap f x
```

```
instance Monad Box where
```

```
    return x = Box x
```

```
    Box x >>= f = f x
```

```
    combineIntoBox
```

```
    id m) => m -> m -> Box m
```

```
    c a b = Box (a `mappend` b)
```

```
resultChars =
```

```
    Box "day" >>= (combineIntoBox "good")
```

```
resultAny = Box (Any False)
```

```
    >>= combineIntoBox (Any True)
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](#)

Thank
You!

Assignment Project Exam Help
Questions?

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro