Tree-Structured Indexes

Introduction

As for any index, 3 alternatives for data entries **k***:

- Data record with key value **k**
- <**k**, rid of data record with search key value **k**>
- <**k**, list of rids of data records with search key **k**>

- Choice is *exing technique* used to locate data en

- Tree-structured indexing techniques support both *range searches* and *equality searches*.
- *ISAM*: static structure; *B+ tree*: dynamic, adjusts gracefully under inserts and deletes.

Range Searches

``*Find all students with gpa > 3.0*''

- If data is in sorted file, do binary search to find first such student, then scan to find others.
- Cost of binary search can be quite high.

Simple idea: file.



☛ *Can do binary search on (smaller) index file!*

ISAM (Indexed Sequential Access Method)



Index file may still be quite large. But we can apply the idea repeatedly!



☛ *Leaf pages contain data entries.*

Comments on ISAM

- *File creation*: Leaf (data) pages allocated sequentially, sorted by each key; then index pages allocated, then space for overflow pages.
- *Index entries*: <search key value, page id>; they `direct' search for *data entries*, which are in leaf pages.
- Search: Start at r to go to leaf. Cost = $\log_F N$;
- F = # entries/index pg, N = # le
- Insert: Find leaf data entry belongs to, and put it there.
- Delete: Find and remove from leaf; if empty overflow page, de-allocate.

☛ **Static tree structure**: *inserts/deletes affect only leaf pages.*

Example ISAM Tree

Each node can hold 2 entries; no need for `next-leaf-page' pointers. (Why?)



Inserting 23*



Inserting 48*



Inserting 41*



Inserting 42*



Then Deleting 42*, 51*, 97*,55*



… After Deleting 42*, 51*, 97*, 55*



☛ *Note that 51* appears in index levels, but not in leaf!*

B+ Tree: Most Widely Used Index

- Insert/delete at log $_F$ N cost; keep tree *height-balanced*. (F = fanout, N = # leaf pages)
- Minimum 50% occupancy (except for root). Each node contains d <= $\underline{m}$ <= 2d entries.
- The parame er of the tree.
- Supports eq iciently.



Example B+ Tree

Search begins at root, and key comparisons direct it to a leaf.

Search for 5*, 15*, all data entries >= 24* ...



☛ *Based on the search for 15*, we <u>know</u> it is not in the tree!*

B+ Trees in Practice

Typical order: 100. Typical fill-factor: 67%.

- average fanout = 133

Typical capacities:

- Height 4: 133^4 = 312,900,700 records
- Height 3: 1 rds

Can often hold top levels pool:

- Level 1 = 1 page = 8 Kbytes
- Level 2 = 133 pages = 1 Mbyte
- Level 3 = 17,689 pages = 133 MBytes

Inserting a Data Entry into a B+ Tree

- Find correct leaf *L.*
- Put data entry onto *L*.
  - If *L* has enough space, *done*!

  - Else, must *s* ... *node L2)*
    - Redistrib ... iddle key.

    - Insert index entry pointing ... ent of *L*.

- This can happen recursi
  - To split index node, redistribute entries evenly, but **push up** middle key. (Contrast with leaf splits.)
- Splits "grow" tree; root split increases height.
  - Tree growth: gets *wider* or *one level taller at top.*

Inserting 8*



Root
13
17
24
30
2*
3*
5*
7*
14*
16*
7*
29*
33*
34*
38*
39*
Node 5 is
copied up.
5
2*
3*
5*
7*
8*
17 is pushed up
17
5
13
24
30

After Inserting 8*



❖ In this example, we can avoid istributing entries; however, this is usually not done in practice.

- Redistributing I/O costs is not smaller than those of splitting.
- It has a chance that redistributing does not work; thus costs for exploring redistribution are wasted.

Deleting a Data Entry from a B+ Tree

- Start at root, find leaf *L* where entry belongs.
- Remove the entry.
  - If L is at least half-full, *done!*
  - If L has only
    - Try to re-distr g (*adjacent node with same parent as L*).
    - If re-distribution fails, *merge* *L*
- If merge occurred, must delete entry (pointing to *L* or sibling) from parent of *L*.
- Merge could propagate to root, decreasing height.

Deleting 19*



Deleting 20*



After Deleting 20* ...



Deleting 20* is done with re-distribution.
Notice how middle key is *copied up*.

Deleting 24* ...



After Deleting 24*



Example of Non-leaf Re-distribution



Prefix Key Compression

- Important to increase fan-out. (Why?)
- Key values in index entries only `direct traffic’ ; can often compress them.
  - E.g., If we have adjacent index entries with search key values *Dannon Yogurt*, *David Smith* and *Devarakonda Murthy*, we can abbreviate *David Smith* to *Dav*. (The other keys can be compressed too

    - Is this correct ata entry *Davey Jones*? (Can only compress *David Smith* t

    - In general, while compressing, mu ndex entry greater than every key value (in any subtree) to its left.
- Insert/delete must be suitably modified.



Bulk Loading of a B+ Tree

- If we have a large collection of records, and we want to create a B+ tree on some field, doing so by repeatedly inserting records is very slow.
- *Bulk Loading* can be done much more efficiently.
- *Initialization*: insert pointer to first (leaf) pa .



Bulk Loading (Contd.)

- Index entries for leaf pages always entered into right-most index page just above leaf level. When this fills up, it splits. (Split may go up right-most path to the root.)
- Much faster than repeated inserts, especially when one considers locking!





Summary of Bulk Loading

Option 1: multiple inserts.

- Slow.
- Does not give sequential storage of leaves.

Option 2: *Bulk* ____________

- Has advant control.
- Fewer I/Os during build.
- Leaves will be stored sequentially (and linked, of course).
- Can control "fill factor" on pages.

A Note on `Order'

Order (**d**) concept replaced by physical space criterion in practice (`*at least half-full*').

- Index pages can typically hold many more entries than leaf pages.
- Variable siz [illegible] eys mean differnt nodes will contain differen [illegible] of entries.
- Even with fixed length fields, multiple records with the same search key value (*duplicates*) can lead to variable-sized data entries (if we use Alternative (3)).

Summary

Tree-structured indexes are ideal for range-searches, also good for equality searches.

ISAM is a static structure.

- Only leaf p pages needed.
- Overflow c rmance unless size of data set and data distri constant.

B+ tree is a dynamic struct

- Inserts/deletes leave tree height-balanced; $\log_F N$ cost.
- High fanout (**F**) means depth rarely more than 3 or 4.
- Almost always better than maintaining a sorted file.

Summary (Contd.)

- Typically, 67% occupancy on average.
- Usually preferable to ISAM, modulo *locking* considerations; adjusts to growth gracefully.

- If data entri s can change rids!

- Key compres t reduces height.
- Bulk loading can be much an repeated inserts for creating a B+ tree on a large data set.

- Most widely used index in database management systems because of its versatility. One of the most optimized components of a DBMS.