

# Numerical Optimisation: Trust region methods

## Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

`f.rullan@cs.ucl.ac.uk`

## Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

Department of Computer Science  
Centre for Medical Image Computing  
Centre for Inverse Problems  
University College London

Lecture 4

## Trust region: idea

- Choose a region around the current iterate  $f(x_k)$  in which we trust a model.
- Choose a relatively easy solvable model which we trust is an adequate representation of  $f$  in this region.

- 

- <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

the region is too large, the minimiser of the model is too far away from the minimiser of  $f$ .

- If the model is consistently reliable, the trust region is increased.
- If the step length is not acceptable, reduce the size of the trust region and find a new minimiser. In general both the direction and step length change when the trust region changes.

Here we assume a quadratic model based on Taylor expansion of  $f$  at  $x_k$

$$m_k(p) = f(x_k) + g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B_k p,$$

where

Hess

In gen

$B_k$ . The difference between  $\nabla^2 f(x_k + p)$  and  $B_k$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\|p\|^2)$ .

The choice of  $B_k = \nabla^2 f(x_k)$  leads to

**methods** and the model accuracy is  $\mathcal{O}(\|p\|^3)$ .

In each step we solve

$$\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} m_k(p) = f(x_k) + g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B_k p, \quad \text{s.t. } \|p\| \leq \Delta_k, \quad (\text{CM})$$

and  $\Delta_k > 0$  is the radius of the trust region. The constraint can be equivalently written  $p^T p \leq \Delta_k^2$ .

If  $B_k$  is positive definite, then  $p^B = -B_k^{-1} g_k$  is the unconstrained quadratic minimum. If  $\|p^B\| \leq \Delta_k$ , then  $p^B$  is also the solution to the constrained problem and we call  $p^B$  a **full step**.

Solution in other cases is less straight forward but can be obtained at moderate computational cost. In particular, only an **approximate** solution is necessary to obtain convergence and good practical behaviour.

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

Figure: Nocedal Wright Fig 4.1

## Choice of trust region radius $\Delta_k$

Compare the actual reduction in objective function to the predicted reduction i.e. reduction in the model  $m_k$ .

$$\rho_k = \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_k + p)}{m_k(0) - m_k(p)}$$

- <https://eduassistpro.github.io>
- $\rho_k > 0$ , small – accept step, shrink trust region
- $\rho_k > 0$ , but significantly smaller than 1 – accept step, do not alter trust region
- $\rho \approx 1$  : good agreement between  $f$  and  $m_k$  – accept step and expand trust region for next iteration.

## Algorithm: Trust region

```
1: Given  $\hat{\Delta} > 0, \Delta_0 \in (0, \hat{\Delta})$  and  $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$ 
2: for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  do
3:   Obtain  $p_k$  by (approximatively) solving (CM)
4:   Evaluate  $\rho_k = \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_k + p_k)}{m_k(0) - m_k(p_k)}$ 
5:   if  $\rho_k < \frac{1}{4}$  then
6:      $\Delta_{k+1} = \frac{1}{4}\Delta_k$ 
7:
8:   else
9:      $\Delta_{k+1} = \Delta_k$ 
10:   end if
11:   if  $\rho_k > \eta$  then
12:      $x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k$ 
13:   else
14:      $x_{k+1} = x_k$ 
15:   end if
16: end for
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

$p^*$  is a global solution of the trust region problem (CM)

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\min_p m_k(p) = f(x_k) + g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B_k p, \quad \text{s.t. } \|p\| \leq \Delta_k$$

if and only if

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$(B + \lambda I)p^* = -g_k, \quad (1a)$$

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

$$\lambda(\Delta - \|p^*\|) = 0, \quad (1b)$$

$$B + \lambda I \text{ is positive semi-definite} \quad (1c)$$



# Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pr

Figure: Nocedal Wright Fig 4.2 (note that  $p_3^*$  and  $p_1^*$  should be swapped)

For  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\|p^*\| < \Delta$  hence  $\lambda = 0$  and so

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$Bp^* = -g_k$$

with

For  $\Delta$

trust region, hence  $\|p^*\| = \Delta$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ . From (1)(a) we have

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pr

$$\lambda p^* = -\beta p^* - g_k$$

Thus if  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $p^*$  is collinear with the negative gradient of  $m_k$  and normal to its contours.

## Cauchy point

Cauchy point  $p^C$  is the minimiser of  $m_k$  along the steepest descent direction  $-g_k$  subject to the trust region bound.

Find  $p^s$ :

$$p^s = \arg \min_n f(x_k) + g_k^T p, \quad \text{s.t. } \|p\| \leq \Delta_k$$

Calc

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\tau \geq 0$$

Set  $p^C = \tau p^s$

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

The solution to the first problem can be written down explicitly, simply by going as far as allowed in the steepest descent direction

$$p^s = -\frac{\Delta_k}{\|g\|} g.$$

To obtain  $\tau_k$  we substitute  $p^s = -\frac{\Delta_k}{\|g_k\|} g_k$  into the second problem we obtain

$$\arg \min_{\tau} m_k(\tau p^s) = f(x_k) - \tau \underbrace{\frac{\Delta_k}{\|g_k\|} g_k^T g_k}_p + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 \frac{\Delta_k^2}{\|g_k\|^2} g_k^T B_k g_k$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

subject to  $\tau g_k \frac{\Delta_k}{g} \quad \Delta_k \quad \tau \quad [ -1, 1 ]$ .

We can

• <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$g_k \neq 0$ . Hence, the minimum is attained for largest

$\tau \in [-1, 1]$  i.e.  $\tau = 1$ .

•  $g_k^T B_k g_k \geq 0$ :  $m_k(\tau p)$  is strictly convex

$\tau$ , thus the minimum is either the unconstrained

whenever in  $[-1, 1]$  or otherwise 1 ( $\arg \min_{\tau \in \{-1, 1\}} m_k(\tau p^s)$ )

$$\tau_k = \begin{cases} 1 & g_k^T B_k g_k \leq 0 \\ \min(\|g_k\|^3 / (\Delta_k g_k^T B_k g_k), 1) & g_k^T B_k g_k > 0. \end{cases}$$

## Cauchy point for positive definite $B_k$

**Sufficient reduction** in the model is reduction of at least a positive fraction of that achieved by the Cauchy point  $p^C$ .

# Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pr

Figure: Nocedal Wright Fig 4.3

## Improvement on Cauchy point

- Cauchy points  $p^C$  provides sufficient reduction to yield global convergence.
- Cauchy points is cheap to compute.
- Cauchy point essentially corresponds to the steepest descent method with a particular choice of step length. Steepest

- <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

when  $B$  is used to compute both the descent direction and the step length.

- A number of trust region methods compute the Cauchy point and then attempt to improve on it. Often, the full step i.e.  $p^B = -B^{-1}g_k$  is chosen whenever  $B$  is positive definite and  $\|p^B\| \leq \Delta_k$ . When  $B = \nabla^2 f(x_k)$  or a quasi-Newton approximation, this strategy can be expected to yield superlinear convergence.

# The dogleg method

**Assumption:**  $B$  positive definite.

If  $p^B = -B^{-1}g_k$  with  $\|p^B\| \leq \Delta_k$  it is just the unconstrained

minimum

$$p^* = p^B, \quad \|p^B\| \leq \Delta_k$$

On the

$\|p^B\| \leq \Delta$  ensures that the quadratic term in  $m_k$  has little effect on the solution of (CM) and it could be omitted i.e.

$$p^* \approx -\frac{\Delta_k}{\|g_k\|} g_k, \quad \Delta$$

For intermediate values of  $\Delta_k$ , the solution  $p^*(\Delta_k)$  typically follows a curved trajectory (Fig. 4.4 Nocedal, Wright).

# Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pr

Figure: Nocedal Wright Fig 4.4



The dogleg method replaces the curved trajectory with path consisting of two line segments.

The first line segment runs from the origin to the minimiser of  $m_k$  along the steepest descent direction

$$U \quad \underline{g_k^T g_k}$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

The second line segment runs from  $p^U$  to  $p^B$  (local minimum or full step)

Formally, the trajectory can be written as

$$\tilde{p}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau p^U, & 0 \leq \tau \leq 1 \\ p^U + (\tau - 1)(p^B - p^U), & 1 \leq \tau \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

The dogleg method chooses  $p$  to minimise the model  $m_k$  along this path subject the trust region bound.

The minimum along the dogleg can be found easily because

- (i)  $\|\tilde{p}(\tau)\|$  is an increasing function of  $\tau$
- (ii)  $m(\tilde{p}(\tau))$  is a decreasing function of  $\tau$

**Pro**

can be shown  
nonn

Intuition:

- (i) The length of  $\tilde{p}$  could only decrease with  $\tau$  at  $\tau = 1$  i.e. the vector  $p^B - p^U$  make with  $p^U$  which is not possible for the steepest descent solution.
- (ii)  $m(\tilde{p}(2))$  is the minimum of a strictly convex function, hence  $m(\tilde{p}(1)) > m(\tilde{p}(2))$  and the function decreases for  $\tau \in [1, 2]$ .

As a consequence the path  $\tilde{p}(\tau)$  intersects the trust region boundary at exactly one point if  $\|p^B\| \geq \Delta$  and the intersection point can be computed solving the quadratic equation

Assignment Project Exam Help

In case  
defin

Newton dogleg method. If  $\nabla^2 f(x_k)$  is no

could use one of the modified Hessians and close to th

we will recover the Newton step. However, the som

perturbation introduced by the modification ca

benefits of the trust region methods. In fact, the trust region

introduces its own modification (1)(a,c) thus the dogleg method is

most appropriate when  $B$  is positive definite.

An extension of the dogleg method

$$\min_p m_k(p) = f(x_k) + g_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B p \quad \text{s.t. } p \in \text{span}[g, B^{-1}g].$$

The o

as  $\tilde{p}$

is ofte

on the subspace). The subspace span[

looking for a minimiser for a quadratic model (Tay

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pr

This subspace minimisation strategy can be modified for indefinite  $B$ .

# Cauchy point reduction of the model $m_k$

The Cauchy point  $p^C$  satisfies the sufficient reduction condition

$$m_k(0) - m_k(p) \geq c_1 \|g_k\| \min \left( \Delta_k, \frac{\|g_k\|}{\|B_k\|} \right) \quad (\text{SR})$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

With  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Pro**

the

ineq

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

If a vect

$$\| \cdot \| \leq k$$

$$m_k(0) - m_k(p) \geq c_2(m_k(0) - m_k(p^C)) \geq \frac{1}{2} c_2 \|g_k\| \min \left( \Delta_k, \frac{\|g_k\|}{\|B_k\|} \right).$$

then it satisfies (SR) with  $c_1 = c_2/2$

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

$$m_k(0) - m_k(p) \geq c_2(m_k(0) - m_k(p^C)) \geq \frac{1}{2} c_2 \|g_k\| \min \left( \Delta_k, \frac{\|g_k\|}{\|B_k\|} \right).$$

In particular, if  $p$  is the exact solution  $p^*$  of (CM), then it satisfies (SR) with  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Note that both the dogleg and 2d-subspace minimisation algorithms satisfy (SR) with  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  because the both produce approximate solutions  $p$  for which  $m_k(p) \leq m_k(p^C)$ .

Let  $\|B_k\| \leq \beta$  for some constant  $\beta > 0$  and  $f$  be bounded below on the level set  $S = \{x : f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}$  and Lipschitz continuously differentiable in the neighbourhood of  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(S, R_0)$ ,  $R_0 > 0$  and all the approximate solutions  $p_k$  of (CM) satisfy the inequalities (SR) for so  
t  
region

- <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

- $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g_k\|$   
 $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$  in Algorithm: Trust region

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k = 0.$$

Let  $f$  be twice Lipschitz continuously differentiable in the neighbourhood of a point  $x^*$  at which the second order sufficient conditions are satisfied. Suppose that the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  converges to  $x^*$  and that for all  $k$  sufficiently large, the trust region algorithm base the Ca asym i.e.

$$\|p_k - p^N\| = o(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_k)$$

Then the trust region bound  $\Delta_k$  becomes sufficiently large and the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  converges superlinearly to  $x^*$ .