

Numerical Optimisation:

Conjugate gradient methods

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

`f.rullan@cs.ucl.ac.uk`

Add WeChat `edu_assist_pro`

Department of Computer Science  
Centre for Medical Image Computing  
Centre for Inverse Problems  
University College London

Lecture 5 & 6

- The linear CG method was proposed by Hestens and Stiefel in 1952 as a **direct** method for solution of linear systems of equations with positive definite matrix. (It was used to solve 106 difference equations on the Zuse computer at ETH (with a sufficiently accurate answer obtained in 90 iterations each

- <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

- Renaissance in early 1970 work by John Reilly connection to **iterative** methods. Convergence of CG is determined by the distribution of the eigenvalues of the matrix (preconditioning).

- In top 10 algorithms of 20th century.
- Nonlinear conjugate gradient method proposed by Fletcher and Reeves 1960.

Solution of linear system

**Assignment Project Exam Help**  
with  $A$  is symmetric positive definite matrix is equivalent to the quad

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Both have the same unique solution. In fact

**Add WeChat**  $\nabla \phi(x) = Ax - b$  **edu\_assist\_pr**

thus the linear system is the 1st order necessary condition (which is also sufficient for strictly convex function  $\phi$ ).

Assignment Project Exam Help

A set of non-zero vectors  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  is said to be conjugate with respect to the symmetric positive definite matrix  $A$  if

/

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Conjugate directions are linearly independent

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

Conjugacy enables us to minimise  $\phi$  in minimising it along the individual directions in th

Given a starting point  $x_0$  and the set of conjugate directions  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$  let us generate the sequence  $\{x_k\}$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha p_k,$$

where  
function

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\alpha_k = -\frac{r_k^T p}{p_k^T A p_k}$$

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

For any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the sequence converges to the solution  $x^*$  in at most  $n$  steps.

**Proof:** Because  $\text{span}\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\} = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$x^* - x_0 = \sigma_0 p_0 + \sigma_1 p_1 + \dots + \sigma_{n-1} p_{n-1}.$$

Multiplying from the left by  $p_k^T A$  and using the conjugacy property we obtain  $\sigma_k$  as

$$\sigma_k = \frac{p_k^T A(x^* - x_0)}{p_k^T A p_k}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

On the  
approx

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$x_k = x_0 + \alpha_0 p_0 + \alpha_1 p_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} p_{k-1}$$

Multiplying from the left by  $p_k^T A$  and using the conjugacy property we have  $p_k^T A(x_k - x_0) = 0$  and

$$p_k^T A(x^* - x_0) = p_k^T A(x^* - x_k) = p_k^T (b - Ax_k) = -p_k^T r_k.$$

Substituting into  $\sigma_k = -\frac{p_k^T r_k}{p_k^T A p_k} = \alpha_k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ .

# Assignment Project Exam Help

For any starting point  $x_0$  for the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  generated by the conjugate direction method it holds

and  $k$   $\frac{1}{2}$  <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$\{x_k : x_k \in x_0 + \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}\}\}$   
Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pr

**Proof:** Let's define

$$h(\sigma) = \phi(x_0 + \sigma_0 p_0 + \cdots + \sigma_{k-1} p_{k-1}),$$

where  $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1})^T$ . Since  $h(\sigma)$  is a strictly convex quadratic, it has a unique minimiser  $\sigma^*$  that satisfies

$$\frac{\partial h(\sigma^*)}{\partial \sigma_i} = 0$$

Using <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\nabla \phi(x_0 + \sigma^* p_0 + \cdots + \sigma_{k-1}^* p_{k-1})^T p_i = 0 \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Recall that  $r(x) = \nabla \phi(x)$ , thus for the minimiser  $\tilde{x} = x_0 + \sigma_0^* p_0 + \cdots + \sigma_{k-1}^* p_{k-1}$  on  $\{x_0 + \sigma_0 p_0 + \cdots + \sigma_{k-1} p_{k-1} \mid \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$r(\tilde{x})^T p_i = 0$  as claimed.

By induction:

For  $k = 1$ , from  $x_1 = x_0 + \alpha_0 p_0$  being a minimiser of  $\phi$  along  $p_0$  it follows  $r_1^T p_0 = 0$ .



Suppose that  $r_{k-1}^T p_i = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$ .

**Assignment Project Exam Help**

and

$r_k^T$

$p_{k-1}^T$

$A p_{k-1}$

by the

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

For any other  $p_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$  we have

**Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro**

where the first term disappears because of the indu

and the second because of the conjugacy of  $p_i$ . Thus we have

shown  $r_k^T p_i = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$  and the proof is complete.

# Conjugate gradient vs conjugate direction

- So far the discussion was valid for any set of conjugate direction.

Assignment Project Exam Help

- An example are eigenvectors of a symmetric positive definite matrix  $A$  which are orthogonal and conjugate w.r.t.  $A$ .

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

it requires to store all the directions to orthogonalise against.

- Conjugate gradient (CG) method has a ver

it can compute a new vector  $p_k$  using only  $u$  and  $p_{k-1}$  i.e. it does not need to know the vectors  $p_0, \dots, p_{k-2}$

while  $p_k$  is automatically conjugate to those vectors. This makes CG particularly cheap in terms of computation and memory.

In CG each new direction is chosen as

$p_k = -r_k - \beta_k p_{k-1},$  **Assignment Project Exam Help**

where

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

follows from requiring that  $p_{k-1}, p_k$  be conjugate

i.e.  $p_{k-1}^T A p_k = 0.$

**Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro**  
We initialise  $p_0$  with the steepest descent dir

As in the conjugate direction method, we perform successive one dimensional minimisation along each of the search directions.

# Assignment Project Exam Help

Given  $x_0$   
Set  $r_0 = A x_0 - b$ ,  $p_0 = -r_0$ ,  $k = 0$

**while**  $r_k \neq 0$  **do**

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T A p_k}{p_k^T A p_k}$$

$p_{k+1} = -r_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1} p_k$

$k = k + 1$

**end while**

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

# Assignment Project Exam Help

Given  $x_0$   
 Set  $r_0 = A x_0 - b$ ,  $p_0 = -r_0$ ,  $k = 0$   
**while**  $r_k \neq 0$  **do**

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1}}{r_k^T r_k}$$

$p_{k+1} = -r_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1} p_k$   
 $k = k + 1$

**end while**

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

## Theorem:

For the  $k$ th iterate of the conjugate gradient method,  $x_k \neq x^*$  the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} r_i^T r_j &= 0, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 & (1) \\ \text{span}\{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_k\} &= \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^k r_0\} & (2) \end{aligned}$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io> <sup>(3)</sup><sub>(4)</sub>

Therefore, the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  converge

The proof of this theorem relies on  $p_0$   
hold for other choices of  $p_0$ .

Note that the gradients  $r_k$  are actually orthogonal, while the directions  $p_k$  are conjugate, thus the name of conjugate gradients is actually a misnomer.

From the properties of the  $k + 1$ st iterate we have

$$x_{k+1} = x_0 + \alpha_0 p_0 + \cdots + \alpha_k p_k \quad (5)$$

$$= x_0 + \gamma_0 r_0 + \gamma_1 A r_0 + \cdots + \gamma_k A^k r_0 \quad (6)$$

for some  $\gamma_i, i = 0, \dots, k$ .

Let

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

then

$$x_{k+1} = x_0 + P_k$$

Recall that CG minimises the quadratic function

$x_0 + \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_k\}$  which is the same as  $\arg \min_{x \in x_0 + \text{span}\{p_0, \dots, p_k\}} \phi(x)$

$$\arg \min \phi(x) = \arg \min \phi(x) - \phi(x^*)$$

$$= \arg \min \frac{1}{2}(x - x^*)^T A(x - x^*) = \arg \min \frac{1}{2}\|x - x^*\|_A^2$$

# Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pr

Figure: Wiki: Conjugate gradient method



Thus CG computes the minimising polynomial over all polynomials of degree  $k$

$$\min_{P_k} \|x_0 + P_k(A)r_0 - x^*\|_A.$$

# Assignment Project Exam Help

Observe that similar expressions hold for the error

$$x_k - x^* = \underbrace{(x_0 - x^*)}_{=r_0} - \underbrace{P_{k-1}(A)r_0}_{=0}$$

and the residual

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= Ax_k - b = A(x_k - x^*) = \underbrace{A(x_0 - x^*)}_{=r_0} - \underbrace{AP_{k-1}(A)r_0}_{=0} \\ &= [I + AP_{k-1}(A)]r_0 \end{aligned}$$

Let the eigenvalue decomposition of the symmetric positive definite matrix

$$A = V^T \Lambda V = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T,$$

with  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  and  $v_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  the orthonormal eigenvectors.

Since

$$x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$$

Notice that any eigenvector  $v_i$  of  $A$  is also an eigenvector of  $P_k(A)$  with the corresponding eigenvalue  $P_k(\lambda_i)$ . (4)

$$P_k(A)v_i = P_k(\lambda_i)v_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence

$$x_{k+1} - x^* = \sum_{i=1}^n [1 + \lambda_i P_k(\lambda_i)] \xi_i v_i$$

and

# Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|_A^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i [1 + \lambda_i P_k(\lambda_i)]^2 \xi_i^2$$

Sinc

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|_A^2 = \min_{P_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i [1 + \lambda_i P_k(\lambda_i)]^2 \xi_i^2$$

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

$$\leq \min_{P_k} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} [1 + \lambda_i P_k(\lambda_i)]^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \xi_i^2$$

$$= \min_{P_k} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} [1 + \lambda_i P_k(\lambda_i)]^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|_A^2.$$

**Theorem** If  $A$  has only  $r$  distinct eigenvalues, then CG will converge to the solution in at most  $r$  iterations.

**Proof:** Suppose the eigenvalues take on distinct  $r$  values  $\tau_1 < \dots < \tau_r$  and define a polynomial

$$Q_r(\lambda) = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{\tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_r} (\lambda - \tau_1) \dots (\lambda - \tau_r)$$

and  $r$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$r-1$   $r$

is of degree  $r-1$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min_{P_{r-1}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} [1 + \lambda_i P_{r-1}(\lambda_i)] \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} [1 + \lambda_i \bar{P}_{r-1}(\lambda_i)]^2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Q_r^2(\lambda_i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|x_r - x^*\|_A^2 = 0$  and hence  $x_r = x^*$ .

**Theorem** If  $A$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ , we have that

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|_A^2 \leq \left( \frac{\lambda_{n-k} - \lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-k} + \lambda_1} \right)^2 \|x_0 - x^*\|_A^2.$$

**Proof idea:** Choose polynomial  $\bar{P}_k$  such that

$Q_{k+1}$

$\lambda_n, \lambda_1$

It can be

remaining eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-k}$  is  $\frac{\lambda_{n-k} - \lambda_1}{\lambda_{n-k} + \lambda_1}$

**Theorem** In terms of condition number  $\kappa(A) = \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2 = \lambda_n / \lambda_1$ , we have that

$$\|x_k - x^*\|_A \leq 2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\kappa(A)} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa(A)} + 1} \right)^k \|x_0 - x^*\|_A.$$

We can accelerate CG through transformations which cluster eigenvalues. This process is known as **preconditioning**.

Assignment Project Exam Help

We perform a change of variables  $\hat{x} = Cx$ .

The

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

Minimising  $\hat{\phi}$  is equivalent to solving the system of equations

$$(C^{-T}AC^{-1})\hat{x} = (C^{-T}b)$$

and the convergence rate of CG depends on the eigenvalues of  $C^{-T}AC^{-1}$ .

It is not necessary to carry out the transforms explicitly. We can apply CG to  $\hat{\phi}$  in terms of  $\hat{x}$  and then invert the transformations to reexpress all the equations in terms of the original variable  $x$ .

In fact, the **preconditioned CG** algorithm does not use the factor

If we se

The properties of CG generalise, in particular for P

$$r_i^T M^{-1} r_j = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

Given  $x_0$ , preconditioner  $M$

Set  $r_0 = Ax_0 - b$ ,

Solve  $My_0 = r_0$

$p_0 = y_0$ ,  $k = 0$

**while**  $\|r_k\| > \epsilon$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$r_{k+1} = r_k + \alpha_k A p_k$

Solve  $My_{k+1} = r_{k+1}$

$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{r_{k+1}^T y_{k+1}}{r_k^T y_k}$

$p_{k+1} = -y_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1} p_k$

$k = k + 1$

**end while**

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro



# Nonlinear conjugate gradient

Recall that CG can be interpreted as a minimiser of a quadratic convex function

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - x^T b$$

# Assignment Project Exam Help

Can't

Recall

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

- step length  $\alpha_k$  minimises  $\phi$  along  
For general  $f$  compute  $\alpha_k$  using li

# Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

$$\alpha_k = \min_{\alpha} f(x_k + \alpha r_k)$$

- $r = Ax - b = \nabla \phi(x)$ .  
For general function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $r \leftarrow \nabla f$

# Assignment Project Exam Help

Given  $x_0$

Evaluate  $f_0 = f(x_0)$ ,  $\nabla f_0 = \nabla f(x_0)$

Set  $p_0 = -\nabla f_0$ ,  $k = 0$

**w**

/

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T \nabla f_{k+1}}{\nabla f_k^T \nabla f_k}$$

$$p_{k+1} = -\nabla f_{k+1} + \beta_{k+1} p_k$$

$$k = k + 1$$

**end while**

Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

## Descent direction

Is  $p_k$  a descent direction?

$$\nabla f_k^T p_k = -\nabla f_k^T \nabla f_k + \beta_k \nabla f_k^T p_{k-1} \stackrel{?}{<} 0$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

If  $p_{k-1}$  is a local minimiser along  $p_{k-1}$ ,  $\nabla f_k^T p_{k-1} = 0$  and

T T

thus

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

If the linear search is not exact, due to the second term

$\beta_k \nabla f_k^T p_{k-1}$ ,  $p_k$  may fail to be a descent direction

avoided by requiring that the step length

Wolfe conditions

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) &\leq f(x_k) + c_1 \alpha_k \nabla f_k^T p_k, \\ |\nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k)^T p_k| &\leq -c_2 \nabla f_k^T p_k \end{aligned}$$

with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $f$  be twice continuously differentiable, and the level set  $\{x : f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}$  is bounded. If the step length  $\alpha_k$  in the FR algorithm satisfies strong Wolfe conditions with  $0 < c_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then the method generates descent directions  $p_k$  that satisfy

$$\nabla f_k^T p_k < -\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f_k\| \quad (7)$$

**Proof:** First note that the upper bound (2) monotonically increases for  $c_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{5})$  as

$-1 < (2c_2 - 1)/(1 - c_2) < 0$ . Thus Lemma 1 is a descent direction  $\nabla f_k^T p_k < 0$ .

The inequalities can be shown by induction using the form of the update the second strong Wolfe condition.

Induction:

$k = 0$  :  $p_0 = -\nabla f_0 \rightarrow \frac{\nabla f_0^T p_0}{\|\nabla f_0\|^2} = -1$  and (7) holds.

Assume (7) holds for some  $k \geq 1$ . From  $\beta_{k+1}^{FR}$  we have

$$\frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T p_{k+1}}{\|\nabla f_{k+1}\|^2} = 1 - \beta_{k+1}^{FR} \frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T p_k}{\|\nabla f_{k+1}\|^2} = 1 - \frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T p_k}{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}$$

Plug

$k$  into

last eq

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$-1 + c_2 \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}{\|\nabla f_{k+1}\|^2} \leq \frac{\|\nabla f_{k+1}\|^2}{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}$$

Add WeChat [edu\\_assist\\_pro](#)

Substituting the lower bound for  $\frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T p_k}{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}$

we obtain (7) for  $k + 1$

$$-1 - \frac{c_2}{1 - c_2} \leq \frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T p_{k+1}}{\|\nabla f_{k+1}\|^2} \leq -1 + \frac{c_2}{1 - c_2}.$$

# Weakness of FR algorithm

If FR generates a bad direction and a tiny step, then the next direction and the next step are also likely to be poor.

Let  $\theta_k = \angle(p_k, -\nabla f_k)$ ,

$$\cos \theta_k = \frac{\langle \nabla f_k, p_k \rangle}{\|\nabla f_k\| \|p_k\|}.$$

A bad d

$$\cos \theta_k \approx 0.$$

Mult

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\frac{1 - 2c_2}{1 - c_2} \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|p_k\|} \leq \cos \theta_k \leq \frac{1}{1 - c_2} \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|p_k\|}$$

Thus  $\cos \theta_k \approx 0$  if and only if  $\|\nabla f_k\| \ll \|p_k\|$

Since  $p_k$  is almost orthogonal to  $-\nabla f_k$

1 is

likely tiny, i.e.  $x_{k+1} \approx x_k$ . Consequently,  $\nabla f_k \approx \nabla f_{k+1}$  then

$\beta_{k+1} \approx 1$  and finally given  $\|\nabla f_{k+1}\| \approx \|\nabla f_k\| \ll \|p_k\|$ ,  $p_{k+1} \approx p_k$

and the new direction will improve little.

If  $\cos \theta_k \approx 0$  holds and the the subsequent step is small, the following updates are unproductive.

Polak-Ribière:

$$\beta_{k+1}^{PR} = \frac{\nabla f_{k+1}^T (\nabla f_{k+1} - \nabla f_k)}{\|\nabla f_k\|^2} \quad (8)$$

If  $f$  is  
exact

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

For general nonlinear functions and inexact line search experience indicates that PR algorithm is more robust and efficient.

Add WeChat [edu\\_assist\\_pro](#)

As is, the strong Wolfe conditions do not guarantee that  $p_k$  is always a descent direction. For  $\beta_{k+1} = \max\{\beta_{k+1}^{PR}, 0\}$ , simple adaptation of strong Wolfe conditions ensures the descent property.

## Other choices of $\beta_k$

Hestenes - Stiefel (similar to PR in both theory and practical performance):

Consecutive directions are conjugate wrt *average Hessian*

$\bar{G}_k = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(\gamma_k + \alpha_k p_k) d\alpha$ . From Taylor's theorem we have  $\nabla f_{k+1} = \nabla f_k + \alpha_k \bar{G}_k p_k$ . Solving  $p_{k+1}^T \bar{G}_k p_k = 0$  where

$p_{k+1}$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Two competitive with PR choices which guarantee descent direction under (standard) Wolfe cond

$$\beta_{k+1} = \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{(\nabla f_{k+1} - \nabla f_k)^T p_k} \quad (10)$$

$$\beta_{k+1} = \left( y_k - 2p_k \frac{\|y_k\|^2}{y_k^T p_k} \right)^T \frac{\nabla f_{k+1}}{y_k^T p_k} \quad \text{with } y_k = \nabla f_{k+1} - \nabla f_k. \quad (11)$$



# Restarts

Set  $\beta_k = 0$  in every  $n$ th step i.e. take steepest descent step.

Restarting serves to refresh the algorithm erasing old information that may be not beneficial. Such restarting leads to  $n$  step

quadratic convergence  $\|x_{k+n} - x^*\| = \mathcal{O}(\|x_k - x^*\|^2)$

Consider function which is strongly convex quadratic close to the solution

https://eduassistpro.github.io

steps from the restart (recall that the finite termination for linear CG only holds if initiated with

In practice, conjugate gradient methods are used when  $n$  is large, hence  $n$  steps are never taken. Observe that the gradients are mutually orthogonal when  $f$  is a quadratic function. Restart when two consecutive gradients are far from orthogonal

$$\frac{|\nabla f_k^T \nabla f_{k+1}|}{\|\nabla f_k\|^2} \geq \nu, \text{ with } \nu \text{ typically } 0.1.$$

When for some search direction  $p_k$ ,  $\cos \theta_k \approx 0$  and the subsequent step is small, substituting  $\nabla f_{k+1} \approx \nabla f_k$  into  $\beta_{k+1}^{PR}$  results in  $\beta_{k+1}^{PR} \approx 0$  and the next direction  $p_{k+1} \approx -\nabla f_{k+1}$  the steepest descent direction. Therefore the PR algorithm essentially performs a restart after it encounters a bad direction.

The same argument applies to HS, and PR+.

FR alg

Hybrid FR-PR:

Global convergence can be guaranteed if

This suggests following strategy

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} -\beta_k^{FR}, & \beta_k^{PR} < -\beta_k^{FR} \\ \beta_k^{PR}, & |\beta_k^{PR}| \leq \beta_k^{FR} \\ \beta_k^{FR}, & \beta_k^{PR} > \beta_k^{FR} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

# Assignment Project Exam Help

Assumptions:

i) The level set  $S_{f(x_0)} = \{x : f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}$  be bounded.

ii) In  $S_{f(x_0)}$ ,  $f$  is  $L$ -smooth, i.e.,

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

These assumptions imply that there is a constant

Add WeChat  $\| \nabla f(x) \| \leq L, \forall x \in S_{f(x_0)}$  edu\_assist\_pro

From Zoutenjik's lemma it follows that any line search iteration

$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$  where  $p_k$  is a descent direction and the step length  $\alpha_k$  satisfies Wolfe conditions gives the limit

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Similarly, to the global convergence for line search, global convergence for **restarted** conjugate gr

periodically setting  $\beta_k = 0$  (hence  $\cos$  a subsequence

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f_k\| = 0.$$

**Theorem: [Al-Baali]** Suppose that the assumptions i) and ii) hold and FR algorithm is implemented with line search that satisfies strong Wolfe conditions with  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

**Pro**

Zout

Lemma [1] recursively to show that the assumed to sequence is lower bounded by harmonic series wh hence contradiction.

This global convergence result can be extended to any method satisfying  $|\beta_k| \leq \beta_k^{FR}$  for all  $k \geq 2$ .

If constants  $c_4, c_5 > 0$  exist such that

$$\cos \theta_k \geq c_4 \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|p_k\|}, \quad \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|p_k\|} \geq c_5 > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

it follows from Zoutenijk's result that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k = 0.$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

This r

d

exact line search.

For general nonconvex functions it is not possible e  
performs better in practice than FR. PR method ca  
infinitely even if ideal line search is used i.e. line search which  
returns  $\alpha_k$  that is the first positive stationary point of  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$ .

Example relies on  $\beta_k < 0$  which motivated the modification

$$\beta_k^+ = \max\{0, \beta_k\}.$$