COMS4236, Spring 2014 Final Exam Solutions

Problem 1.

1. a. The decision problem corresponding to this optimization problem is: "Given an instance I of W-MAX-3SAT (i.e. a set of clauses and associated weights) and an integer k, does there exist a truth assignment with value at least k?". The decision problem is in NP because we can guess a truth assignment, and then in polynomial time we can determine all the clauses that it satisfies, add up the weights of these clauses to compute the value of the assignment, and verify that the value is at least k. From the assumption that P=NP, it follows that the decision problem is in P.

We use a polynomial-time procedure for the decision problem to compute the optimal value k^* . The optimal value is the maximum integer k for which the answer to the decision problem is Yes. The optimal value is between 0 and the sum M of the weights of the clauses. We can find the optimal value M Systemitian bilary starthing to Calge M asing the integral value time algorithm for the decision problem to answer questions of the form "Does the "?" The number of question of question of M which is polynomial in the size of the input.

b. After determining the optimal value that achieve this value be setting at the Collie oaks SIST_properties. Consider the following problem: "Given an instan 3SAT, a partial assignment α to a subset of the variables, and an integer k, does there exist an assignment to all the variables that extends α and which satisfies a set of clauses with weight at least k?" This problem is in NP, hence also in P according to the hypothesis. Let $DEC(I, \alpha, k)$ be a polynomial-time procedure that decides this problem. We can compute an optimal assignment as follows.

```
\alpha = \text{empty assignment(all variables unassigned)}

for i = 1 to n do

if DEC(I, \alpha \cup \{x_i = 1\}, k^*) = \text{true then}

\alpha = \alpha \cup \{x_i = 1\}

else

\alpha = \alpha \cup \{x_i = 0\}
```

The assignment α at the end of the algorithm has value k^* , and therefore

it is an optimal assignment.

- c. The problem "Given an instance I of the W-MAC-3SAT problem and integer k, are there at least two assignments that have value at least k" is in NP, because we can guess two assignments and verify that their value is at least k. From the hypothesis that P=NP, the problem is also in P. Thus, once we have computed the optimal value k^* , we can determine in polynomial time whether there are at least two assignments with value k^* or whether there is a unique optimal assignment.
- 2. We reduce 3SAT to the problem of determining whether there are two or more optimal assignments for a given instance of W-MAX-3SAT. This implies that, if the problem of part 1c is in P, then so is 3SAT, and hence P=NP.

Let F be a given set of 3-clauses C_1, \ldots, C_m in variables x_1, \ldots, x_n . Introduce a new variable z and variables y_1, \ldots, y_m (corresponding to the clauses of F), and let F' be the following set of clauses: For each clause $C_i = a_i \lor b_i \lor c_i$ of F (where $a_i, b_i \cdot c_i$ are literals), F' contains 2 clauses F' contains 2 clauses of F' have weight 1. (

have exact to show that ps://eduassistpro.github.io/
Replace every 2-literal clause $\bar{z} \vee x_j$ by two clauses $\bar{z} \times x_j \times x_l$ and $\bar{z} \vee x_j \vee \bar{x}_l$,
where x_l is any variable other than x_j , a

e $\bar{z} \vee y_i$ by two clauses $\bar{x} \vee x_l \times \bar{x}_l \times \bar{x$

The claim is that (i) if F is satisfiable t — assist property assignments, whereas (ii) if F is not satisfiable then F' has a unique satisfying assignment. Since all the clauses of F' have weight 1, this means that F is unsatisfiable iff the constructed instance F' of W-MAX-3SAT has a unique optimal assignment.

Proof of (i): Note that every clause of F' contains a positive literal. One satisfying assignment of F' consists of setting all variables to 1 (true). For every satisfying assignment τ of F we can form another satisfying assignment for F' by setting variables x_j according to τ , setting z=0, and giving y_i the truth value of the literal c_i for each $i=1,\ldots,m$.

Proof of (ii): As above, the all-1 assignment satisfies all the clauses of F'. Suppose that there is another satisfying assignment. If z=1, then all the other variables must also be 1 because of the clauses $\bar{z} \vee x_j$ and $\bar{z} \vee y_i$. Therefore, the other satisfying assignment must have z=0. Consequently, for every clause $C_i = a_i \vee b_i \vee c_i$ of F, the other assignment must satisfy both

clauses $a_i \vee b_i \vee y_i$ and $\bar{y}_i \vee c_i$. If $y_i = 1$ then c_i must be 1, and if $y_i = 0$ then at least one of a_i, b_i must be 1. Hence in either case the clause C_i is satisfied by the assignment. Thus, the assignment to the variables x_j satisfies all the clauses of F, contradicting the assumption that F is not satisfiable.

Problem 2

- 1. By the Space Hierarchy Theorem, L = SPACE($\log n$) is a proper subset of SPACE(n), hence L \neq PSPACE. If P = L, it follows that P \neq PSPACE.
- 2. We know that $P \subseteq PSPACE$. We use the hypothesis to show the other direction, $PSPACE \subseteq P$. Let L be any language in PSPACE, i.e. $L \in SPACE(n^c)$ for some constant c. Define the language $L' = pad(L, n^{2c})$. Then $L' \in SPACE(\sqrt{n})$. Thus, by the hypothesis it follows that $L' \in P$, i.e. $L' \in TIME(n^d)$ for some constant d. Consequently we can decide L in time $O(n^{2cd})$ as follows: Given an input x of length n, pad out x to length n^{2c} , and apply the polynomial algorithm for L' which will run in the $SI(2^cM)$ and I therefore I. Like I which will run in
 - 3. Let's call this the "2-prime problem". We show that this problem is in coNP, i.e. t that a giventps://eduassistpro.github.io/ization of guess a list of (at most $\log N$) numbers, all ers are all primes and that thriv product is equal to and if the factorization loss for the dask december two solid and if the factorization loss for the dask december two primes. (Alternatively, we can show that the complementary problem is in NP by noting that a number N is not the product of two primes iff either N is a prime or N is the product of 3 integers > 1.)

We know that if an NP-complete problem is in coNP then NP=coNP. Thus, if the 2-prime problem is NP-complete then NP=coNP.

Problem 3.

Let $D = A \cdot B$. We want to test if C = D, i.e. whether C[i, j] = D[i, j] for all $1 \le i, j \le n$. If x, y are respectively a row n-vector and column n-vector of variables, the expression $x \cdot C \cdot y$ is the polynomial $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C[i, j] x_i y_j$ and similarly $x \cdot D \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} D[i, j] x_i y_j$. Thus, C = D iff the two

polynomials are identical, i.e. if their difference is the 0 polynomial. The degree of the polynomials is 2. If C=D then any choice of values for the variables yields the same value for the polynomials. If $C \neq D$ then by the Schwartz-Zippel Lemma, if we pick random values for the variables from a set of size M (for example from the set $\{1,\ldots,M\}$) then the probability that they yield the same value for the polynomials is at most 2/M, and thus it is at most 0.01 if $M \geq 200$.

Thus, we use the following randomized algorithm. Pick random integer values in [1, 200] for the entries of the row vector x and column vector y, and compute $x \cdot (C \cdot y)$ and $(x \cdot A) \cdot (B \cdot y)$; if they are equal then accept else reject. If $A \cdot B = C$ then the algorithm accepts with probability 1. If $A \cdot B \neq C$ then it accepts with probability at most 0.01, i.e., it rejects with probability at least 0.99. The algorithm involves three matrix-vector products $(C \cdot y, x \cdot A \cdot A)$ and $B \cdot y$ which take time $O(n^2)$ and two vector-vector products that take time O(n). Thus, the total running time is $O(n^2)$.

Assignment Project Exam Help

The SCG p

one node attps://eduassistpro.github.ho/

2. SCG is NL-hard. We will reduce from the Graph lem. Given a directed versel G have E and E and E and E are struct in log space another graph G' suc S is strongly connected. The graph G' = (N, E') has the same set N of nodes as G. Its set E' of edges includes all edges of G, and in addition it includes an edge to S from every other node of the graph, and an edge from S to every other node of the graph. That is, $E' = E \cup \{(u, S) | u \in N - \{s\}\} \cup \{(t, v) | v \in N - \{t\}\}$. Clearly, G can be constructed from G by a log space TM.

Claim: G has a path from s to $t \iff G'$ is strongly connected. Proof:

- (\Rightarrow) For every pair of nodes u, v, we can form a path from u to v in G' by taking first the edge (u, s) if $u \neq s$, then following the path of G from s to t, and finally taking the edge (t, v) if $v \neq t$.
- (\Leftarrow) The graph G' contains a path from s to t, and hence it contains in particular a simple path. The path does not contain any edge coming into s or going out of t, hence it consists entirely of edges that are in G. Therefore, G contains a path from s to t.

Problem 5.

1. Problem is in PSPACE. We define a recursive function Win(H, col) which takes as input a hypergraph H and an assignment col of colors (red or blue) to an initial subset of nodes $\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ and returns true iff Player 1 can win the game on H with the colors of nodes $\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ fixed by col. The main call is Win (H, \emptyset) where \emptyset is the empty assignment.

```
The recursive algorithm is as follows

Win(H, col) {

if all nodes are colored in col then

if there is no monochromatic hyperedge then

return true

else

return false

else

let i be the next uncolored node

if i is odd then

Assignmental project Exam Help

return Win(H, col \cup [col(i) = red]) \cup Win(H, col \cup [col(i) = blue])

else

/ https://eduassistpro.githubleio/

}

The depth of the recursion is not the rese
```

The depth of the recursion is n, the nu implementation of the elementation of the e

2. Problem is PSPACE-hard. We reduce from QSAT. We may assume without loss of generality that in the given QSAT formula the quantifiers alternate starting and ending with a universal quantifier (we can always add extra dummy variables that don't appear in the formula to ensure this). That is, the given QSAT formula F is of the form

$$F = \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \cdots \forall x_n \cdot C_1 \land C_2 \land \cdots \land C_m$$

Note that the question of whether a given hypergraph has a legal 2-coloring (called the "Hypergraph 2-coloring problem") is very similar to the NAE-SAT problem. In fact the Hypergraph 2-coloring problem can be viewed as the special case of the NAE-SAT problem where the NAE-SAT instance has no negative literals. Our reduction from QSAT to the Hy-

pergraph 2-Coloring Game problem will be similar to the NP-completeness reduction for NAE-SAT.

Our hypergaph H=(N,E) contains a node z, 2n nodes $\{x_j, \bar{x}_j | j=1,\ldots,n\}$ corresponding to the literals, and n additional dummy nodes $\{y_j | j=1,\ldots,n\}$. The ordering of the nodes is as follows: $z, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \bar{x}_1, y_1, \bar{x}_2, y_2, \ldots, \bar{x}_n, y_n$. The hypergraph H contains the following set E of hyperedges:

- a hyperedge $\{x_i, \bar{x}_i\}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- a hyperedge $C'_i = C_i \cup \{z\}$ for every i = 1, ..., m that contains the literals of clause C_i and node z.

Clearly the reduction can be carried out in polynomial time (and in log space).

Note that the dummy nodes $\{y_j|j=1,\ldots,n\}$ are not involved in any hyperedge. Their purpose is only to conform to the requirement that the players alternate choosing colors for the nodes in the prescribed order. According to this order, Player 1 chooses the color for node z, all nodes π_j with even index in the QSAT formula F) and for all nodes \bar{x}_j with both odd and even index. Player 2 ch the ones corre-

spondin and for that tps://eduassistpro.github.flo/

Claim: The QSAT formula F is true Player 1 has a winning strategy in the 2-coloring game for the hypergraph

Proof: Add WeChat edu_assist_pro (\$\Rightarrow\$) Suppose that F is true. Thus, the Exi

strategy in the QSAT game for formula F. Player 1 simulates the winning strategy of the existential player where we let red color correspond to truth value 1 and blue to 0. Player 1 chooses blue for node z, then chooses the values of the even-indexed nodes x_j following the winning strategy of the Existential player, and chooses for each node \bar{x}_j the opposite color to that of x_j . Clearly this coloring is legal for all the hyperedges $\{x_j, \bar{x}_j\}$. Furthermore, since every clause C_i contains a true literal, every hyperedge C_i' contains a literal node colored red and node z that is colored blue, hence it is legally colored.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that Player 1 has a winning strategy for H. We show that the Existential Player has a winning strategy in the QSAT game for F. Player 1 starts the game for H by assigning a color to node z. After that, players 1 and 2 alternate selecting colors for the nodes $x_1, \ldots x_n$. The Existential player follows the strategy of Player 1 where the color of node z

corresponds to the truth value 0 (false) and the opposite color to the value 1. Because of the hyperedges $\{x_j, \bar{x}_j\}$, each node \bar{x}_j gets opposite color to that of x_j . Each hyperedge C'_i contains a literal node with color opposite to z, and thus the corresponding clause C_i contains a true literal. That is, the truth assignment at the end of the QSAT play satisfies all the clauses and hence the Existential player wins. Therefore, F is true.

Assignment Project Exam Help https://eduassistpro.github.io/ Add WeChat edu_assist_pro