#### Topic 5: Principal component analysis

#### Covariance matrices 5.1

Suppose we are interested in a population whose members are represented by vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We model the population as a probability distribution  $\mathbb{P}$  over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let X be a random vector with distribution  $\mathbb{P}$ . The mean of X is the "center of mass" of  $\mathbb{P}$ . The covariance of X is also a kind of "center of mass", but it turns out to reveal quite a lot of other information.

Note: if we have a finite collection of data points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then it is common to arrange these vectors as rows of a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ . In this case, we can think of  $\mathbb{P}$  as the uniform distribution over the *n* points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ . The mean of  $X \sim \mathbb{P}$  can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{1},$$

and the covariance of X is

Assignment Project 
$$\stackrel{1}{E}_{xam}^{TA}$$
 Help

where  $\widetilde{A} = A - (1/n)\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}}A$ . We often call these the empirical mean and empirical covariance of the data  $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}$ 

Covariance matric https://eduassistpro.github.io/ tive semidefinite, since for any

$$\operatorname{var}(\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle) \ = \ \mathbb{E} \Big[ \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E} \, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle^2 \Big] \ = \ \boldsymbol{u}^{\scriptscriptstyle \top} \operatorname{cov}(\boldsymbol{X}) \boldsymbol{u} \,.$$

Consider the following question: in what direction does X have the highest variance? It turns out this is given by an eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of cov(X). This follows the following *variational* characterization of eigenvalues of symmetric matrices.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_d$  and corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$ . Then

$$egin{array}{ll} \max \limits_{oldsymbol{u} 
eq 0} \dfrac{oldsymbol{u}^{ op} oldsymbol{M} oldsymbol{u}}{oldsymbol{u}^{ op} oldsymbol{u}} &= \lambda_1 \,, \ \min \limits_{oldsymbol{u} 
eq 0} \dfrac{oldsymbol{u}^{ op} oldsymbol{M} oldsymbol{u}}{oldsymbol{u}^{ op} oldsymbol{u}} &= \lambda_d \,. \end{array}$$

These are achieved by  $v_1$  and  $v_d$ , respectively. (The ratio  $u^{\mathsf{T}} M u / u^{\mathsf{T}} u$  is called the Rayleigh quotient associated with M in direction u.)

*Proof.* Following Theorem 4.1, write the eigendecomposition of M as  $M = V\Lambda V^{\top}$  where  $V = [v_1|v_2|\cdots|v_d]$  is orthogonal and  $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d)$  is diagonal. For any  $u \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{u}}{\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\boldsymbol{u}} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\boldsymbol{V}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{V}^{\top}\boldsymbol{u}}{\boldsymbol{u}^{\top}\boldsymbol{V}\boldsymbol{V}^{\top}\boldsymbol{u}} \quad (\text{since } \boldsymbol{V}\boldsymbol{V}^{\top} = \boldsymbol{I}) \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{w}}{\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{w}} \quad (\text{using } \boldsymbol{w} := \boldsymbol{V}^{\top}\boldsymbol{u}) \\ &= \frac{w_{1}^{2}\lambda_{1} + w_{2}^{2}\lambda_{2} + \dots + w_{d}^{2}\lambda_{d}}{w_{1}^{2} + w_{2}^{2} + \dots + w_{d}^{2}}. \end{split}$$

This final ratio represents a convex combination of the scalars  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d$ . Its largest value is  $\lambda_1$ , achieved by  $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{e}_1$  (and hence  $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{V}\boldsymbol{e}_1 = \boldsymbol{v}_1$ ), and its smallest value is  $\lambda_d$ , achieved by  $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{e}_d$  (and hence  $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{V}\boldsymbol{e}_d = \boldsymbol{v}_d$ ).

Corollary 5.1. Let  $v_1$  be a unit-length eigenvector of cov(X) corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of cov(X). Then

$$\operatorname{var}(\langle \boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle) = \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in S^{d-1}} \operatorname{var}(\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{X} \rangle).$$

Now suppose we are interested in the k-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that captures the "most" variance of X. Recall that a k-dimensional subspace  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  can always be specified by a collection of k orthonormal subspace K be captured by the support of K where K is the subspace of K and K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is the subspace of K in the subspace of K is t

# \*https://eduassistpro.github.io/

The covariance of  $\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X}$ , a  $k \times k$  covariance matrix, is sim  $\mathbf{Add} \ \mathbf{WeChat} \ \mathbf{edu\_assist\_pro}$ 

The "total" variance in this subspace is often measured by the trace of the covariance:  $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{cov}(\boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X}))$ . Recall, the *trace* of a square matrix is the sum of its diagonal entries, and it is a linear function.

Fact 5.1. For any  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{cov}(U^{\top}X)) = \mathbb{E} \|U^{\top}(X - \mathbb{E}(X))\|_{2}^{2}$ . Furthermore, if  $U^{\top}U = I$ , then  $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{cov}(U^{\top}X)) = \mathbb{E} \|UU^{\top}(X - \mathbb{E}(X))\|_{2}^{2}$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_d$  and corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$ . Then for any  $k \in [d]$ ,

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k} : \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{I}} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{U}) = \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{k},$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k} : \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{I}} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{U}) = \lambda_{d-k+1} + \lambda_{d-k+2} + \dots + \lambda_{d}.$$

The max is achieved by an orthogonal projection to the span of  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$ , and the min is achieved by an orthogonal projection to the span of  $v_{d-k+1}, v_{d-k+2}, \ldots, v_d$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k$  denote the columns of U. Then, writing  $M = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j v_j v_j^{\mathsf{T}}$  (Theorem 4.1),

$$\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{U}) \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}\boldsymbol{u}_{i} \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\top} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{j}, \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \rangle^{2} \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_{j} \lambda_{j}$$

where  $c_j := \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \boldsymbol{v}_j, \boldsymbol{u}_i \rangle^2$  for each  $j \in [d]$ . We'll show that each  $c_j \in [0,1]$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^d c_j = k$ . First, it is clear that  $c_j \geq 0$  for each  $j \in [d]$ . Next, extending  $\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_k$  to an orthonormal basis  $\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_d$  for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we have for each  $j \in [d]$ ,

$$c_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \boldsymbol{v}_j, \boldsymbol{u}_i \rangle^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \boldsymbol{v}_j, \boldsymbol{u}_i \rangle^2 = 1.$$

Finally, since  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{j}, \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \rangle^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_{j}, \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \rangle^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\|_{2}^{2} = k.$$

The maximum value of  $\sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j \lambda_j$  over all choices of  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d \in [0, 1]$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j = k$  is  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_k$ . This is achieved when  $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_k = 1$  and  $c_{k+1} = \cdots = c_d = 0$ , i.e., when  $\operatorname{span}(\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_k) = \operatorname{span}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_k)$ . The minimum value of  $\sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j \lambda_j$  over all choices of  $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_d \in [0, 1]$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j = k$  is  $\lambda_{d-k+1} + \lambda_{d-k+2} + \cdots + \lambda_d$ . This is achieved when  $c_1 = \cdots = c_{d-k} = 0$  and  $c_{d-k+1} = c_{d-k+2} = \cdots = c_d = 1$ , i.e., when  $\operatorname{span}(\boldsymbol{v}_{d-k+1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{d-k+2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_d) = \operatorname{span}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_k)$ .

We'll refer to the k largest eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix M as the tork eigenvalues of M, and the k smallest eigenvalues as the botton-k eigenvalues of M. We analogously use the term top-k (resp., bottom-k) eigenvectors to refer to orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to the top-k (resp., bottom-k) eigenvectors is

not necessarily unique https://eduassistpro.github.io/
Corollary 5.2. Let  $v_k := [v_1 | v_2] \cdots | v_k]$ .

Corollary 5.2. Let  $_1$   $_2$   $_k$   $V_k \coloneqq [v_1|v_2|\cdots|v_k].$  Then

## Add WeChat-edu\_assist\_pro

An orthogonal projection given by top-k eigenvectors of cov(X) is called a (rank-k) principal component analysis (PCA) projection. Corollary 5.2 reveals an important property of a PCA projection: it maximizes the variance captured by the subspace.

## 5.2 Best affine and linear subspaces

PCA has another important property: it gives an affine subspace  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  that minimizes the expected squared distance between X and A.

Recall that a k-dimensional affine subspace A is specified by a k-dimensional (linear) subspace  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ —say, with orthonormal basis  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k$ —and a displacement vector  $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$A = \{ \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \alpha_1 \boldsymbol{u}_1 + \alpha_2 \boldsymbol{u}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \boldsymbol{u}_k : \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

Let  $U := [\boldsymbol{u}_1 | \boldsymbol{u}_2 | \cdots | \boldsymbol{u}_k]$ . Then, for any  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the point in A closest to  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is given by  $\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{U}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{u}_0)$ , and hence the squared distance from  $\boldsymbol{x}$  to A is  $\|(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{U}^{\top})(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{u}_0)\|_2^2$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  be top-k eigenvectors of cov(X), let  $V_k := [v_1|v_2|\cdots|v_k]$ , and  $v_0 := \mathbb{E}(X)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| (oldsymbol{I} - oldsymbol{V}_k oldsymbol{V}_k^ op) (oldsymbol{X} - oldsymbol{v}_0) 
ight\|_2^2 \ = \ \min_{oldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d imes k}, \, oldsymbol{u}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d:} \mathbb{E} \left\| (oldsymbol{I} - oldsymbol{U} oldsymbol{U}^ op) (oldsymbol{X} - oldsymbol{u}_0) 
ight\|_2^2.$$

*Proof.* For any matrix  $d \times d$  matrix M, the function  $u_0 \mapsto \mathbb{E} \|M(X - u_0)\|_2^2$  is minimized when  $Mu_0 = M \mathbb{E}(X)$  (Fact 5.2). Therefore, we can plug-in  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  for  $u_0$  in the minimization problem, whereupon it reduces to

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k} : \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{I}} \mathbb{E} \| (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{U}^{\top}) (\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X})) \|_2^2.$$

The objective function is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E} \| (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{U}^{\top}) (\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X})) \|_{2}^{2} = \mathbb{E} \| \boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}) \|_{2}^{2} - \mathbb{E} \| \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X})) \|_{2}^{2}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \| \boldsymbol{X} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}) \|_{2}^{2} - \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{cov}(\boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})),$$

where the second equality comes from Fact 5.1. Therefore, minimizing the objective is equivalent to maximizing  $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{cov}(\boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X}))$ , which is achieved by PCA (Corollary 5.2).

The proof of Theorem 5.3 depends on the following simple but useful fact.

**Fact 5.2** (Bias-variance decomposition). Let Y be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be any fixed vector. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \, \|\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{b}\|_2^2 \,\, = \,\, \mathbb{E} \, \|\boldsymbol{Y} - \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Y})\|_2^2 + \|\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{Y}) - \boldsymbol{b}\|_2^2$$

(which, as a Austisignimier of Project Exam Help
A similar statement can be made about (linear) subspaces by using top-k eigenvectors of

 $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top})$  instead of cov(

Theorem 5.4. Let v https://eduassistpro.github.io/.\|v\_k\]. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{E} \| (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{V}_k \mathbf{V}_k^{\scriptscriptstyle \top}) \mathbf{X} \|_2^2 &= \min \\ Add \ We Chat \ edu\_assist\_pro \end{array} .$$

#### Noisy affine subspace recovery 5.3

Suppose there are n points  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that lie on an affine subspace  $A_{\star}$  of dimension k. In this scenario, you don't directly observe the  $t_i$ ; rather, you only observe noisy versions of these points:  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$ , where for some  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n > 0$ ,

$$oldsymbol{Y}_j ~\sim ~ \mathrm{N}(oldsymbol{t}_j, \sigma_j^2 oldsymbol{I}) ~~ \mathrm{for~all} ~ j \in [n]$$

and  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  are independent. The observations  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  no longer all lie in the affine subspace  $A_{\star}$ , but by applying PCA to the empirical covariance of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ , you can hope to approximately recover  $A_{\star}$ .

Regard X as a random vector whose conditional distribution given the noisy points is uniform over  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$ . In fact, the distribution of X is given by the following generative process:

- 1. Draw  $J \in [n]$  uniformly at random.
- 2. Given J, draw  $\boldsymbol{Z} \sim N(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma_J^2 \boldsymbol{I})$ .
- 3. Set  $X := t_J + Z$ .

Note that the empirical covariance based on  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  is not exactly cov(X), but it can be a good approximation when n is large (with high probability). Similarly, the empirical average of  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  is a good approximation to  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  when n is large (with high probability). So here, we assume for simplicity that both cov(X) and  $\mathbb{E}(X)$  are known exactly. We show that PCA produces a k-dimensional affine subspace that contains all of the  $t_j$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** Let X be the random vector as defined above,  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  be top-k eigenvectors of cov(X), and  $v_0 := \mathbb{E}(X)$ . Then the affine subspace

$$\widehat{A} := \{ \boldsymbol{v}_0 + \alpha_1 \boldsymbol{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \boldsymbol{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \boldsymbol{v}_k : \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

contains  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$ .

Proof. Theorem 5.3 says that the matrix  $\boldsymbol{V}_k := [\boldsymbol{v}_1 | \boldsymbol{v}_2 | \cdots | \boldsymbol{v}_k]$  minimizes  $\mathbb{E} \| (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{U}^\top) (\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{v}_0) \|_2^2$  (as a function of  $\boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ , subject to  $\boldsymbol{U}^\top \boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{I}$ ), or equivalently, maximizes  $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{cov}(\boldsymbol{U}^\top \boldsymbol{X}))$ . This maximization objective can be written as

$$tr(cov(\mathbf{U}^{\top}\mathbf{X})) = \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\top}(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{v}_{0})\|_{2}^{2} \text{ (by Fact 5.1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\top}(\mathbf{t}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{0} + \mathbf{Z})\|_{2}^{2} \middle| J = j \right]$$

$$\mathbf{Assignment Project Exam Help}$$

$$= - \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\top}(\mathbf{t}_{j} - \mathbf{v}_{0})\|_{2}^{2} + 2\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\top}(\mathbf{t}_{j} - \mathbf{v}_{0})\|_{2}^{2} + \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\top}\mathbf{Z}\|_{2}^{2} \middle| J = j \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{https:} / \mathbf{eduassistpro.github.io} /$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{https:} / \mathbf{eduassistpro.github.io} /$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{https:} / \mathbf{eduassistpro.github.io} /$$

where the penultimate step uses the fact that the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{Z}$  given J = j is  $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_j^2 \mathbf{I})$ , and the final step uses the fact that  $\|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{Z}\|_2^2$  has the same conditional distribution (given J = j) as the squared length of a  $\mathrm{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_j^2 \mathbf{I})$  random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Since  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{v}_0)$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{t}_j - \mathbf{v}_0$  onto the subspace spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{U}$  (call it W),

$$\|oldsymbol{U}oldsymbol{U}^ op(oldsymbol{t}_j-oldsymbol{v}_0)\|_2^2 ~ \leq ~ \|oldsymbol{t}_j-oldsymbol{v}_0\|_2^2 ~ ext{ for all } j \in [n] \,.$$

The inequalities above are equalities precisely when  $t_j - v_0 \in W$  for all  $j \in [n]$ . This is indeed the case for the subspace  $A_{\star} - \{v_0\}$ . Since  $V_k$  maximizes the objective, its columns must span a k-dimensional subspace  $\widehat{W}$  that also contains all of the  $t_j - v_0$ ; hence the affine subspace  $\widehat{A} = \{v_0 + x : x \in \widehat{W}\}$  contains all of the  $t_j$ .

## 5.4 Singular value decomposition

Let A be any  $n \times d$  matrix. Our aim is to define an extremely useful decomposition of A called the *singular value decomposition (SVD)*. Our derivation starts by considering two related matrices,  $A^{\top}A$  and  $AA^{\top}$ ; their eigendecompositions will lead to the SVD of A.

Fact 5.3.  $A^{T}A$  and  $AA^{T}$  are symmetric and positive semidefinite.

It is clear that the eigenvalues of  $A^{\top}A$  and  $AA^{\top}$  are non-negative. In fact, the non-zero eigenvalues of  $A^{\top}A$  and  $AA^{\top}$  are exactly the same.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A^{T}A$  with corresponding eigenvector v.

- If  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is a non-zero eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\top}$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$ .
- If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ .

*Proof.* First suppose  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$AA^{\top}(Av) = A(A^{\top}Av) = A(\lambda v) = \lambda(Av),$$

so  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $AA^{\top}$  with corresponding eigenvector Av.

Now suppose  $\lambda = 0$  (which is the only remaining case, as per Fact 5.3). Then

$$\|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 = \boldsymbol{v}^{\top}\boldsymbol{A}^{\top}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}^{\top}(\lambda\boldsymbol{v}) = 0.$$

Since only the zero vector has length 0, it must be that Av = 0.

(We can apply Lemma 5.1 to both A and  $A^{\top}$  to conclude that  $A^{\top}A$  and  $AA^{\top}$  have the same

non-zero eigenvalues ignment Project Exam Help Theorem 5.6 (Singular value decomposition). Let A be an  $n \times d$  matrix. Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$  $\lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_d \geq 0$ . Let rbe orthonormal eigenvec

be the number of positive the number of positive the number of positive  $u_i := \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i\|_2}{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i\|_2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_i^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i\|_2}{\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_i^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i\|_2}{\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2}{\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2}{\|\mathbf{v}_i\|_2}$ 

Then

## Add WeChat edu\_assist

$$egin{align*} egin{align*} egin{align*} egin{align*} egin{align*} iggl( iggr) & iggr$$

and  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r$  are orthonormal.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for some set of d linearly independent vectors  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{q}_j \; = \; \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} oldsymbol{u}_i oldsymbol{v}_i^ op
ight) oldsymbol{q}_j \quad ext{for all } j \in [d] \, .$$

We'll use  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$ . Observe that

$$Av_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\lambda_j} u_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq r, \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if } r < j \leq d, \end{cases}$$

by definition of  $u_i$  and by Lemma 5.1. Moreover,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} \boldsymbol{u}_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^\top\right) \boldsymbol{v}_j \; = \; \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} \langle \boldsymbol{v}_j, \boldsymbol{v}_i \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_i \; = \; \begin{cases} \sqrt{\lambda_j} \boldsymbol{u}_j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq r \,, \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \text{if } r < j \leq d \,, \end{cases}$$

since  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d$  are orthonormal. We conclude that  $Av_j = (\sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} u_i v_i^{\top}) v_j$  for all  $j \in [d]$ , and hence  $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} u_i v_i^{\top}$ .

Note that

$$oldsymbol{u}_i^{ op} oldsymbol{u}_j^{ op} = rac{oldsymbol{v}_i^{ op} oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{v}_j}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} \ = \ rac{\lambda_j oldsymbol{v}_i^{ op} oldsymbol{v}_j}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} \ = \ 0 \quad ext{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq r \,,$$

where the last step follows since  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$  are orthonormal. This implies that  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r$  are orthonormal.

The decomposition of  $\boldsymbol{A}$  into the sum  $\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} \boldsymbol{u}_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{\top}$  from Theorem 5.6 is called the singular value decomposition (SVD) of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ . The  $\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_r$  are the left singular vectors, and the  $\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_r$  are the right singular vectors. The scalars  $\sqrt{\lambda_1} \geq \sqrt{\lambda_2} \geq \cdots \geq \sqrt{\lambda_r}$  are the (positive) singular values corresponding to the left/right singular vectors  $(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_1), (\boldsymbol{u}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_2), \ldots, (\boldsymbol{u}_r, \boldsymbol{v}_r)$ . The representation  $\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_i} \boldsymbol{u}_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^{\top}$  is actually typically called the thin SVD of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ . The number r of positive  $\lambda_i$  is the rank of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ , which is a post the smaller of  $\boldsymbol{n}$  and  $\boldsymbol{d}$ .

of positive  $\lambda_{n}$  is the rank of A, which is a prost the smaller of n and d. Of course, one satisfies  $\mathbf{u}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is the  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is a prost the smaller of n and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is a prost the smaller of  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is a prost the smaller of  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is a prost the smaller of  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is a prost the smaller of  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is a prost the smaller of  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  is a prost the smaller of  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n}$  in  $\mathbf{v$ 

This matrix factorizate https://eduassistpro.github. $u_1, \dots, u_n$  and  $v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \dots, v$  https://eduassistpro.github. $u_1, \dots, u_n$  value equal to zero.)

Just as before, we'll refer to the k largest singular va — k singular values of A, and the k smallest singular claus as the bottom-k corresponding to the top-k (resp., bottom-k) singular values. Again, the choice of top-k (or bottom-k) singular vectors is not necessarily unique.

#### Relationship between PCA and SVD

As seen above, the eigenvectors of  $A^{\top}A$  are the right singular vectors A, and the eigenvectors of  $AA^{\top}$  are the left singular vectors of A.

Suppose there are n data points  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , arranged as the rows of the matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ . Now regard  $\mathbf{X}$  as a random vector with the uniform distribution on the n data points. Then  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\top}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^{\top} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}$ : top-k eigenvectors of  $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}$  are top-k right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Hence, rank-k uncentered PCA (as in Theorem 5.4) corresponds to the subspace spanned by the top-k right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

### Variational characterization of singular values

Given the relationship between the singular values of A and the eigenvalues of  $A^{\top}A$  and  $AA^{\top}$ , it is easy to obtain variational characterizations of the singular values. We can also obtain the characterization directly.

**Fact 5.4.** Let the SVD of a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be given by  $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^{\top}$ , where  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > 0$ . For each  $i \in [r]$ ,

$$\sigma_i = \max_{\substack{oldsymbol{x} \in S^{d-1}: \langle oldsymbol{v}_j, oldsymbol{x} \rangle = 0 \, orall j < i}} oldsymbol{y}^ op oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{x} = oldsymbol{u}_i^ op oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{v}_i.$$

#### Relationship between eigendecomposition and SVD

If  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is symmetric and has eigendecomposition  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\top}$ , then its singular values are the absolute values of the  $\lambda_i$ . We can take  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$  as corresponding right singular vectors. For corresponding left singular vectors, we can take  $u_i := v_i$  whenever  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  (which is the case for all i if M is also psd), and  $u_i := -v_i$  whenever  $\lambda_i < 0$ . Therefore, we have the following variational characterization of the singular values of M.

**Fact 5.5.** Let the eigendecomposition of a symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be given by  $\mathbf{M} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^{\mathsf{T}}$ , where  $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_d|$ . For each  $i \in [d]$ ,

$$|\lambda_i| = \max_{oldsymbol{x} \in S^{d-1}: \langle oldsymbol{v}_j, oldsymbol{x} 
angle = 0 \, orall_j < i} oldsymbol{y}^ op oldsymbol{M} oldsymbol{x} = \max_{oldsymbol{x} \in S^{d-1}: \langle oldsymbol{v}_j, oldsymbol{x} 
angle = 0 \, orall_j < i} |oldsymbol{x}^ op oldsymbol{M} oldsymbol{x}| = |oldsymbol{v}_i^ op oldsymbol{M} oldsymbol{v}_i| \, .$$

# $\underset{\text{Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse}}{\textbf{Assignment}} \underset{\text{Project Exam Help}}{\textbf{Project Exam Help}}$

The SVD defines a kind of matr (where possibly  $n \neq \text{https://eduassistpro.githus} P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  satisfy  $U^{\top}U = V^{\top}V$  satisfy  $U^{\top}U = V^{\top}V$  as I is I in I is I in I in

## Add WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

Note that  $A^{\dagger}$  is well-defined: S is invertible because its diagonal entries are all strictly positive. What is the effect of multiplying A by  $A^{\dagger}$  on the left? Using the SVD of A,

$$oldsymbol{A}^{\dagger}oldsymbol{A} \ = \ oldsymbol{V}oldsymbol{S}^{-1}oldsymbol{U}^{ op}oldsymbol{U}oldsymbol{S}oldsymbol{V}^{ op} \ = \ oldsymbol{V}oldsymbol{V}^{ op} \ \in \ \mathbb{R}^{d imes d} \,,$$

which is the orthogonal projection to the row space of A. In particular, this means that

$$AA^{\dagger}A = A$$
.

Similarly,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the orthogonal projection to the column space of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Note that if r = d, then  $\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ , as the row space of  $\mathbf{A}$  is simply  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ; similarly, if r = n, then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{I}$ .

The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse is also related to least squares. For any  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the vector  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{y}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\boldsymbol{y}$  onto the column space of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ . This means that  $\min_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d}\|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2$  is minimized by  $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{A}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{y}$ . The more familiar expression for the least squares solution  $\boldsymbol{x}=(\boldsymbol{A}^{\top}\boldsymbol{A})^{-1}\boldsymbol{A}^{\top}\boldsymbol{y}$  only applies in the special case where  $\boldsymbol{A}^{\top}\boldsymbol{A}$  is invertible. The connection to the general form of a solution can be seen by using the easily verified identity

$$A^{\dagger} = (A^{\mathsf{T}}A)^{\dagger}A^{\mathsf{T}}$$

and using the fact that  $(\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A})^{\dagger} = (\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$  when  $\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}$  is invertible.

#### 5.5 Matrix norms and low rank SVD

#### Matrix inner product and the Frobenius norm

The space of  $n \times d$  real matrices is a real vector space in its own right, and it can, in fact, be viewed as a Euclidean space with inner product given by  $\langle \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y} \rangle := \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y})$ . It can be checked that this indeed is a valid inner product. For instance, the fact that the trace function is linear can be used to establish linearity in the first argument:

$$\begin{split} \langle c\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{Z} \rangle &= \operatorname{tr}((c\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{Y})^{\top} \boldsymbol{Z}) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(c\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Z} + \boldsymbol{Y}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Z}) \\ &= c \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Z}) + \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Z}) = c \langle \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Z} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{Z} \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

The inner product naturally induces an associated norm  $X \mapsto \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}$ . Viewing  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  as a data matrix whose rows are the vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we see that

$$\langle oldsymbol{X}, oldsymbol{X}
angle \ = \ \operatorname{tr}(oldsymbol{X}^ op oldsymbol{X}) \ = \ \operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n oldsymbol{x}_i oldsymbol{x}_i^ op
ight) \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(oldsymbol{x}_i oldsymbol{x}_i^ op) \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{tr}(oldsymbol{x}_i^ op oldsymbol{x}_i) \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^n \|oldsymbol{x}_i\|_2^2 \,.$$

Above, we make spignement a Project Exam Help

which is called the cy ttps://eduassistpro.github.jueed.norm is simply the sum-of-squal vectors of the matrix X, and denote it by  $\|X\|_{\mathrm{F}}$ . It can be checked that orm are exactly the same as the Euclidean inner product and norm when y  $n \times d$  matrices as nd-dimensional vectors obtained by racking obtains  $\mathbf{G}$  obtains  $\mathbf{G}$  obtains  $\mathbf{G}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Suppose a matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  has thin SVD  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{V}^{\top}$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then its squared Frobenius norm is

$$\| m{X} \|_{ ext{F}}^2 \ = \ ext{tr}(m{V} m{S} m{U}^ op m{U} m{S} m{V}^ op) \ = \ ext{tr}(m{V} m{S}^2 m{V}^ op) \ = \ ext{tr}(m{S}^2 m{V}^ op m{V}) \ = \ ext{tr}(m{S}^2) \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2 \, ,$$

the sum-of-squares of X's singular values.

#### Best rank-k approximation in Frobenius norm

Let the SVD of a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be given by  $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\top}$ . Here, we assume  $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{r} > 0$ . For any  $k \leq r$ , a rank-k SVD of  $\mathbf{A}$  is obtained by just keeping the first k components (corresponding to the k largest singular values), and this yields a matrix  $\mathbf{A}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with rank k:

$$\boldsymbol{A}_k := \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \boldsymbol{u}_i \boldsymbol{v}_i^\top. \tag{5.1}$$

This matrix  $A_k$  is the best rank-k approximation to A in the sense that it minimizes the Frobenius norm error over all matrices of rank (at most) k. This is remarkable because the set of matrices of rank at most k is not a set over which it is typically easy to optimize. (For instance, it is not a convex set.)

**Theorem 5.7.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be any matrix, with SVD as given in Theorem 5.6, and  $A_k$  as defined in (5.1). Then:

- 1. The rows of  $A_k$  are the orthogonal projections of the corresponding rows of A to the k-dimensional subspace spanned by top-k right singular vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  of A.
- 2.  $\|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{A}_k\|_{\mathrm{F}} \leq \min\{\|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B}\|_{\mathrm{F}} : \boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{B}) \leq k\}.$
- 3. If  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are the rows of A, and  $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \ldots, \hat{a}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are the rows of  $A_k$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{a}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_i\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\boldsymbol{a}_i - \boldsymbol{b}_i\|_2^2$$

for any vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that span a subspace of dimension at most k.

*Proof.* The orthogonal projection to the subspace  $W_k$  spanned by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k$  is given by  $x \mapsto V_k V_k^{\mathsf{T}} x$ , where  $V_k := [v_1 | v_2 | \cdots | v_k]$ . Since  $V_k V_k^{\mathsf{T}} v_i = v_i$  for  $i \in [k]$  and  $V_k V_k^{\mathsf{T}} v_i = 0$  for i > k,

$$oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{V}_koldsymbol{V}_k^ op \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i oldsymbol{u}_i oldsymbol{v}_i^ op oldsymbol{V}_koldsymbol{V}_k^ op \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i oldsymbol{u}_i oldsymbol{v}_i^ op \ = oldsymbol{A}_k \,.$$

This equality says the first claim. Project Exam Help A onto  $W_k$ . This proves the first claim.

Consider any matrix space spanned by the rows of  ${\bf \it B}$ . Let  ${\bf \it \Pi}_W$  dhttps://eduassistpro.github.iv/ ${\bf \it H}_F \leq \|{\bf \it A}-{\bf \it B}\|_F$ . This means th

$$\min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}: \\ \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{B}) \leq k}} \|\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{B}\|_{\mathbf{P}}^2 = \operatorname{deg}_{\dim W \leq k}^{\text{min}} \mathbf{e} \operatorname{Chartvedu}_{\mathbf{A}} \operatorname{edu}_{\mathbf{A}} \operatorname{ssist}_{\boldsymbol{I}}^{\boldsymbol{I}} - \operatorname{proj}_{i}\|_{2}^{2},$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  denotes the *i*-th row of A. In fact, it is clear that we can take the minimization over subspaces W with dim W = k. Since the orthogonal projector to a subspace of dimension k is of the form  $UU^{\top}$  for some  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$  satisfying  $U^{\top}U = I$ , it follows that the expression above is the same as

$$\min_{oldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{d imes k}: \ i=1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|(oldsymbol{I} - oldsymbol{U} oldsymbol{U}^ op) oldsymbol{a}_i\|_2^2 \,.$$

Observe that  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{a}_{i}\boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{\top} = \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{A}^{\top}\boldsymbol{A}$ , so Theorem 5.6 implies that top-k eigenvectors of the  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{a}_{i}\boldsymbol{a}_{i}^{\top}$  are top-k right singular vectors of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ . By Theorem 5.4, the minimization problem above is achieved when  $\boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{V}_{k}$ . This proves the second claim. The third claim is just a different interpretation of the second claim.

#### Best rank-k approximation in spectral norm

Another important matrix norm is the *spectral norm*: for a matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ,

$$\|X\|_2 := \max_{u \in S^{d-1}} \|Xu\|_2.$$

By Theorem 5.6, the spectral norm of X is equal to its largest singular value.

**Fact 5.6.** Let the SVD of a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be as given in Theorem 5.6, with  $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ .

• For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\|Ax\|_2 \leq \sigma_1 \|x\|_2$$
.

• For any x in the span of  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$ ,

$$\|\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}\|_2 \geq \sigma_r \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2$$
.

Unlike the Frobenius norm, the spectral norm does not arise from a matrix inner product. Nevertheless, it can be checked that it has the required properties of a norm: it satisfies  $||cX||_2 = |c||X||_2$  and  $||X + Y||_2 \le ||X||_2 + ||Y||_2$ , and the only matrix with  $||X||_2 = 0$  is X = 0. Because of this, the spectral norm also provides a metric between matrices,  $\operatorname{dist}(X, Y) = ||X - Y||_2$ , satisfying the properties given in Section 1.1.

The rank-k SVD of a matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  also provides the best rank-k approximation in terms of spectral norm error.

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be any matrix, with SVD as given in Theorem 5.6, and  $\mathbf{A}_k$  as defined in (5.1). Then  $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_k\|_2 \le \min\{\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\|_2 : \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{B}) \le k\}$ .

Proof. Since Analysis singular called 
$$Projec_{t_k}$$
  $F_i$   $X^{\top}$  in  $K$  + Helps that  $A$   $A_{k-2} = \sigma_{k+1}$ .

Consider any matrix https://eduassistpro.githu.lpas.dimension at least  $d - \text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) \ge d - k$  https://eduassistpro.githu.lpas.dimension k + 1. Therefore, there must be some non-zero vector  $\mathbf{x}$  ker( $\mathbf{B}$ )  $W_{k+1}$ . For any such vector  $\mathbf{x}$ ,

# Add We tale edu\_assist\_pro

$$\geq \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$
 (since  $x$  is in the null space of  $B$ )
$$= \frac{\sqrt{\|A_{k+1}x\|_2^2 + \|(A - A_{k+1})x\|_2^2}}{\|x\|_2}$$

$$\geq \frac{\|A_{k+1}x\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$$

$$\geq \sigma_{k+1}$$
 (by Fact 5.6).

Therefore  $\|A - B\|_2 \ge \|A - A_k\|_2$ .