

Assignment Project Exam Help

Machine learning lecture slides

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

Classification IV: Ensemble methods

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

- ▶ Bagging and Random Forests
- ▶ Boosting
- ▶ Margins and overfitting

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

Motivation

- Recall model averaging: given T real-valued predictors $\hat{f}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{f}^{(T)}$, form ensemble predictor \hat{f}_{avg}

$$\hat{f}_{\text{avg}}(x) := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{f}^{(t)}(x).$$

- <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\text{mse}(\hat{f}_{\text{avg}}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \text{mse}(\hat{f}^{(t)}) - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \text{mse}(\hat{f}^{(t)} - \hat{f}^{(t)})^2 \Big].$$

- For classification, analogue is [majority](#)

$$\hat{f}_{\text{maj}}(x) := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{f}^{(t)}(x) > 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(\hat{f}_{avg} is the scoring function used for \hat{f}_{maj})

How to get classifiers to combine?

- ▶ Starting anew; how should we train classifiers to combine in majority-vote?

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

How to get classifiers to combine?

- ▶ Starting anew; how should we train classifiers to combine in majority-vote?

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ Recall: model averaging works well when $\hat{y}^{(t)}$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

How to get classifiers to combine?

- ▶ Starting anew; how should we train classifiers to combine in majority-vote?

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ Recall: model averaging works well when $\hat{y}^{(t)}$

- ▶ <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

- ▶ To second point, learning algorithm should have low variance"

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

Using the same learning algorithm multiple times I

- ▶ Running same learning algorithm T times on the same data set yields T identical classifiers – not helpful!

- ▶ Instead, want to run same learning algorithm on T separate data sets.




Figure 1: What we want is T da

Using the same learning algorithm multiple times II

- ▶ Invoke plug-in principle
 - ▶ In IID model, regard empirical distribution on training examples P_n as estimate of the example distribution P .
 - ▶ Draw T independent data sets from P_n and run learning algorithm on each data set.

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr




Figure 2: What we can get is T data sets from P_n

Bagging

- ▶ Bagging: bootstrap aggregating (Breiman, 1994)
- ▶ Given training data $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathcal{X} \times \{-1, +1\}$
- ▶ For $t = 1, \dots, T$:
 - ▶ Randomly draw n examples with replacement from training

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

Aside: Sampling with replacement

- ▶ Pick n individuals from a population of size n with replacement.
- ▶ What is the chance that a given individual is not picked?



<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

trained on bootstrap sample

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

- ▶ [Random Forests](#) (Breiman, 2001): Bagging with randomized variant of decision tree learning algorithm

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ Each time we need to choose a split, pick random subset of features and only choose split from among those features.



<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

Classifiers with independent errors

- ▶ Say we have T binary classifiers $\hat{f}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{f}^{(T)}$
- ▶ Assume on a given x , each provides an incorrect prediction with probability 0.4:

$$\Pr(\hat{f}^{(t)}(X) \neq Y \mid X = x) = 0.4.$$

- ▶ <https://eduassistpro.github.io>
- ▶ What is chance that more than half of the class incorrect prediction?

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

- ▶ Classifier errors are unlikely to be independent; do something else to benefit from majority-vote

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

- ▶ Classifier errors are unlikely to be independent; do something else to benefit from majority-vote

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ Change how we obtain the individual classifiers:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

Coping with non-independent errors

- ▶ Classifier errors are unlikely to be independent; do something else to benefit from majority-vote

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ Change how we obtain the individual classifiers:

▶ <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

- ▶ Loop:

- ▶ Use learning algorithm to get new classifier

- ▶ Re-weight training examples to punish new classifier is incorrect

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

- ▶ [AdaBoost](#) (Freund and Schapire, 1997)
- ▶ Training data $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathcal{X} \times \{-1, +1\}$
- ▶ Initialize $D_1(i) = 1/n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$
- ▶ For $t = 1, \dots, T$:
 - ▶ Run learning algorithm on D_t -weighted training examples, get

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$z_t = \sum_{i=1}^n D_t(i) \cdot y_i f_t(x_i),$$

Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](https://eduassistpro.github.io)

$$\alpha_t := \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + z_t}{1 - z_t} \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$D_{t+1}(i) := \frac{D_t(i) \exp(-\alpha_t \cdot y_i f_t(x_i))}{Z_t} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here Z_t is normalizer that makes D_{t+1} a probability distribution.

- ▶ Final classifier: $\hat{f}(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \cdot f^{(t)}(x))$

Plot of α_t as function of z_t

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+z_t}{1-z_t} \in \mathbb{R}$$




Figure 3: α_t as function of z_t

Example: AdaBoost with decision stumps

- ▶ (From Figures 1.1 and 2.2 of Schapire & Freund text.)
- ▶ Use “decision stump” learning algorithm with AdaBoost
- ▶ Each $f^{(t)}$ has the form


$$f^{(t)}(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x_i > \theta \\ -1 & \text{if } x_i \leq \theta \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad f^{(t)}(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x_i > \theta \\ +1 & \text{if } x_i \leq \theta \end{cases}$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr





Figure 4: Training data for example execution



Assignment Project Exam Help


<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr




$$f^{(1)} \\ z_1 = 0.40, \alpha_1 = 0.42$$

Example execution of AdaBoost III



Assignment Project Exam Help




<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

$$f^{(1)} \\ z_1 = 0.40, \alpha_1 = 0.42$$



Example execution of AdaBoost IV



$$f^{(1)} \\ z_1 = 0.40, \alpha_1 = 0.42$$

$$f^{(2)} \\ z_2 = 0.58, \alpha_2 = 0.65$$



Example execution of AdaBoost V



$$f^{(1)} \\ z_1 = 0.40, \alpha_1 = 0.42$$

$$f^{(2)} \\ z_2 = 0.58, \alpha_2 = 0.65$$

Example execution of AdaBoost VI




$$f^{(1)} \\ z_1 = 0.40, \alpha_1 = 0.42$$

$$f^{(2)} \\ z_2 = 0.58, \alpha_2 = 0.65$$

$$f^{(3)} \\ z_3 = 0.72, \alpha_3 = 0.92$$

Example execution of AdaBoost VII




Assignment Project Exam Help

$z_1 = 0$ <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr


Example execution of AdaBoost VIII



$z_1 = 0$

Final classifier:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \text{sign}(0.42f^{(1)}(x) + 0.65f^{(2)}(x))$$



Training error rate of final classifier

- ▶ Let $\gamma_t := z_t/2$: advantage over random guessing achieved by $f^{(t)}$

▶ **Theorem.** Training error rate of final classifier is

Assignment Project Exam Help

T

$\bar{\gamma}^2 T)$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\bar{\gamma}^2 := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_t^2$$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Training error rate of final classifier

- ▶ Let $\gamma_t := z_t/2$: advantage over random guessing achieved by $f^{(t)}$

▶ **Theorem.** Training error rate of final classifier is

Assignment Project Exam Help

T

$\bar{\gamma}^2 T)$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\bar{\gamma}^2 := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_t^2$$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

- ▶ AdaBoost is “adaptive”:
 - ▶ Some γ_t can be small (even negative)—only care about average $\bar{\gamma}^2$

Training error rate of final classifier

- ▶ Let $\gamma_t := z_t/2$: advantage over random guessing achieved by $f^{(t)}$

▶ **Theorem.** Training error rate of final classifier is

Assignment Project Exam Help

T

$\bar{\gamma}^2 T)$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

$$\bar{\gamma}^2 := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_t^2$$

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

- ▶ AdaBoost is “adaptive”:
 - ▶ Some γ_t can be small (even negative)—only care about average $\bar{\gamma}^2$
- ▶ What about true error rate in IID model?
 - ▶ A very complex model as T becomes large!

Surprising behavior of boosting

- ▶ AdaBoost + C4.5 decision tree learning on “letters” data set




Figure 5: Figure 1.7 from Schapire & Fre

- ▶ Training error rate is zero after five iterations.
- ▶ Test error rate continues to decrease, even up to 1000 iterations.

- Look at (normalized) scoring function of final classifier

$$\hat{h}(x) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \cdot f^{(t)}(x)}{\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t} \in [-1, +1]$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

- Say $y \cdot \hat{h}(x)$ is margin achieved by \hat{h} on example (x, y)

<https://eduassistpro.github.io>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pr

- ▶ Look at (normalized) scoring function of final classifier

$$\hat{h}(x) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \cdot f^{(t)}(x)}{\sum_{t=1}^T |\alpha_t|} \in [-1, +1]$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ Say $y \cdot \hat{h}(x)$ is margin achieved by \hat{h} on example (x, y)

- ▶ <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

- ▶ AdaBoost tends to increase margins on t
- ▶ (Similar to but not same as SVM margins)

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro

Margins theory

- ▶ Look at (normalized) scoring function of final classifier

$$\hat{h}(x) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \cdot f^{(t)}(x)}{\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t} \in [-1, +1]$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

- ▶ Say $y \cdot \hat{h}(x)$ is margin achieved by \hat{h} on example (x, y)

- ▶ <https://eduassistpro.github.io>

- ▶ AdaBoost tends to increase margins on t
- ▶ (Similar to but not same as SVM margins)

- ▶ On “letters” data set

	$T = 5$	$T = 100$	$T = 1000$
training error rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
test error rate	8.4%	3.3%	3.1%
% margins ≤ 0.5	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%
min margin achieved	0.14	0.52	0.55