### Perceptron and Online Perceptron

Daniel Hsu (COMS 4771)

#### Margins

Let S be a collection of labeled examples from  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{-1, +1\}$ . We say S is linearly separable if there exists  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\min_{(x,y)\in S} y\langle w, x\rangle > 0,$$

and we call w a linear separator for S.

The (minimum) margin of a linear separator w for S is the minimum distance from x to the hyperplane orthogonal to w, among all  $(x,y) \in S$ . Note that this notion of margin is invariant to positive scaling of w. If we rescale w so that

Assignment Froject Exam Help then this minimum distance is  $1/\|w\|_2$ . Therefore, the linear separator with the largest minimum margin is

described by the following ma

# https://eduassistpro.github.io/ $y(w,x) \ge 0$ , x,y S.

### Perceptron algoridal WeChat edu\_assist\_pro

The Perceptron algorithm is given as follows. The input to the algorithm is a collection S of labeled examples from  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{-1, +1\}$ .

- Begin with  $\hat{w}_1 := 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- For t = 1, 2, ...:
  - If there is a labeled example in S (call it  $(x_t, y_t)$ ) such that  $y_t \langle \hat{u}_t, x_t \rangle \leq 0$ , then set  $\hat{u}_{t+1} := \hat{u}_t + y_t x_t$ .
  - Else, return  $\hat{w}_t$ .

**Theorem.** Let S be a collection of labeled examples from  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{-1, +1\}$ . Suppose there exists a vector  $w_{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\min_{(x,y)\in S} y\langle w_{\star}, x\rangle = 1.$$

Then Perceptron on input S halts after at most  $||w_{\star}||_2^2 L^2$  loop iterations, where  $L := \max_{(x,y) \in S} ||x||_2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose Perceptron does not exit the loop in the t-th iteration. Then there is a labeled example  $(x_t, y_t) \in S$  such that

$$y_t \langle w_\star, x_t \rangle \ge 1,$$
  
 $y_t \langle \hat{w}_t, x_t \rangle < 0.$ 

We bound  $\langle w_{\star}, w_{t+1} \rangle$  from above and below to deduce a bound on the number of loop iterations. First, we bound  $\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t} \rangle$  from below:

$$\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle = \langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t} \rangle + y_{t} \langle w_{\star}, x_{t} \rangle > \langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t} \rangle + 1.$$

Since  $\hat{w}_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle > t.$$

We now bound  $\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle$  from above. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle \le ||w_{\star}||_2 ||\hat{w}_{t+1}||_2.$$

Also,

$$\|\hat{w}_{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\hat{w}_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + 2y_{t}\langle \hat{w}_{t}, x_{t}\rangle + y_{t}^{2} \|x_{t}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\hat{w}_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + L^{2}.$$

Since  $\|\hat{w}_1\|_2 = 0$ , we have

$$\|\hat{w}_{t+1}\|_2^2 \leq L^2 t$$
,

so

$$\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle \le ||w_{\star}||_2 L \sqrt{t}.$$

Combining the upper and lower bounds on  $\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle$  shows that

$$t \le \langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle \le ||w_{\star}||_2 L \sqrt{t},$$

which in turn implies the inequality  $t \leq ||w_{\star}||_{2}^{2}L^{2}$ .

#### Online Perceptron algorithm

The Online Argorigani menture arouse Cthe in the light ps a sequence  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$  of labeled examples from  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{-1, +1\}$ .

- Begin with  $\hat{w}_1 := 0$
- For  $t=1,2,\ldots$  \_- If  $y_t\langle \hat{w}_t,x_t\rangle$  \_- https://eduassistpro.github.io/

We say that Online Perceptron makes a  $\emph{mistake}$  in round t

Theorem. Let  $(x_1, y_1)$  and by second the condition of the exists a vector  $w_{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying

$$\min_{t=1,2} y_t \langle w_{\star}, x_t \rangle = 1.$$

Then Online Perceptron on input  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$  makes at most  $||w_*||_2^2 L^2$  mistakes, where L := $\max_{t=1,2,...} ||x_t||_2.$ 

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is essentially the same as the proof of the iteration bound for Perceptron.

Online Perceptron may be applied to a collection of labeled examples S by considering the labeled examples in S in any (e.g., random) order. If S is linearly separable, then the number of mistakes made by Online Perceptron can be bounded using the theorem.

However, Online Perceptron is also useful when S is not linearly separable. This is especially notable in comparison to Perceptron, which never terminates if S is not linearly separable.

**Theorem**. Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$  be a sequence of labeled examples from  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{-1, +1\}$ . Online Perceptron on input  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$  makes at most

$$\min_{w_{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \|w_{\star}\|_2^2 L^2 + \|w_{\star}\|_2 L \sqrt{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \ell(\langle w_{\star}, x_t \rangle, y_t)} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} \ell(\langle w_{\star}, x_t \rangle, y_t) \right]$$

mistakes, where  $L := \max_{t=1,2,...} \|x_t\|_2$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of rounds on which Online Perceptron makes a mistake, and  $\ell(\hat{y}, y) := [1 - \hat{y}y]_+ = \max\{0, 1 - \hat{y}y\}$  is the hinge loss of  $\hat{y}$  when y is the correct label.

*Proof.* Fix any  $w_{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Consider any round t in which Online Perceptron makes a mistake. Let  $\mathcal{M}_t := \{1, \ldots, t\} \cap \mathcal{M}$  and  $M_t := |\mathcal{M}_t|$ . We will bound  $\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle$  from above and below to deduce a bound on  $M_t$ , the number of mistakes made by Online Perceptron through the first t rounds. First we bound  $\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle$  from above. By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle \leq ||w_{\star}||_2 ||\hat{w}_{t+1}||_2.$$

Moreover,

$$\|\hat{w}_{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} = \|\hat{w}_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + 2y_{t}\langle \hat{w}_{t}, x_{t}\rangle + y_{t}^{2}\|x_{t}\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|\hat{w}_{t}\|_{2}^{2} + L^{2}.$$

Since  $\hat{w}_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\|\hat{w}_{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} < L^{2}M_{t},$$

and thus

$$\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle \le ||w_{\star}||_2 L \sqrt{M_t}$$

We now bound  $\langle w_{\star}, w_{t+1} \rangle$  from below:

$$\langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle = \langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t} \rangle + 1 - [1 - y_{t} \langle w_{\star}, x_{t} \rangle]$$

$$\geq \langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t} \rangle + 1 - [1 - y_{t} \langle w_{\star}, x_{t} \rangle]_{+}$$

$$= \langle w_{\star}, \hat{w}_{t} \rangle + 1 - \ell(\langle w_{\star}, x_{t} \rangle, y_{t}),$$

Since  $\hat{w}_1 = 0$ ,

### Assignment<sup>w</sup>Project<sup>u</sup>Exam Help

where

Combining the upper artitles://eduassistpro.github.io/

$$M_t - H_t \le \langle w_\star, \hat{w}_{t+1} \rangle \le \|$$

i.e.,

## Add $W_{M_t}eChat_{u_{x}|_{2}L\sqrt{M_t}}edu_assist_pro$

This inequality is quadratic in  $\sqrt{M_t}$ . By solving it<sup>1</sup>, we deduce the bound

$$M_t \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_\star\|_2^2 L^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w_\star\|_2 L \sqrt{\|w_\star\|_2^2 L^2 + 4 H_t} + H_t,$$

which can be further loosened to the following (slightly more interpretable) bound:

$$M_t \le \|w_\star\|_2^2 L^2 + \|w_\star\|_2 L \sqrt{H_t} + H_t.$$

The claim follows.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The inequality is of the form  $x^2 - bx - c \le 0$  for some non-negative b and c. This implies that  $x \le (b + \sqrt{b^2 + 4c})/2$ , and hence  $x^2 \le (b^2 + 2b\sqrt{b^2 + 4c} + b^2 + 4c)/4$ . We can then use the fact that  $\sqrt{A + B} \le \sqrt{A} + \sqrt{B}$  for any non-negative A and B to deduce  $x^2 \le b^2 + b\sqrt{c} + c$ .