

COMP3161/COMP9164

Properties and Datatypes Exercises

Liam O'Connor

November 1, 2019

1. Safety and Liveness P

(a) [★] For each of the follo

i. When I come home

Solution: Safety (violated by the finite steps where I come home and there is no beer in the fridge.)

ii. When I come home, I'll drop onto the couch and drink a beer.

Solution: Liveness (violated only after infinite time, where I come home and never drop on to the couch or drink a beer)

iii. I'll be home

Solution: Liveness (for an unbounded definition of "later")

iv. When process p has executed line 5, then process q will execute line 17 again.

Solution: Liveness

v. When process p has executed line 5, then process q cannot execute line 17 again.

Solution: Safety

vi. Process q cannot execute line 17 again unless process p has executed line 5.

Solution: Safety

vii. Process p has to execute line 5 before q can execute line 17 again.

Solution: Liveness

(b) [★★★] By considering a property as a set of behaviours (infinite sequences of states), show that if the state space Σ has at least two states, then any property can be expressed as the intersection of two liveness properties.

Hint: It may be helpful to know that the union of a liveness property and any other property is also a liveness property (this result follows from the fact that liveness properties are dense sets).

Solution: As the state space has at least two states, we can assume there exists a state $a \in \Sigma$ and a different state $b \in \Sigma$.

Then, we can construct two liveness properties, M and N :

$$M = \{pa^\omega \mid p \in \Sigma^*\}$$

$$N = \{pb^\omega \mid p \in \Sigma^*\}$$

Here Σ^* refers to the set of finite sequences of states. Stated in English, the property M says that “the program will eventually loop forever (or terminate) in state a ”, and the property N says that “the program will eventually loop forever (or terminate) in state b ”. Before ending up in that final state, the program is free to do any finite sequence of actions.

These two properties are proper because there is no state b , as they are different states.

Recall that the union of a liveness property and any other property is also a liveness property. This means that for some arbitrary property P , the properties $P \cup M$ and $P \cup N$ are both liveness properties. Therefore, to show that any property P is the intersection of two liveness properties, it suffices to show that:

$$(P \cup M) \cap (P \cup N) = P$$

We do this by showing that:

$$\begin{aligned} (P \cup M) \cap (P \cup N) &= P \cup ((P \cup M) \cap N) && \text{distributivity of } \cap \text{ over } \cup \\ &= P \cup ((P \cap N) \cup M) && \text{distributivity of } \cap \text{ over } \cup \\ &= P \cup (P \cap N) && \text{disjointness of } M, N \\ &= P \cup (P \cap N) && \text{identity} \\ &= P && \text{absorption} \end{aligned}$$

2. **Type Safety:** Consider this very simple language with function application and two built-in functions:

$$\begin{aligned} e &::= (\text{App } e_1 \ e_2) \\ &\quad | \text{ S } \\ &\quad | \text{ K } \end{aligned}$$

The dynamic semantics evaluate the left hand side of applications as much as possible:

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1 \ e_2 \mapsto e'_1 \ e_2}$$

The K function takes two arguments and returns the first one.

$$\overline{(\text{App } (\text{App } K \ x) \ y) \mapsto x}$$

The S function takes three arguments, applies the first argument to the third, and applies the result of that to the second argument applied to the third. More clearly:

$$\overline{(\text{App } (\text{App } (\text{App } S \ x) \ y) \ z) \mapsto (\text{App } (\text{App } x \ z) \ (\text{App } y \ z))}$$

- (a) [★★] Define a set of typing rules for this language, where the set of types is described by:

$$\tau ::= \begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\ \mid \\ \iota \end{array}$$

Note that \rightarrow is right-associative, so $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3$ means $\tau_1 \rightarrow (\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3)$.

Solution:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad e_2 : \tau_1}{e_1 \ e_2 : \tau_2}}{K : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1}}{S : (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3) \rightarrow (\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_3}$$

- (b) [★★★] In order to prove *progress* and *preservation*. For *progress*, we need to show that for every well-typed expression e , there exists a successor:

$$F = \{s \mid \nexists s'. s \mapsto s'\}$$

This trivially satisfies *progress*, as *progress* states that all well-typed states either have a successor state or are final states.

Preservation, however, requires a nontrivial proof. Prove *preservation* for your typing rules with respect to the dynamic semantics of this language.

Solut

procee

Base ca

from th

We know from the fact that $e : \tau$ that ther

uch that:

- $x : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$
- $y : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$
- $z : \tau_1$

Then we can show that $e' : \tau$:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad z : \tau_1}{(\text{App } x \ z) : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau}}{\frac{y : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad z : \tau_1}{(\text{App } y \ z) : \tau_2}}{(\text{App } (\text{App } x \ z) \ (\text{App } y \ z)) : \tau}$$

Base case. When $e = (\text{App } (\text{App } K \ x) \ y)$ and $e' = x$, from the rule for K. We know from $e : \tau$ that there exists a type τ_1 such that:

- $x : \tau$
- $y : \tau_1$

Seeing as $e' = x$, we know that $e' : \tau$ already.

Inductive case. When $e = (\text{App } e_1 \ e_2)$, and $e_1 \mapsto e'_1$, and $e' = (\text{App } e'_1 \ e_2)$. We get that the induction hypothesis (from $e_1 \mapsto e'_1$) that, for any type τ , if $e_1 : \tau$ then $e'_1 : \tau$.

We know from $e : \tau$ that there exists a type τ_1 such that:

- $e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau$

- $e_2 : \tau_1$

Seeing as e_1 has type $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau$, we know from our inductive hypothesis that $e'_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau$. Therefore $(\text{App } e_1 \ e_2) : \tau$ from the application typing rule. \square

3. **Haskell Types:** Determine a MinHS type that is isomorphic to the following Haskell type declarations:

(a) \star data MaybeInt = Just Int | Nothing

Solution: So

(b) \star data Nat = Zero | Su

Solution: $\text{rec } t. 1 + t$

(c) \star data IntTree = Tree Int IntTree IntTree | Leaf Int

Solut

4. **Inhabitation:** Is $\text{rec } t. \text{Int} + t$ inhabited? If so, give an example value. in why.

(a) \star $\text{rec } t. \text{Int} + t$

Solution: Yes, $(\text{Roll } (\text{InR } (\text{Roll } (\text{InL } 3))))$

(b) \star $\text{rec } t. \text{Int} \times t$

Solution: No, the only way to express a value of this type is something like

$(\text{Recfun } f.x. (\text{Roll } (\text{Pair } 4 \ x))))$

Which in a call-by-value (strict) semantics would be non-terminating, but acceptable in a non-strict (lazy) semantics.

(c) \star $(\text{rec } t. \text{Int} \times t) + \text{Bool}$

Solution: Yes, the only finite values are (InR True) and (InR False) . All other values are infinite.

5. **Encodings:** For each of the following sets, give a MinHS type that corresponds to it. Justify why your MinHS type is equivalent to the set, for example by providing a bijective function that, given a element of that set, gives the corresponding MinHS value of the corresponding type.

(a) \star The natural number set \mathbb{N} .

Solution: The representation of unary natural numbers seen in question 2 suffices here:

`rec t. 1 + t`

The mapping is defined as:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} (\text{Roll } (\text{InL } ())) & \text{if } x = 0 \\ (\text{Roll } (\text{InR } g(x - 1))) & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

- (b) [★★] The set of integers \mathbb{Z} .

Solution: Ombined with a sign bit. The ma onts negative numbers and one so that there ar

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x < 0, & (\text{pair } g(-x - 1), \text{False}) \\ x \geq 0, & (\text{pair } g(x), \text{True}) \end{cases}$$

- (c) [★★] The set of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} .

Solution: Seeing as a rational number is just a pair of integers to represent the number
 $(\mathbb{Z} = ($
 Techn
 can sim
 structurally identical. A pair (p_1, q_1) and a pa $p_1 q_2 = p_2 q_1$.

- (d) [★★★] The set of (computable) real numbers semantics.

Solution: A real number consists of an integer whole component and a possibly infinite sequence of fractional decimal digits.
 For the integer component, it suffices to use our existing \mathbb{Z} type.
 Then, we just need an infinite sequence of digits, which we can define for binary digits with:

`rec t. (Bool \times t)`

Therefore, a computable real number is just $\mathbb{Z} \times (\text{rec } t. (\text{Bool} \times t))$.

6. **Curry-Howard:** Give a term in typed λ -calculus that is a proof of the following propositions. If there is no such term, explain why.

- (a) [★] $A \Rightarrow A \vee B$

Solution: The type required is $A \rightarrow A + B$.

`InL`

- (b) [★] $A \wedge B \Rightarrow A$

Solution: The type required is $A \times B \rightarrow A$.

fst

(c) $[\star\star] P \vee P \Leftrightarrow P$

Hint: Recall that $A \Leftrightarrow B$ is shorthand for $A \Rightarrow B \wedge B \Rightarrow A$.

Solution: The type required is $(A + A \rightarrow A) \times (A \rightarrow A + A)$.

$((\lambda s. \text{case } s \text{ of } \text{InL } x. x; \text{InR } x. x), \text{InL})$

(d) $[\star\star] (A \wedge B \Rightarrow$

Solution: Th

$x_1 : (A \times B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$

$x_1 = \lambda abc. \lambda a. \lambda b. abc(a, b)$

And:

$x_2 : (A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \times B \rightarrow C)$
 $x_2 = \lambda abc. \lambda ab. abc(\text{fst } ab)(\text{snd } ab)$

So the fin

(e) $[\star\star] P \vee$

Solution: The type required is $P + (Q \times$

$\lambda prq. QR. \text{case } p$
 $\text{InL } p. (\text{InL } p, \text{In}$
 $\text{InR } qr. (\text{InR } (\text{fst } qr), \text{InR } (\text{snd } qr)))$

(f) $[\star\star] P \Rightarrow \neg(\neg P)$

Hint: Recall that $\neg A$ is shorthand for $A \Rightarrow \perp$.

Solution: The type required is $P \rightarrow (P \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, which we can implement with:

$\lambda p. \lambda \text{not}P. \text{not}P p$

(g) $[\star\star\star] \neg(\neg P) \Rightarrow P$

Solution: This theorem does not hold constructively, so there is no term in standard typed lambda calculus.

(h) $[\star\star\star] \neg(\neg(\neg P)) \Rightarrow \neg P$

Solution: The required type is $((P \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow P \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$.

Recall our solution for part (d) was of type $P \rightarrow (P \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$. Call this function d . Then we can implement this type with:

$$\lambda n n n p. \lambda p. n n n p (d p)$$

(i) $[***] (P \vee \neg P) \Rightarrow \neg(\neg P) \Rightarrow P$

Solution: The required type is $(P + (P \rightarrow \mathbf{0})) \rightarrow ((P \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow P$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda pOrNotP. \lambda notNotP. \mathbf{case} \ pOrNotP \ \mathbf{of} \\ &\quad \mathbf{inL} \ p. p; \\ &\quad \mathbf{inR} \ notP. \mathbf{absurd} \ (notNotP \ notP) \end{aligned}$$

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Assignment Project Exam Help
Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro