

COMP3161/COMP9164 Supplementary Lecture Notes

Overloading

Gabriele Keller, Liam O'Connor

November 11, 2019

So far, all the operations we have seen are defined on a specific type, as for example addition $(+)$ on *any type at all*, such as the `Int` type. In practice, this is not sufficient for a general purpose language. If we add, for example, floating point numbers to MinHS, we want at least all the operations we have on integers to be available on floats as well, so we need $(+ :: \text{Float} \rightarrow \text{Float} \rightarrow \text{Float})$. However, this would make the language pretty annoying to use, and get even worse for a language with a more realistic set of types, including integers and floats of different size.

The situation is even worse when we consider an operation like checking two values for equality. This should, ideally, not only work on basic types, but also on compound types, like pairs and sum types (but not on function types).

What we desire is a more general implementation of these operations, one that can be used for *overloading* or *ad-hoc polymorphism*.

1 Type Classes in Haskell

The idea behind type classes is to group a set of types together if they have similar operations in common. For example, in Haskell, the type class within which the *methods* addition, multiplication, subtraction and such are defined is called `Num`, and contains the types `Int`, `Integer` (not fixed length), `Float` and `Double`.

For example, the type of addition is:

$$(+) :: \forall a. \text{Num } a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$$

which reads as: for all types a in type class `Num`, $(+)$ has the type $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$. The *constraint* `Num` restricts the types which addition can be applied to.

The `Eq` type class in Haskell contains all types whose elements can be tested for pairwise equality. When you type `:info Eq` into GHCi, the interpreter lists all its methods:

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
(/=) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
```

Also listed are the types which are in this type class. Basic integral types are included:

```
instance Eq Int
instance Eq Float
instance Eq Double
instance Eq Char
instance Eq Bool
```

Also, generative rules that specify instances that themselves depend on another instance:

```

instance Eq  $a \Rightarrow$  Eq  $[a]$ 
instance Eq  $a \Rightarrow$  Eq (Maybe  $a$ )
instance (Eq  $a$ , Eq  $b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Eq ( $a$ ,  $b$ )

```

These are rules about type class membership: if a type a is in **Eq**, then lists of a are also in **Eq**, as well as **Maybe** a . If two types a and b are both in **Eq**, so are pairs of a and b . Operations on these compound types are implemented in terms of the operations of the argument types. So, two pairs of values are considered to be equal if both of their components are equal:

```

instance (Eq  $a$ , Eq  $b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Eq ( $a$ ,  $b$ ) where
  ( $==$ ) ( $a_1$ ,  $b_1$ ) ( $a_2$ ,  $b_2$ ) = ( $a_1 == a_2$ ) && ( $b_1 == b_2$ )

```

And equality of lists can be defined, for example, as follows:

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Other examples of predefined type classes are **Show** and **Read**. A user can extend these type classes and define new classes.

2 Static Semantics

We write:

To indicate that the constraint $\text{Eq } b$ is satisfied under the condition ints , such as **Num** a or **Eq** b .

Extending implicitly typed MinHS with type classes, we all type variables:

Predicates	$P ::= \text{C } \tau$
Polytypes	$\pi ::= \tau \mid \forall a. \pi \mid P \Rightarrow \pi$
Monotypes	$\tau ::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Bool} \mid \tau + \tau \mid \dots$
Class names	C

Our typing judgement $\Gamma \vdash e : \pi$ now includes a set of type class *axiom schema* \mathcal{A} :

$$\mathcal{A} \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \pi$$

This set contains predicates for all type class instances known to the compiler, including generative instances, which take the form of implications like **Eq** $a \Rightarrow$ **Eq** $[a]$.

To add typing rules for this, we leave the existing rules unchanged, save that they thread our axiom set \mathcal{A} through.

In order to use an overloaded type, one must first show that the predicate is *satisfied* by the known axioms, written $\mathcal{A} \Vdash P$:

$$\frac{\mathcal{A} \mid \Gamma \vdash e : P \Rightarrow \pi \quad \mathcal{A} \Vdash P}{e : \pi} \text{INST}$$

If, adding a predicate to the known axioms, we can conclude a typing judgement, then we can overload the expression with that predicate:

$$\frac{P, \mathcal{A} \mid \Gamma \vdash e : \pi}{\mathcal{A} \mid \Gamma \vdash e : P \Rightarrow \pi} \text{GEN}$$

Putting these rules to use, we could show that $3.2 + 4.4$, which uses the overloaded operator $(+)$, is of type **Float**:

1. We know that $(+) :: \forall a. (\text{Num } a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a \in \Gamma$.
2. We know that $\text{Num Float} \in \mathcal{A}$.
3. Instantiating the type variable a , we can conclude that $(+) :: (\text{Num Float}) \Rightarrow \text{Float} \rightarrow \text{Float} \rightarrow \text{Float}$.
4. Using the INST rule above and (3), we can conclude $(+) :: \text{Float} \rightarrow \text{Float} \rightarrow \text{Float}$
5. By the function application rule, we can conclude $3.2 + 4.4 :: \text{Float}$ as required.

3 Overloading Resolved

Up to this point, we only discussed about the dynamic semantics the correct concrete operation in the best way.

In object oriented language, objects typically know what to do — that is, an object is associated with a so-called virtual method or dispatch table, which contains all the methods of the object's class. This approach isn't applicable to a language like MinHS, where arguments of an overloaded function aren't objects and can be of basic type, but we can use a similar idea: we pass the table of all the methods of a class to an overloaded function, and replace the overloaded function with one that simply picks the appropriate method from the table. We call this table a *dictionary*, and in MinHS, we represent a table with n functions as n -tuple.

Type classes are c

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

$(/=) : a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

becomes
Add WeChat [edu_assist_pro](https://eduassistpro.github.io/)

`type EqDict a = (a → a → B`

Instances become *values* of the dictionary type:

```
instance Eq Bool where
  True  == True  = True
  False == False = True
  _     == _     = False
  a /= b = not (a == b)
```

becomes

```
True  ==_Bool True  = True
False ==_Bool False = True
_     ==_Bool _     = False
a /=_Bool b = not (a ==_Bool b)
```

`eqBoolDict = ((==_Bool), (/=_Bool))`

Programs that rely on overloading now take dictionaries as parameters:

```
same :: ∀a. (Eq a) ⇒ [a] → Bool
same [] = True
same (x : []) = True
same (x : y : xs) = x == y ∧ same (y : xs)
```

Becomes:

```

same :: ∀a. (EqDict a) → [a] → Bool
same eq [] = True
same eq (x : []) = True
same eq (x : y : xs) = (fst eq) x y ∧ same eq (y : xs)

```

In some cases, we can make instances also predicated on some constraints:

```

instance (Eq a) ⇒ (Eq [a]) where
  []      == []      = True
  (x : xs) == (y : ys) = x == y ∧ (xs == ys)
  _       == _       = False
  a /= b  = not (a == b)

```

Such instances are transform

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Assignment Project Exam Help
Assignment Project Exam Help

der

<https://eduassistpro.github.io/>

Add WeChat edu_assist_pro