

Dynamic Macroeconomics using Matlab

Lecture 3

University of Callao
Edinson Tolentino

email: et396@kent.ac.uk

Twitter: @edutoleraymondi



Stochastic
growth
model

Social
planner's
problem

F.O.C

Dynamical
system

Steady state
linearization

Log-
linearization

Uhlig (1999)

1 Stochastic growth model

Social planner's problem

F.O.C

Dynamical system

Steady state

linearization

Log-linearization

Uhlig (1999)



- Social planner maximizes expected intertemporal utility

$$U([c_t]_{t=0}^{\infty}) = E_0 \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \right] \quad (1)$$

with strictly concave period utility $u'(c_t) > 0$ and $u''(c_t) < 0$

- Future is discounted by constant factor β

$$1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots$$

- $U(\cdot)$ is time-separable marginal utility of date- t consumption

$$\frac{\partial U(\cdot)}{\partial c_t} = \beta^t u'(c_t)$$

depends only on c_t , not consumption on other dates

- Infinite horizon keeps model stationary, no life-cycle effects
- Initial $k_0 > 0$ and stochastic process for productivity z_t given



Stochastic growth model

Social
planner's
problem

F.O.C

Dynamical
system

Steady state
linearization

Log-
linearization

Uhlig (1999)

- In per worker units

$$y \equiv \frac{Y}{L} \quad k \equiv \frac{K}{L}$$

- Aggregate production function

$$y = f(k)$$

- Resource constraints

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = z_t f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t \quad (2)$$



- Using Eq (1) and Eq (2):

$$\max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} E_0 \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \right]$$

$$\text{s.t: } c_t + k_{t+1} = z_t f(k_t) + (1 - \delta) k_t$$

- There are two ways to solve this problem
 - ▷ Find to F.O.C
 - ▷ Using dynamic solution (programacion dinamica)

Social planner's problem: F.O.C



- Lagrangian with multiplier $\lambda_t > 0$ for each resource constraint

$$\mathcal{L} = E_0 \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t [f(k_t) - c_t + (1 - \delta)k_t - k_{t+1}] \right\}$$

- Some key first order conditions

$$c_t : \quad \beta^t u'(c_t) - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$k_{t+1} : \quad -\lambda_t + E_t \left\{ \lambda_{t+1} [z_{t+1} f'(k_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)] \right\} = 0$$

$$\lambda_t : \quad z_t f(k_t) + (1 - \delta)k_t - c_t - k_{t+1} = 0$$

- Using first and second equation

$$\lambda_t = \beta^t u'(c_t) \quad \lambda_{t+1} = \beta^{t+1} u'(c_{t+1})$$

- Eliminating the Lagrange multipliers (Euler equation)

$$u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1}) [z_{t+1} f'(k_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)]$$



► The Euler equation:

$$u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1}) [z_{t+1} f'(k_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)]$$

MRS between t and $t + 1$

$$\frac{u'(c_t)}{\beta u'(c_{t+1})}$$

MRT between t and $t + 1$

$$z_{t+1} f'(k_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)$$

Planner equates MRS and MRT



- Gives a system of two nonlinear difference equations in c_t, k_t

$$\begin{aligned}u'(c_t) &= \beta u'(c_{t+1}) [z_{t+1} f'(k_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)] \\c_t + k_{t+1} &= z_t f(k_t) + (1 - \delta) k_t\end{aligned}$$

- Two boundary conditions: (i) initial $k_0 > 0$ given, and (ii) transversality condition

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \beta^T \lambda_T k_{T+1} = 0$$

- Maps exogenous stochastic process z_t into endogenous stochastic c_t, k_t



- Steady state where $\Delta c_t = 0$ and $\Delta k_t = 0$, Let c_{ss} k_{ss} denote steady state values. These are determined by

$$u'(c_t) = \beta u'(c_{t+1}) [z_{t+1} f'(k_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)]$$

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = z_t f(k_t) + (1 - \delta) k_t$$

$$u'(c_{ss}) = \beta u'(c_{ss}) [z_{ss} f'(k_{ss}) + (1 - \delta)]$$

$$1 = \beta [z_{ss} f'(k_{ss}) + (1 - \delta)]$$

$$c_{ss} + k_{ss} = z_{ss} f(k_{ss}) + (1 - \delta) k_{ss}$$

$$c_{ss} = z_{ss} f(k_{ss}) - \delta k_{ss}$$



- ▶ One method to solve and analyze nonlinear dynamic stochastic models is to approximate the nonlinear equations characterizing the equilibrium with loglinear ones.
- ▶ The strategy is to use a first order Taylor approximation around the steady state
- ▶ Taylor's theorem tells us that this can be expressed as a power series about a particular point x^*

$$f(x) = f(x^*) + \frac{f'(x^*)}{1!}(x - x^*) + \frac{f''(x^*)}{2!}(x - x^*)^2 + \dots$$

- Here $f'(x^*)$ is the first derivative of f with respect to x evaluated at the point x^*
 - Here $f''(x^*)$ is the second derivative of f with respect to x evaluated at the point x^*
- ▶ the function can be well approximated

$$f(x) = f(x^*) + \frac{f'(x^*)}{1!}(x - x^*)$$



- Taylor's theorem also applies equally well to multivariate functions.

$$f(x, y) = f(x^*, y^*) + f_x(x^*, y^*)(x - x^*) + f_y(x^*, y^*)(y - y^*)$$

- ⊙ Here f_x is the first derivative of f with respect to x evaluated at the point x^*
- ⊙ Here f_y is the first derivative of f with respect to y evaluated at the point y^*



► Given next example:

$$f(x) = \frac{g(x)}{h(x)}$$

To log-linearize it, first take natural logs of both sides:

$$\ln f(x) = \ln g(x) - \ln h(x)$$

Now use the first order Taylor series expansions:

$$\ln f(x) \approx \ln f(x^*) + \frac{f'(x^*)}{f(x^*)}(x - x^*)$$

$$\ln g(x) \approx \ln g(x^*) + \frac{g'(x^*)}{g(x^*)}(x - x^*)$$

$$\ln h(x) \approx \ln h(x^*) + \frac{h'(x^*)}{h(x^*)}(x - x^*)$$

Now put these all together:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f(x^*) + \frac{f'(x^*)}{f(x^*)}(x - x^*) &= \ln g(x^*) + \frac{g'(x^*)}{g(x^*)}(x - x^*) \\ - \left[\ln h(x^*) + \frac{h'(x^*)}{h(x^*)}(x - x^*) \right] \end{aligned}$$



- But since $\ln f(x^*) = \ln g(x^*) - \ln h(x^*)$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f(x^*) + \frac{f'(x^*)}{f(x^*)}(x - x^*) &= \ln g(x^*) + \frac{g'(x^*)}{g(x^*)}(x - x^*) \\ - \left[\ln h(x^*) + \frac{h'(x^*)}{h(x^*)}(x - x^*) \right] & \\ \frac{f'(x^*)}{f(x^*)}(x - x^*) &= \frac{g'(x^*)}{g(x^*)}(x - x^*) - \left[\frac{h'(x^*)}{h(x^*)}(x - x^*) \right] \end{aligned}$$

- To put everything in percentage terms, multiply and divide each term by x^*

$$\frac{x^* f'(x^*)}{f(x^*) x^*} (x - x^*) = \frac{x^* g'(x^*)}{g(x^*) x^*} (x - x^*) - \left[x^* \frac{h'(x^*)}{h(x^*) x^*} (x - x^*) \right]$$

- For notational ease, define $\frac{(x-x^*)}{x^*} \approx \hat{x}$

$$\frac{x^* f'(x^*)}{f(x^*)} \hat{x} = \frac{x^* g'(x^*)}{g(x^*)} \hat{x} - \left[x^* \frac{h'(x^*)}{h(x^*)} \hat{x} \right]$$



- ▶ Given example

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t$$

- ▶ But since $\ln Y_t = \ln(C_t + I_t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln Y_{ss} + \frac{1}{Y_{ss}}(Y_t - Y_{ss}) &= \ln(C_{ss} + I_{ss}) + \frac{1}{(C_{ss} + I_{ss})}(C_t - C_{ss}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(C_{ss} + I_{ss})}(I_t - I_{ss}) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Given $\ln Y_{ss} = \ln(C_{ss} + I_{ss})$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Y_{ss}}(Y_t - Y_{ss}) &= \frac{1}{(C_{ss} + I_{ss})}(C_t - C_{ss}) \frac{C_{ss}}{C_{ss}} + \frac{1}{(C_{ss} + I_{ss})}(I_t - I_{ss}) \frac{I_{ss}}{I_{ss}} \\ \hat{Y} &= \frac{C_{ss}}{Y_{ss}} \hat{C}_t + \frac{I_{ss}}{Y_{ss}} \hat{I}_t \end{aligned}$$



- ▶ Replacing a variable X_t with $X_{ss}e^{\hat{X}_t}$, where $\hat{X}_t = \log X_t - \log X_{ss}$
- ▶ Taking a first order Taylor approximation around the steady state yields

$$X_{ss}e^{\hat{X}_t} = X(1 + \hat{X}_t)$$

$$e^{\hat{X}_t + a\hat{Y}_t} = (1 + \hat{X}_t + a\hat{Y}_t)$$

- ▶ Given example

$$Y_t = C_t + I_t$$

Replace $e^{\hat{X}_t} = 1 + \hat{X}_t$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ss}e^{\hat{Y}_t} &= C_{ss}e^{\hat{C}_t} + I_{ss}e^{\hat{I}_t} \\ Y_{ss}(1 + \hat{Y}_t) &= C_{ss}(1 + \hat{C}_t) + I_{ss}(1 + \hat{I}_t) \end{aligned}$$

Replace $Y_{ss} = C_{ss} + I_{ss}$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ss}\hat{Y}_t &= C_{ss}\hat{C}_t + I_{ss}\hat{I}_t \\ \hat{Y}_t &= \frac{C_{ss}}{Y_{ss}}\hat{C}_t + \frac{I_{ss}}{Y_{ss}}\hat{I}_t \end{aligned}$$