

# The Axolotl Programming Language Specification

*Eduardo Salvador Hidalgo Vargas*  
*Andrés Ricardo Garza Vela*

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Vision/Purpose</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Main objective . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Language requirements</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Basic Elements . . . . .	3
2.2	Syntax Diagrams . . . . .	3
2.2.1	Grammar: . . . . .	3
2.2.2	Lexems: . . . . .	4
2.2.3	Semantic Characteristics . . . . .	4
2.2.4	Special Functions and Forms . . . . .	5
2.2.5	Data Types . . . . .	7
2.3	Language and OS used for development . . . . .	8
2.4	Bibliography . . . . .	8
2.5	Features we would like to have . . . . .	9
2.5.1	Type Classes . . . . .	9
2.5.2	Meta-programming . . . . .	9

Hubo un tiempo en que yo pensaba mucho en los axolotl. Iba a verlos al acuario del Jardín des Plantes y me quedaba horas mirándolos, observando su inmovilidad, sus oscuros movimientos. Ahora soy un axolotl.

- *Julio Cortázar*

# 1 Vision/Purpose

Axo is our vision of Haskell principles as a Lisp dialect. While Haskell has a "clean syntax", it is full of syntactic sugar that makes it hard to reason or learn about. This makes source-code transformations inaccessible to most programmers. Haskell is already good at meta-programming via type classes, template Haskell and so on but it lacks some of the power that macros can offer. Therefore, we propose a new programming language that addresses this shortcoming while preserving Haskell semantics by instead using Lisp syntax (with some extra syntax features that we consider to be convenient for programming in idiomatic Haskell).

## 1.1 Main objective

To implement a Haskell-like Lisp: a strongly typed, purely functional programming language.

# 2 Language requirements

## 2.1 Basic Elements

Axolotl is case sensitive.

Table 1: Tokens

Name	Description	Examples
Integer	one or more digits $[0-9]^+$	'1', '234', '3556'
Float	one or more digits, a decimal point followed by one or more digits	'1.23', '0.5', '2234'
String literal	any char or escaped char between double quotes	"a string"
Char literal	only one char or escaped char between single quotes	'a', ' ', '\t'
varId	any sequence of non reserved chars, starting with a lowercase char	'a', 'solve', 'x0', '»='
typeId	any sequence of non reserved chars, starting with a uppercase char	'List', 'Maybe', 'Int'

## 2.2 Syntax Diagrams

### 2.2.1 Grammar:

```
Program      ::= SExp+
SExp         ::= '(' ExpSeq ')'
ExpSeq       ::= Exp ("space" Exp)+
Exp          ::= (SExp | Atom | IExp | InfixExp)
Atom         ::= (Identifier | Literal)
Literal      ::= (Int | Float | String | Char)
IExp         ::= ExpSeq "newline" ("<indent>" ExpSeq "newline")+
InfixExp     ::= '{' Exp "space" Exp "space" Exp '}'
CommentSingleLine ::= '-' '-'
CommentMultiLine  ::= "-(" ".*" "-)"
```

### 2.2.2 Lexems:

digit	::= [0-9]w
letter	::= [a-zA-Z]
decimal	::= [0-9] +
Int	::= decimal
Float	::= decimal "." decimal
UniChar	::= "Any Unicode character"
LowerUni	::= "Any lowercase Unicode character"
UpperUni	::= "Any uppercase Unicode character"
Char	::= "'" UniChar "'"
String	::= "'" UniChar+ "'"
Identifier	::= ( VarId   TypeId )
VarId	::= LowerUni (UniChar - ReservedChars)*
TypeId	::= UpperUni (UniChar - ReservedChars)*
ReservedChars	::= ('(   ')'   '{   '}' )   ' '   'whitespace'
ValidSymbol	::= UniChar - ReservedChars
NotDoubleQuote	::= UniChar - ' " ' ,

### 2.2.3 Semantic Characteristics

Our language is divided into two fields: values and types. Values are data whereas types are sets of values.

#### • Values and expressions

- The language has semantics close to Haskell.
- It has a strong static type system, therefore every expression has a type.
- All variables are immutable.
- Functions are automatically curried, therefore it's easy to partially apply. The disadvantage is that functions cannot have variable arity.

*Values* include *primitives* and *user defined*. Examples of *primitives* are numbers, characters or a given function (e.g. +). Examples of *user defined values* may include a tree. These are all first class.

Expressions are a combination of values by the means of function application. For example (+ 2 3) or the function (\ x -> (\* x x)). There is a very special value: **undefined**. When **undefined** is evaluated, the program crashes. **Undefined** allows us to define partial functions. Similarly there is a very special function: **error**. This function receives a String s and returns **undefined**, which will crash the program with the error message s.

It is important to note that Axo does not differentiate between functions and operators, because the simplicity of the syntax allows an identifier to be composed of only symbols.

## 1. Looping

To write something that can be executed multiple times, one should write recursive function:

```
define (loop x)
  if {x == 0}
    0
    (loop {x - 1})

define (fibonnaci n)
  cond ({n == 0} 0)
        ({n == 1} 0)
        (else (fibonnaci {n - 1}))
```

## 2. On Folds

From a functional programming perspective, folds are called *catamorphisms*. This is important because they are equivalent to a **for-each** loop in other languages. Therefore, if we can add folds to our language, we can express these loops.

- **Right associative fold:**

```
define (foldr f end xs)
  if (null? xs)
    end
    (f (head xs) (foldr f end (tail xs)))
```

- **Left associative fold:**

```
define (foldl f a xs)
  if (null? xs)
    a
    (foldl f (f a (head xs)) (tail xs))
```

### 2.2.4 Special Functions and Forms

#### 1. Input/Output

##### (a) IO primitives

Name	Description
putChar	writes a char
putStr	writes a string
putStrLn	writes a string with a newline at the end
getChar	reads one char
getLine	reads a complete line
getContents	reads all the content

(b) **IO higher level**

Name	Description
<code>write</code>	writes data in a way that can be read by the machine
<code>read</code>	reads input and returns the data parsed
<code>display</code>	prints data in a way that can be read by a human
<code>displayLn</code>	prints data and a newline at the end, in a way that can be read by a human

2. **Math Functions**

(a) **Integers**

Name	Description
<code>+</code>	integer sum
<code>-</code>	integer subtraction
<code>*</code>	integer product
<code>/</code>	integer division
<code>mod</code>	modulo

(b) **Floats**

Name	Description
<code>+</code>	float sum
<code>-</code>	float subtraction
<code>*</code>	float product
<code>/</code>	float division
<code>sqrt</code>	square root function
<code>log</code>	logarithm of x
<code>exp</code>	exponential of x

### 3. Special Forms

Name	Description	Grammar
if	evals predicate, and evals only one of the expressions depending on the result	(if <predicate> <if-true> <if-false>)
cond	evaluates the clauses one by one, in the first clause that succeeds, the corresponding expression is evaluated and returned.	(cond (<clause_1> ... <clause_n>) <body>) where clause <sub>x</sub> = (<predicate_x> <expression_x>)
data	a data type definition	(data <typeName> <type expression>)
type	type alias	(type <typeName> <type expression>)
and	short-circuit and (also known as conditional and)	(and <expression_1> <expression_2>)
or	short-circuit or (also known as conditional or)	(or <expression_1> <expression_2>)
lambda	a lambda abstraction (can also be written with the unicode $\lambda$ )	(lambda (<arguments>) <body>)
let	local bindings	(let <var name> <expression>)
define	top level definition of a function or variable	(define <var name> <expression>)  or (define (<function name> <args>) <expression>)

#### (a) Extensions

'defmacro'
'class'
'instance'

### 2.2.5 Data Types

#### 1. Type System

Types include *type values* and *type variables*. *Type values* are **monomorphic** while *type variables* are **polymorphic**. Neither of these are first class. A *type value*, or just called **type**, can be understood as a set of possible values. *Type variables* can be understood as a set of any type. We can view type variables as generics in other languages. *Type values* include `Int` or `Int -> Int`. Polymorphic types include the function `head` which is of type `List a -> a`. Therefore this function is defined for **All** `a` types.

The primitive types are: `Integer`, `Float`, `Character`.



## 2. On Types

A sum type is the union of different constructors for the same type, for example:

```
(data Bool {True | False})
```

On the contrary, product types can be understood as a tuple of any two types (their cartesian product), the types can be different, for example:

```
(data Point (Pt Int Int))
```

Product Types are like giving some "type arguments" to a data constructor, while sum types are different constructors. An example of combining both of these types:

```
(data (Node a))  
(data Tree {(Node (Tree a) (Tree a)) | (Leaf a)})
```

In this case, the `Tree` can be either a `Tree` with two branches, or an empty `Tree`. This case is also a good example of a *Recursive Type*.

This can also be written in infix notation:

```
(data Tree {(Tree a) Node (Tree a)} | (Leaf a)})
```

## 2.3 Language and OS used for development

Axo is written in Haskell, and developed on MacOS and Debian linux.

## 2.4 Bibliography

- <https://www.haskell.org>
- <https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/goodies.html>
- <https://docs.racket-lang.org/hackett/index.html>
- <http://tunes.org/overview.html>
- [https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Write\\_Yourself\\_a\\_Scheme\\_in\\_48\\_Hours/Towards\\_a\\_Standard\\_Library](https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Write_Yourself_a_Scheme_in_48_Hours/Towards_a_Standard_Library)
- [http://dev.stephendiehl.com/fun/006\\_hindley\\_milner.html#types](http://dev.stephendiehl.com/fun/006_hindley_milner.html#types)

## 2.5 Features we would like to have

### 2.5.1 Type Classes

A possible extension to the type system are type classes, which are a constraint over a polymorphic type that forces a type to be an instance of that class. This means that it implements a specific associated function. We can think of classes as interfaces in other languages. Examples include: `Num`, `Show`, `Read`, `Ord` and `Eq`.

### 2.5.2 Meta-programming

- Eval time
- Compilation time
- **Development time**

We think that compilers, programming languages and tools are not always designed with "ergonomics" in mind. There is a special focus on formality, yet, for example, error reporting tends to be ad-hoc. The users of programming languages (the programmers) are given text-focused tools only to develop, maintain and refactor code. There is no intrinsic reason this should be the case. Our main objective is, to provide meta-programming tools to the programmer.

Why is meta-programming feared? Our hypothesis is that its unpredictability makes it unfit for a program while it's running, and to a lesser extent, during compilation (just ask a programmer if they use macros in their own programs). There are, however, exceptions to this phenomenon: hygienic macros in Lisp dialects, or the Ruby object system.