



Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Cyfrin.io

November 15, 2024

Protocol Audit Report

Eduardo Melo

Oct 25, 2023

Prepared by: [Eduardo] Lead Auditors: Security Researcher - Eduardo

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
 - * Likelihood & Impact:
 - * [H-2] `PasswordStore::setPassword` has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password
 - * Likelihood & Impact:
 - Medium
 - Low

- Informational
 - * [I-1] The `PasswordStore::getPassword` natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.
 - * Likelihood & Impact:
- Gas

Protocol Summary

Disclaimer

The Eduardo's Team team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

Commit Hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

Scope

```
1 ./src/  
2   - PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

Description Variables stored in storage on-chain are visible to anyone, no matter the solidity visibility keyword meaning the password is not actually a private password

Impact Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept

1. Start a local node

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy

This will default to your local node. You need to have it running in another terminal in order for it to deploy.

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

we use 1 because that's the storage slot of `s_password` in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You ´ll get an output that looks like this:

[illegible]

You can then parse that hex to a string with.

[illegible]

Recommended Mitigation Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want to user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password. ### Likelihood & Impact: - Impact: HIGH - Likelihood: HIGH - Severity: HIGH

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

Description: The `PasswordStore::setPassword` function is set to be an `external` function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that `This function allows only the owner to set a new password.`

```
1 function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2   @> // @audit - There are no access controls
3     s_password = newPassword;
4     emit SetNetPassword();
5 }
```

Impact Anyone can set/change the password of the contract, severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

Proof of Concept Add the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file.

Code

```
1 function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
2     vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
3     vm.prank(randomAddress);
4     string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
5     passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7     vm.prank(owner);
8     string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
9     assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10 }
```

Recommended Mitigation Add an access control conditional to the `setPassword` function.

```
1 if(msg.sender != s_owner)
2     revert PasswordStore_NotOwner();
```

Likelihood & Impact:

- Impact: HIGH
- Likelihood: HIGH
- Severity: HIGH

Medium

Low

Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

Description

```
1 /*
2     * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3     * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4     */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The `PasswordStore::getPassword` function signature is `getPassword()` which the natspec say it should be `getPassword(string)`

Impact The natspec is incorrect.

Recommended Mitigation Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

Likelihood & Impact:

Gas