Question 2. (12 points) [The predictable "what does this print" question]

Consider the following class definitions.

```
public abstract class Foo {
    public abstract int f(int n);
    public void g(String s) {
       System.out.println("Foo g " + s);
______
 public class Bar extends Foo {
    public int f(int n) {
       System.out.println("n=" + n);
       return 2*n;
    public void g(double x) {
       System.out.println("x=" + x);
    public void h(boolean p) {
       if (p) {
         System.out.println("true");
       } else {
         System.out.println("not");
    }
    public void h(double z) {
       System.out.println("z=" + z);
 public class Baz extends Bar {
    public int f(int k) {
       System.out.println("Baz f");
       return 2*super.f(k);
    }
```

Answer the questions on the next page. You can tear this page out if helps avoid having to flip the page back and forth.

a.h(true);

Question 2. (cont) Assume that the following groups of statements, which use the classes defined on page 2, are typed into a newly reset DrJava interactions window. For each set of statements, if they cause a compile- or run-time error, explain what the error is, or if they execute successfully, explain what output is produced, if any. Be sure to show the output produced by earlier statements in the group, even if a later one causes an error.

Output or description of error

```
(a)
     Bar b = new Bar();
     int x = b.f(3);
                                   n=3
     System.out.println(x);
                                   6
(b)
     Foo f = new Bar();
     f.g(10.0);
                                   Error - no g (double) method in Foo
                                  Error – incompatible types in assignment
(c)
     Baz z = new Bar();
     z.h(true);
     z.h(17);
(d)
     Bar a = new Baz();
     System.out.println(a.f(2));
                                        Baz f
                                         n=2
                                         8
```

true

Question 3. (2 points) One of your colleagues is trying to create a new class that represents points in a plane. Here's the code so far:

Style issues aside, this code won't even compile. What is the problem?

Method main is static, which means it belongs to class Point, not to instances of Point, so references to instance variables x and y inside main are not legal.

Question 4. (2 points) Another colleague is learning Swing graphics and has created the following code to draw a couple of nested circles inside a JPanel that will later be added to a JFrame window. But it doesn't work. What's the problem?

```
import java.awt.*;
import javax.swing.*;

public class SimpleWindow extends JPanel {
    /** Paint a red circle when called */
    public void paintComponent(Graphics g) {
        g = new Graphics();
        g.setColor(Color.red);
        g.fillOval(100,100,200,200);
        g.setColor(Color.blue);
        g.fillOval(150,150,100,100);
    }
}
```

Method paintComponent should not allocate a new Graphics object; it should paint using the one that is passed as a parameter. Because it allocates a new one, it paints on the local Graphics object, not the parameter, so nothing appears on the screen.

Question 5. (3 points) We've claimed that the model-view-controller design is much better than, for instance, having all of the code intermingled in the same class(es). Give two reasons why model-view-controller is the better design.

A few possible reasons:

- 1. It reduces coupling between the model and the user interface code. That allows changes to either one without affecting the other, as long as the interfaces between the two don't change.
- 2. It is possible to add additional views and controllers with little danger of introducing errors into the model.
- 3. Better (higher) cohesion. In MVC, each component is responsible for one distinct part of the application, rather than having these functions intermingled together.

Question 6. (2 points) Typically when we use container classes we put an import statements at the beginning of the file.

```
import java.util.*;
public class Example {
   public static void main(String[] args) {
        ArrayList a = new ArrayList();
        ...
   }
}
```

Recently, the boss read something on the web that claimed that import statements are evil. You have been ordered to remove all of the import statements from your code. Is this possible? Can you rewrite the code to remove every import and still have the program work as before? If so, how?

Yes. We can always refer to a type in Java by using its full name (package name plus short name). If the import statement is removed from the above code, it will work exactly the same if we change the first line of method main to read

```
java.util.ArrayList a = new java.util.ArrayList();
```