

Optional (!) Reading

Justification of Quadratic Probing with Load Factor $< 1/2$

[This proof is also in the textbook, but it omits a couple details that were not obvious to your instructor.]

Theorem: If an open-addressing hash table has a size `TableSize` that is a prime number, then quadratic probing will always find an empty hash-table position provided the table has fewer than `TableSize/2` elements (i.e., the load factor is $< 1/2$).

Proof:

Recall that our quadratic probing function will look at index:

$$(h(\text{key}) + i*i) \% \text{TableSize}$$

for the i^{th} probe. Also note the theorem assumes `TableSize` is prime.

Because the table is less than half full, it suffices to show that the first `TableSize/2` probes will never try the same table index more than once. Assume for purpose of contradiction this is not true. Then there exist j and k such that

1. $j \neq k$
2. j and k are greater than 0 and less than `TableSize/2`
3. $(h(\text{key}) + j*j) \% \text{TableSize} == (h(\text{key}) + k*k) \% \text{TableSize}$

Given (3), we can derive:

- A. $(j*j) \% \text{TableSize} == (k*k) \% \text{TableSize}$
by subtracting $h(\text{key}) \% \text{TableSize}$ from both sides
- B. $(j*j - k*k) \% \text{TableSize} == 0$
by subtracting $(k*k) \% \text{TableSize}$ from both sides
- C. $((j+k)*(j-k)) \% \text{TableSize} == 0$
by factoring

From (C), we can claim that either $(j+k) \% \text{TableSize} == 0$ or $(j-k) \% \text{TableSize} == 0$ because for all x , y , and p , if p is prime and $x*y \% p == 0$, then $x \% p == 0$ or $y \% p == 0$. We prove this lemma below. (In this case, let $x = j+k$, $y = j-k$, and $p = \text{TableSize}$.) But it cannot be that $(j-k) \% \text{TableSize} == 0$ because (1) ensures $j \neq k$ and (2) ensures j and k cannot differ by a factor of `TableSize` (since they are both less than `TableSize / 2`). So the only remaining possibility is $(j+k) \% \text{TableSize} == 0$. But (2) also ensures $j+k$ must be less than `TableSize` and greater than 0, so we have a contradiction. Therefore, the first `TableSize/2` probes never repeat a table index.

Lemma: For all non-negative integers x , y , and p , if p is prime and $x*y \% p == 0$, then $x \% p == 0$ or $y \% p == 0$.

Proof:

Since $x*y \% p == 0$, there exists a non-negative integer c such that $x*y == c*p$. If $c==0$, then either $x==0$ or $y==0$, so either $x \% p == 0$ or $y \% p == 0$. If $c > 0$, then consider the prime factorizations of x , y , and c -- write them as $x_1*x_2*...*x_i$, $y_1*y_2*...*y_j$, and $c_1*c_2*...*c_k$ respectively. Since p is prime, its prime factorization is p . Then $x_1*x_2*...*x_i*y_1*y_2*...*y_j == c_1*c_2*...*c_k*p$. But the prime

factorization of any number is unique. So at least one of the prime factors of x or y must be p , which means $x \% p == 0$ or $y \% p == 0$ as any multiple of p is 0 modulo p .

Note the lemma's proof relies on p being prime. As an example of a non-prime, suppose $x = 4$, $y = 15$, and $p = 6$. Neither $4 \% 6 == 0$ nor $15 \% 6 == 0$, but $4*15 \% 6 == 0$. Notice how the prime factorization works out with $c=10$: $2*2 * 3*5 = 2*5 * 2*3$. The two sides have the same terms, but neither x nor y contain all the factors of p -- both x and y contain some factors of p and some of c .