

ShOpt.jl: A Julia Package for Empirical Point Spread Function Characterization of JWST NIRCам Data

Edward Berman¹ and Jacqueline McCleary¹

¹ Northeastern University, USA ¶ Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

In partnership with



This article and software are linked with research article DOI [10.3847/xxxxx](https://doi.org/10.3847/xxxxx), published in the Astronomical Journal.

Summary

When astronomers capture images of the night sky, several factors – ranging from diffraction and optical aberrations to atmospheric turbulence and telescope jitter – affect the incoming light. The resulting distortion and blurring are summarized in the image's point spread function (PSF), the response of an optical system to an idealized point source. The PSF can obscure or even mimic the astronomical signal of interest, making its accurate characterization essential. By effectively modeling the PSF, we can predict image distortions at any location and proceed to deconvolve the PSF, ultimately reconstructing distortion-free images.

The PSF characterization methods used by astronomers fall into two main classes: forward-modeling approaches, which use physical optics propagation based on models of the optics, and empirical approaches, which use stars as fixed points to model and interpolate the PSF across the rest of the image. (Stars are essentially point sources before their light passes through the atmosphere and telescope, so the shape and size of their surface brightness profiles define the PSF at that location.) Empirical PSF characterization proceeds by first cataloging the observed stars, separating the catalog into validation and training samples, and interpolating the training stars across the field of view of the camera. After training, the PSF model can be validated by comparing the reserved stars to the PSF model's prediction.

Shear Optimization with ShOpt.jl introduces modern techniques, tailored to James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) imaging, for empirical PSF characterization across the field of view. ShOpt has two modes of operation: approximating stars with analytic profiles, and a more realistic pixel-level representation. Both modes take as input a catalog with image cutouts – or “vignettes” – of the stars targeted for analysis.




Figure 1: ShOpt Workflow

Statement of need

Empirical PSF characterization tools like PSFEx (Bertin, 2011) and PIFF (Jarvis et al., 2020) are widely popular in astrophysics. However, the quality of PIFF and PSFEx models tends to be quite sensitive to the parameter values used to run the software, with optimization sometimes relying on brute-force guess-and-check runs. PIFF is also notably inefficient for large, well-sampled images, taking hours in the worst cases. The James Webb Space Telescope's (JWST) Near Infrared Camera (NIRCam) offers vast scientific opportunities (Casey, Kartaltepe, et al., 2023); at the same time, this unprecedented data brings new challenges for PSF modeling:

- (1) Analytic functions like Gaussians are incomplete descriptions of the NIRCam PSF, as evident from Figure 2. This calls for well-thought-out, non-parametric modeling and diagnostic tools that can capture the full dynamic range of the NIRCam PSF. ShOpt

provides these models and diagnostics out of the box.

(2) The NIRCam detectors have pixel scales of 0.03 (short wavelength channel) and 0.06 (long wavelength channel) arcseconds per pixel (Beichman et al., 2012; Rieke et al., 2003, 2005). At these pixel scales, star vignettes need to be at least 131 by 131 pixels across to fully capture the wings of the PSFs (4-5 arcseconds). These vignette sizes are 3-5 times larger than the ones used in surveys such as DES (Jarvis et al., 2020) and SuperBIT (McCleary et al., 2023) and force us to evaluate how well existing PSF fitters scale to this size. Sh0pt has been designed for computational efficiency and aims to meet the requirements of detectors like NIRCam.




Figure 2: The plot on the left shows the average cutout of all stars in a supplied catalog. The plot in the middle shows the average point spread function model for each star. The plot on the right shows the average normalized error between the observed star cutouts and the point spread function model.

Sh0pt bridges the speed of PSFex with the features of PIFF using fewer configurable hyperparameters. Sh0pt employs a myriad of techniques to optimize the speed of the program. First and foremost, Sh0pt is equipped with support for multithreading. Polynomial interpolation is used for handling PSF variations across the field of view. The polynomials given to each basis element of the PSF are independent of one another and therefore can be distributed to different CPU threads to be run in parallel. Sh0pt also introduces new methods for fitting both analytic profiles and pixel based profiles. If an analytic profile is used to model the PSF, then there are 3 basis elements parameterizing the model. We choose 2D elliptical Gaussians for these analytic profiles because they are cheap to compute. Moreover, we use the Limited Memory Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno algorithm (LBFGS) to find these parameters. This is faster but more memory intensive than Conjugate Gradient, the algorithm used in PIFF. Moreover, the 3 basis elements are constrained to the manifold $B_2(r) \times \mathbb{R}_+$. We constructed a function that maps any point in \mathbb{R}^3 into $B_2(r) \times \mathbb{R}_+$. The LBFGS algorithm uses successive iterations to converge to a solution for the 3 basis elements, and so we use this function to ensure that our update steps in LBFGS do not leave the constraint. For the pixel basis, both PIFF and PSFex approximate the PSF by minimizing the reduced χ^2 between a grid of pixels and a star vignette. PCA can quickly achieve the same purpose of approximating the input vignette without overfitting to background noise. We also provide an autoencoder mode, which uses deep learning to reconstruct the image. The weights and biases are not reset between stars, so the knowledge of how to reconstruct one star is transferred to the next. This in turn leads to less training iterations. Finally, Sh0pt is written in Julia. Julia uses a just in time compiler which makes it faster than interpreted languages such as Python and has shown to be a good choice for performance critical code (Stanitzki & Strube, 2021).

70 State of the Field

71 The JWST captures images at high resolution and at wavelengths of light that have been
72 previously unexplored (Gardner et al., 2006). With these images we are seeing farther into the
73 early universe than we ever have before. The difficulties of producing good PSF models for
74 the JWST are emblematic of a larger problem: Our data sets are getting bigger and existing
75 software was not built to scale. That is to say, the advancements in software are falling
76 behind the advances in instrumentation. Not only does Sh0pt produces PSF models for JWST
77 NIRCam images, it also sets the precedent for designing software that scales.

78 There are several existing empirical PSF fitters, in addition to a forward model of the JWST
79 PSFs developed by STScI (Jarvis et al., 2020 ; Bertin, 2011; Perrin et al., 2014 ; Perrin et
80 al., 2012). We describe them here and draw attention to their strengths and weaknesses to
81 further motivate the development of Sh0pt.jl. As described in the statement of need, PSFex
82 was one of the first precise and general purpose tools used for empirical PSF fitting. However,
83 the Dark Energy Survey collaboration reported small but noticeable discrepancies between the
84 sizes of PSFex models and the sizes of observed stars. They also reported ripple-like patterns
85 in the spatial variation of star-PSF residuals across the field of view (Jarvis et al., 2020), which
86 they attributed to the astrometric distortion solutions for the Dark Energy Camera.

87 These findings motivated the Dark Energy Survey's development of PIFF (Point Spread
88 Functions in the Full Field of View). PIFF works in sky coordinates on the focal plane, as
89 opposed to image pixel coordinates used in PSFex, which minimized the ripple patterns in
90 the star-PSF residuals and the PSF model size bias. (Based on the DES findings, Sh0pt
91 also works directly in sky coordinates.) PIFF is written in Python, a language with a large
92 infrastructure for astronomical data analysis, for example Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et
93 al., 2022) and Galsim (Rowe et al., 2015). The choice of language makes PIFF software
94 more accessible to programmers in the astrophysics community than PSFex, which was first
95 written in C twenty-five years ago and much less approachable for a community of open source
96 developers. One of the motivations of Sh0pt was to write astrophysics specific software in
97 Julia, because Julia provides a good balance of readability and speed with its high-level
98 functional paradigm and just-in-time compiler (Stanitzki & Strube, 2021). Julia ranks behind
99 Python, IDL, Matlab, and Fortran in full-text mentions in astronomical literature (Collaboration
100 et al., 2022). We are optimistic that Sh0pt will demonstrate that Julia is an appealing choice
101 for programming in astronomy despite its low adoption to date. There is also recent work on
102 using PSFr for PSF reconstructions that has been applied to JWST data (Ding et al., 2022;
103 Merlin et al., 2022; Santini et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2022). Similar to Sh0pt, PSFr begins its
104 PSF modeling by stacking stars to form an initial guess. However, rather than using polynomial
105 interpolation to address spatial variations, PSFr employs an iterative process of shifting the
106 pixels in its PSF model. This process continues until the model can adequately represent all of
107 the stars in the catalog. Finally, the PSF fitter STARRED (Michalewicz et al., 2023) has been
108 shown to produce PSF models competitive with PSFr and PSFex. Like Sh0pt, STARRED puts
109 an emphasis on computational efficiency and uses the JAX package (Schoenholz & Cubuk,
110 2019) to achieve just-in-time compilation. There is future work to be done to benchmarking
111 all of these empirical approaches on JWST NIRCam data.

112 While WebbPSF provides highly precise forward models of the JWST PSF, these models
113 are defined for single-epoch exposures (Perrin et al., 2014, 2012). Much of the NIRCam
114 science is accomplished with image mosaics – essentially, the combination of single exposure
115 detector images into a larger, deeper image. The rotation of the camera between exposures,
116 the astrometric transformations and resampling of images before their combination into a
117 mosaic, and the mosaic's large area all make the application of WebbPSF models to mosaics a
118 non-trivial procedure. This has been done quite effectively (Ji et al., 2023), however, it is not
119 as easy to reproduce compared to running an empirical characterization tool like Sh0pt.

120 As outlined in the state of the field, Sh0pt is a tool built with the user experience in mind
121 that attempts to bridge the strengths of existing PSF fitters. Sh0pt's combination of speed,

122 user friendliness, and accuracy enable the science goals of the COSMOS-Web survey, detailed
123 below.

124 The COMOS-Web survey is the largest cycle 1 JWST extragalactic survey according to area
125 and prime time allocation (Casey, Kartaltepe, et al., 2023), and takes up 0.54 deg^2 (Beichman
126 et al., 2012; Rieke et al., 2023). Among other science goals, the COMOS-Web survey will
127 use the JWST to detect thousands of galaxies in the Epoch of Reionization ($6 \sim z \sim 11$) to
128 create one of the highest resolution large scale structure maps of the early universe (Casey,
129 Kartaltepe, et al., 2023). JWST data has also been used to pick out active galactic nuclei from
130 host galaxies (Zhuang & Shen, 2023) and indentify 15 candidate galaxies whose luminosities
131 push the limits of our Λ CDM galaxy formation models (Casey, Akins, et al., 2023). These
132 science cases all rely upon good PSF modeling and underscore the importance of ShOpt.

133 Acknowledgements

134 This material is based upon work supported by a Northeastern University Undergraduate
135 Research and Fellowships PEAK Experiences Award. E.B. was also supported by a Northeastern
136 University Physics Department Co-op Research Fellowship. Support for COSMOS-Web was
137 provided by NASA through grant JWST-GO-01727 and HST-AR-15802 awarded by the Space
138 Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in
139 Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. This work was made possible by utilizing
140 the CANDIDE cluster at the Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris. Further support was provided
141 by Research Computers at Northeastern University. Additionally, E.B. thanks Professor David
142 Rosen for giving some valuable insights during the early stages of this work. The authors
143 gratefully acknowledge the use of simulated and real data from the COSMOS-Web survey in
144 developing ShOpt, as well as many conversations with COSMOS-Web scientists.

145 References

- 146 Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., Earl, N., Starkman, N., Bradley, L.,
147 Shupe, D. L., Patil, A. A., Corrales, L., Brasseur, C. E., Nöthe, M., Donath, A., Tollerud,
148 E., Morris, B. M., Ginsburg, A., Vaher, E., Weaver, B. A., Tocknell, J., Jamieson, W., ...
149 Astropy Project Contributors. (2022). The Astropy Project: Sustaining and Growing a
150 Community-oriented Open-source Project and the Latest Major Release (v5.0) of the Core
151 Package. 935(2), 167. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74>
- 152 Beichman, C. A., Rieke, M., Eisenstein, D., Greene, T. P., Krist, J., McCarthy, D., Meyer, M.,
153 & Stansberry, J. (2012). Science opportunities with the near-IR camera (NIRCam) on the
154 James Webb Space Telescope (JWST). In M. C. Clampin, G. G. Fazio, H. A. MacEwen, &
155 Jr. Oschmann Jacobus M. (Eds.), *Space telescopes and instrumentation 2012: Optical,*
156 *infrared, and millimeter wave* (Vol. 8442, p. 84422N). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.925447>
- 157 Bertin, E. (2011). Automated Morphometry with SExtractor and PSFEx. In I. N. Evans, A.
158 Accomazzi, D. J. Mink, & A. H. Rots (Eds.), *Astronomical data analysis software and*
159 *systems XX* (Vol. 442, p. 435).
- 160 Casey, C. M., Akins, H. B., Shuntov, M., Ilbert, O., Paquereau, L., Franco, M., Hayward, C.
161 C., Finkelstein, S. L., Boylan-Kolchin, M., Robertson, B. E., Allen, N., Brinch, M., Cooper,
162 O. R., Ding, X., Drakos, N. E., Faisst, A. L., Fujimoto, S., Gillman, S., Harish, S., ...
163 Zavala, J. A. (2023). *COSMOS-web: Intrinsically luminous $z \gtrsim 10$ galaxy candidates test*
164 *early stellar mass assembly*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.10932>
- 165 Casey, C. M., Kartaltepe, J. S., Drakos, N. E., Franco, M., Harish, S., Paquereau, L., Ilbert, O.,
166 Rose, C., Cox, I. G., Nightingale, J. W., Robertson, B. E., Silverman, J. D., Koekemoer, A.
167 M., Massey, R., McCracken, H. J., Rhodes, J., Akins, H. B., Amvrosiadis, A., Arango-Toro,

- 168 R. C., ... Zavala, J. A. (2023). *COSMOS-web: An overview of the JWST cosmic origins*
169 *survey*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.07865>
- 170 Collaboration, T. A., Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., Earl, N., Starkman, N., Bradley, L.,
171 Shupe, D. L., Patil, A. A., Corrales, L., Brasseur, C. E., Nöthe, M., Donath, A., Tollerud,
172 E., Morris, B. M., Ginsburg, A., Vaher, E., Weaver, B. A., Tocknell, J., Jamieson, W., ...
173 Contributors, A. P. (2022). The astropy project: Sustaining and growing a community-
174 oriented open-source project and the latest major release (v5.0) of the core package*. *The*
175 *Astrophysical Journal*, 935(2), 167. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74>
- 176 Ding, X., Silverman, J. D., & Onoue, M. (2022). Opening the Era of Quasar-host Studies at
177 High Redshift with JWST. 939(2), L28. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac9c02>
- 178 Gardner, J. P., Mather, J. C., Clampin, M., Doyon, R., Greenhouse, M. A., Hammel, H. B.,
179 Hutchings, J. B., Jakobsen, P., Lilly, S. J., Long, K. S., Lunine, J. I., Mccaughrean, M. J.,
180 Mountain, M., Nella, J., Rieke, G. H., Rieke, M. J., Rix, H.-W., Smith, E. P., Sonneborn,
181 G., ... Wright, G. S. (2006). The james webb space telescope. *Space Science Reviews*,
182 123(4), 485–606. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-006-8315-7>
- 183 Jarvis, M., Bernstein, G. M., Amon, A., Davis, C., Lé get, P. F., Bechtol, K., Harrison, I., Gatti,
184 M., Roodman, A., Chang, C., Chen, R., Choi, A., Desai, S., Drlica-Wagner, A., Gruen, D.,
185 Gruendl, R. A., Hernandez, A., MacCrann, N., Meyers, J., ... and, R. D. W. (2020). Dark
186 energy survey year 3 results: Point spread function modelling. *Monthly Notices of the*
187 *Royal Astronomical Society*, 501(1), 1282–1299. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3679>
- 188 Ji, Z., Williams, C. C., Tacchella, S., Suess, K. A., Baker, W. M., Alberts, S., Bunker,
189 A. J., Johnson, B. D., Robertson, B., Sun, F., Eisenstein, D. J., Rieke, M., Maseda,
190 M. V., Hainline, K., Hausen, R., Rieke, G., Willmer, C. N. A., Egami, E., Shivaiei,
191 I., ... Sandles, L. (2023). *JADES + JEMS: A detailed look at the buildup of central*
192 *stellar cores and suppression of star formation in galaxies at redshifts $3 < z < 4.5$* .
193 <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18518>
- 194 McCleary, J. E., Everett, S. W., Shaaban, M. M., Gill, A. S., Vassilakis, G. N., Huff, E. M.,
195 Massey, R. J., Benton, S. J., Brown, A. M., Clark, P., & others. (2023). Lensing in the
196 blue II: Estimating the sensitivity of stratospheric balloons to weak gravitational lensing.
197 *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2307.03295*.
- 198 Merlin, E., Bonchi, A., Paris, D., Belfiori, D., Fontana, A., Castellano, M., Nonino, M., Polenta,
199 G., Santini, P., Yang, L., Glazebrook, K., Treu, T., Roberts-Borsani, G., Trenti, M., Birrer,
200 S., Brammer, G., Grillo, C., Calabrò, A., Marchesini, D., ... Wang, X. (2022). Early Results
201 from GLASS-JWST. II. NIRCам Extragalactic Imaging and Photometric Catalog. 938(2),
202 L14. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac8f93>
- 203 Michalewicz, K., Millon, M., Dux, F., & Courbin, F. (2023). STARRED: A two-channel
204 deconvolution method with starlet regularization. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 8(85),
205 5340.
- 206 Perrin, M. D., Sivaramakrishnan, A., Lajoie, C.-P., Elliott, E., Pueyo, L., Ravindranath, S., &
207 Albert, Loic. (2014). Updated point spread function simulations for JWST with WebbPSF.
208 In Jr. Oschmann Jacobus M., M. Clampin, G. G. Fazio, & H. A. MacEwen (Eds.), *Space*
209 *telescopes and instrumentation 2014: Optical, infrared, and millimeter wave* (Vol. 9143, p.
210 91433X). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2056689>
- 211 Perrin, M. D., Soummer, R., Elliott, E. M., Lallo, M. D., & Sivaramakrishnan, A. (2012).
212 Simulating point spread functions for the James Webb Space Telescope with WebbPSF. In
213 M. C. Clampin, G. G. Fazio, H. A. MacEwen, & Jr. Oschmann Jacobus M. (Eds.), *Space*
214 *telescopes and instrumentation 2012: Optical, infrared, and millimeter wave* (Vol. 8442, p.
215 84423D). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.925230>

- 216 Rieke, M. J., Baum, S. A., Beichman, C. A., Crampton, D., Doyon, R., Eisenstein, D., Greene,
217 T. P., Hodapp, K.-W., Horner, S. D., Johnstone, D., Lesyna, L., Lilly, S., Meyer, M.,
218 Martin, P., Jr., D. W. M., Rieke, G. H., Roellig, T. L., Stauffer, J., Trauger, J. T., & Young,
219 E. T. (2003). NGST NIRCам scientific program and design concept. In J. C. Mather (Ed.),
220 *IR space telescopes and instruments* (Vol. 4850, pp. 478–485). International Society for
221 Optics; Photonics; SPIE. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.489103>
- 222 Rieke, M. J., Kelly, D. M., Misselt, K., Stansberry, J., Boyer, M., Beatty, T., Egami, E., Florian,
223 M., Greene, T. P., Hainline, K., Leisenring, J., Roellig, T., Schlawin, E., Sun, F., Tinnin,
224 L., Williams, C. C., Willmer, C. N. A., Wilson, D., Clark, C. R., ... Young, E. T. (2023).
225 Performance of NIRCам on JWST in flight. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of*
226 *the Pacific*, 135(1044), 028001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/acac53>
- 227 Rieke, M. J., Kelly, D., & Horner, S. (2005). Overview of James Webb Space Telescope and
228 NIRCам's Role. In J. B. Heaney & L. G. Burriesci (Eds.), *Cryogenic optical systems and*
229 *instruments XI* (Vol. 5904, pp. 1–8). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.615554>
- 230 Rowe, B., Jarvis, M., Mandelbaum, R., Bernstein, G. M., Bosch, J., Simet, M., Meyers, J.
231 E., Kacprzak, T., Nakajima, R., Zuntz, J., Miyatake, H., Dietrich, J. P., Armstrong, R.,
232 Melchior, P., & Gill, M. S. S. (2015). *GalSim: The modular galaxy image simulation*
233 *toolkit*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7676>
- 234 Santini, P., Fontana, A., Castellano, M., Leethochawalit, N., Trenti, M., Treu, T., Belfiori, D.,
235 Birrer, S., Bonchi, A., Merlin, E., Mason, C., Morishita, T., Nonino, M., Paris, D., Polenta,
236 G., Rosati, P., Yang, L., Boyett, K., Bradac, M., ... Wang, X. (2023). Early Results from
237 GLASS-JWST. XI. Stellar Masses and Mass-to-light Ratio of $z > 7$ Galaxies. 942(2), L27.
238 <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac9586>
- 239 Schoenholz, S. S., & Cubuk, E. D. (2019). *Jax md: End-to-end differentiable, hardware*
240 *accelerated, molecular dynamics in pure python*.
- 241 Stanitzki, M., & Strube, J. (2021). Performance of julia for high energy physics analyses. *Com-*
242 *puting and Software for Big Science*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41781-021-00053-3>
- 243 Yang, L., Morishita, T., Leethochawalit, N., Castellano, M., Calabrò, A., Treu, T., Bonchi, A.,
244 Fontana, A., Mason, C., Merlin, E., Paris, D., Trenti, M., Roberts-Borsani, G., Bradac,
245 M., Vanzella, E., Vulcani, B., Marchesini, D., Ding, X., Nanayakkara, T., ... Wang, X.
246 (2022). Early Results from GLASS-JWST. V: The First Rest-frame Optical Size-Luminosity
247 Relation of Galaxies at $z > 7$. 938(2), L17. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac8803>
- 248 Zhuang, M.-Y., & Shen, Y. (2023). *Characterization of JWST NIRCам PSFs and implications*
249 *for AGN+host image decomposition*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.13776>