

PREDICTING OUTCOMES OF NFL MATCHUPS

Louie Bafford

PROBLEM CONTEXT

- ▶ NFL has a huge market – 8 Billion in revenue in 2017
- ▶ Top experts ~67% accurate

Predicting NFL Outcomes

- ▶ Sports gambling platforms
- ▶ NFL Analysts and other analysis platforms

Understanding important components of a winning team

- ▶ Owners, GMs, Coaches, Talent Scouts, Agents

DATA COLLECTION

Online API - <https://profootballapi.com/>

- ▶ Flexible data collection and feature engineering
- ▶ Leverages domain knowledge
- ▶ Aggregate statistics at a yearly level for each team
- ▶ Compare statistics for each team matchup
- ▶ Predict winner based upon matchup

FEATURES


- ▶ Data from 2011 to 2017 season
- ▶ Features should cover many aspects of gameplay
- ▶ Overall ~40 features were chosen (~20 for each team per matchup)
- ▶ Focus on features which can influence team decisions

Feature	Value
QB Pass/Run ratio	Effectiveness of QB playstyle
Average Pass Length	Effectiveness of play call styles
Turnovers	Impact of turnovers influence risky play calling

EXPLORATORY ANALYSIS


Average statistics of winning teams

- ▶ Turnovers are most significant
- ▶ Features are more extreme for away teams




STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- ▶ Statistically significant correlation between low passing ratio and winning percentage
- ▶ P-value < .01



- ▶ Hypothesis test statistically validates home field advantage
- ▶ P-value < .01



BUILDING THE MODEL

Explore and tune a list of applicable models

- ▶ Linear Regression
- ▶ Logistic Regression
- ▶ SVM
- ▶ Random Forrest

Choose top model and explore for insights

Several white lines of varying lengths and slopes are positioned in the bottom right corner of the slide, creating a modern, abstract graphic element.

LINEAR MODEL


- ▶ Train model on the score difference (an integer outcome)
- ▶ Convert to binary classification to tune using accuracy as the performance metric

Training
Accuracy

69.2%

Assumption – predictions are normally distributed around correct value

QQPlot shows non-normal distribution weakening the validity of the model



CLASSIFICATION MODELS

Logistic Regression

- ▶ Top performance among models
- ▶ Feature importance visibility

Random Forest

- ▶ Poor model performance
- ▶ Feature importance visibility

SVM

- ▶ Top performance among models
- ▶ No feature visibility

Model	Training Accuracy
Logistic	70.28%
Random Forest	63.2%
SVM	70.34%

Logistic Regression selected as final model

Test Accuracy: **66.36%**

TAKEAWAYS


Very Noisy Data

- ▶ Adding features caused overfitting
- ▶ Model performed best with limited features

Important Features for Model

- ▶ Low Pass/Run ratio
- ▶ Minimize turnovers
- ▶ High Completion %
- ▶ High Sack Count

IMPROVEMENTS

- ▶ Less noisy/more relevant features
 - ▶ Further explore feature Engineering
 - ▶ Hierarchical Models? – lots of correlation between features
- 
- A series of three parallel white lines of varying lengths, slanted upwards from left to right, located in the bottom right corner of the slide.

SOURCES

Revenue

<https://qz.com/1383416/amid-controversy-the-nfl-is-still-thriving-financially/>

Analyst Predictions

<https://www.fantasyfootballnerd.com/nfl-picks/accuracy>