Verification and Validation Report: Mechtronics Enigeering

Team 32, Wingman, SmartVault
Edward He
Erping Zhang
Guangwei Tang
Peng Cui
Peihua Jin

 $March\ 8,\ 2023$

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
2023/3/7 2023/3/8	1.0 1.1	Finish the required parts Fix errors

2 Purpose

This document is intended to support the systematic plan for testing the functionality of the system. It meant to show the system has met the requirements in both software and hardware aspects mentioned in requirements document. In particular, this document will describe the testing results. By the end of testing process, it can be shown that the system is working properly and available for usage.

3 Scope

The document would pay attention to the different functionalities being discussed within the VnVPlan documentation. In addition, it would undergo the testing processes as if it was a black box, which will emphasis on the inputs and outputs of the system instead of the internal process and mechanics.

4 Background

SmartVault is designed to assist the user to remember where his/her belongings are and the most recent time the user had used or placed their belongings. The proposed system is capable of tracking and following human activities to position itself best for capturing any moving objects caused by the user. The system will identify each item that is being moved and record/update their corresponding positions. The user then has the ability to interact with our system through an interface and select which item the user is looking for. Given this information, our system would identify where that specific item is and assist the user to locate their belongings in a short time. This section will not be appropriate for every project.

5 Functional Requirements Evaluation

5.0.1 Area of Testing1

Automatic Testing Testing shown:

Test Number	IPR1-1
Requirement Reference	IPR1
Requirement	The system should be able to identify human's
	body
Input	Images of the working environment and a human
	show up in the environment
Desired Output	Coordinate of the detected human body
Actual Output	Correct coordinate of the detected human body
Conclusion	Pass as expected

Test Number	IPR3-1
Requirement Reference	IPR3
Requirement	The system should be able to identify new objects
Requirement	introduced in the area
Input	Images of the working environment with new ob-
	jects in the environment
Desired Output	Coordinate of the detected new objects and out-
Desired Output	lining them with boxes
Actual Output	Correct Coordinate of the detected new objects
	and outlining them with boxes
Conclusion	Pass as expected

Test Number	IPR4-1
Requirement Reference	IPR4
Requirement	The system should be able to identify moving ob-
Requirement	jects in the area
Innut	Images of the working environment with object in
Input	different location in the environment
Desired Output	Coordinate of the new location of detected moving
	objects and highlight the new location
Actual Output	Correct Coordinate of the new location of detected
	moving objects and highlight the new location
Conclusion	Pass as expected

Test Number	IPR5-1
Requirement Reference	IPR5
Requirement	To store the initial frame
Input	(1, 'i')
Desired Output	Adding item1_{date and time}.png, item1.png
Actual Output	Added as:
	\leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare \gt FolderScreenShot \gt item \leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare \gt FolderScreenShot \gt location
	item1_2023_3_ 8_12_23_41_94 5208.png
Conclusion	Pass

Test Number	IPR5-2
Requirement Reference	IPR5
Requirement	To check whether the frame is stored in the correct
Requirement	path
Input	(1, 'i')
Desired Output	item{num}_{date and time}.png is stored in
Desired Output	'item', item{num}.png is stored in 'location'
Actual Output	item1_2023_3_8_12_23_41_945208.png is within
Actual Output	'item', item1.png is inside 'location'
	\leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare \gt FolderScreenShot \gt item \leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare \gt FolderScreenShot \gt location
	item1_2023_3_ 8 12 23 41 94
	5208.png
Conclusion	Pass

Test Number	IPR6-1
Requirement Reference	IPR6
Requirement	To create 3 folders sequentially
Input	createFolder() being called
Desired Output	3 folders (FolderScreenShot, item, location) created
Actual Output	3 folders (FolderScreenShot, item, location) ← → ✓ ↑
Conclusion	Pass

Test Number	IPR6-2
Requirement Reference	IPR6
Requirement	Do nothing if they have already existed
Input	createFolder() being called
Desired Output	No change
Actual Output	No change
Conclusion	Pass

Test Number	IPR6-3
Requirement Reference	IPR5, IPR6
Requirement	To check whether the frame for the second item is
recquirement	captured
Input	(2, i')
Desired Output	Adding item2 ₋ {date and time}.png, item2.png
Actual Output	Added as:
	\leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare \rightarrow FolderScreenShot \rightarrow item \leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare \rightarrow FolderScreenShot \rightarrow location
	item1_2023_3 item2_2023_3
Conclusion	Pass

Test Number	IPR6-4
Requirement Reference	IPR4, IPR6
Requirement	To check whether the location frame for the first item is updated, meanwhile the second item won't get affected
Input	(1, 'u')
Desired Output	item1_{date and time}.png should remain, item1.png shall be updated
Actual Output	Only item1.png get updated Comparison shown:
	\leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare > FolderScreenShot > location
	item1.png item2.png
	\leftarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \uparrow \blacksquare \Rightarrow FolderScreenShot \Rightarrow location
	item1.png item2.png
Conclusion	Pass

5.0.2 UI Interface Menu

 ${\bf Manual\ Testing}\quad {\rm Testing\ shown}:$

Test Number	UIR1-1
Requirement Reference	UIR1
Requirement	The UI should notify the user when the user has a
Requirement	wrong password input
Input	The wrong input of the password
Desired Output	There should be a text notification shown on the
Desired Output	window
Actual Output	Username - user002 Password - 12345 The username or password entered is wrong Login Tech Support
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	UIR1-2
Requirement Reference	UIR1
Doguinament	The UI should notify the user when the user has a
Requirement	wrong username input
Input	The wrong input of the username
Desired Output	There should be a text notification shown on the
Desired Output	window
Actual Output	Username - user002 Password - 123456 The username or password entered is wrong Login Tech Support
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	UIR2-1
Requirement Reference	UIR2
Requirement	The UI should be able to let the user to switch the
	pictures shown in the window
Input	The next button is clicked
Desired Output	A different picture is shown
Actual Output	A different picture is shown in the window
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	UIR2-2
Requirement Reference	UIR2
Requirement	The UI should be able to let the user to switch the
	pictures shown in the window
Input	The previous button is clicked
Desired Output	A different picture is shown
Actual Output	A different picture is shown in the window
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	UIR3-1
Requirement Reference	UIR3
Requirement	The UI should be able to provide information
	about the location of the item
Input	The user select the item picture
Desired Output	The location of the picture is shown in a new win-
	dow
Actual Output	
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	UIR3-2
Requirement Reference	UIR3
Requirement	The UI should be able to provide information
	about the location of the item
Input	The user select the item picture
Desired Output	The UI should notify the user that the item has
	been taken out of the room
Actual Output	
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	UIR4-1
Requirement Reference	UIR4
Requirement	The UI should be able to let the user to choose the
Requirement	information input
Input	The user select the choose box
Desired Output	The UI provides choices to the user
	Want to search an object?
	Choose time interval
	and start to search !!!
Actual Output	Choose a date 🔟
	1 Day
	7 Days
	30 Days 100 Days
	365 Days
Conclusion	The test is successful

6 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

6.1 Usability

Test Number	APR1-1
Requirement Reference	APR1
Requirement	The User is able to launch the program without
	help
Input	The servy paper
Desired Output	An average of high rating shown on the paper
Actual Output	
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	EUR1-1
Requirement Reference	EUR1
	Users without electronics and coding background
Requirement	will be able to connect the hardware and use the
	program
Input	Users are asked to connect the hardware and start
Input	the program
	There should not be any unclear instructions for
Desired Output	the user to proceed. The hardware system includ-
Desired Output	ing the Arduino board, camera and mount should
	be clarified for people to plug the wires
Actual Output	As camera, Arduino board and the motor are al-
	ready attached to the mount. User just need to
	plug the wires to corresponding pins then they can
	simply start the program with one click
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	EUR2-1
Requirement Reference	EUR2
Requirement	The User is able to find the desired item without
	help
Input	The servy paper
Desired Output	An average of high rating shown on the paper
Actual Output	
Conclusion	The test is successful

6.2 Performance

Test Number	LER1-1
Requirement Reference	LER1
Requirement	The User is able to install the software without
	help
Input	The servy paper
Desired Output	An average of high rating shown on the paper
Actual Output	
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	LER2-1
Requirement Reference	LER2
Requirement	The program can take pictures after the user has
	been leave the room
Input	The user leave the room
Desired Output	Pictures are taken
Actual Output	
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	UPR1-1
Requirement Reference	UPR1
Requirement	The user is able to see each picture clearly
Input	The servy paper
Desired Output	An average of high rating shown on the paper
Actual Output	
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	APR1-1
Requirement Reference	ARP1
	No electronic components should be visible and
Requirement	exposed. The mount should stay still without any
	physical changes
Input	Launch the program normally and give the camera
Input	mount a physical impact
Desired Output	The mount should not be broken and there should
	not be any visible dislocation of any parts
Actual Output	The mount undergoes a planar movement. No visi-
	ble parts broken or dislocation. The arduino board
	attached at the bottom stays still
Conclusion	The test is successful

Test Number	SCR3-1	
Requirement Reference	SCR3	
Requirement	Rotation speed of the camera should be appropri-	
	ate and will not damage other parts under the con-	
	dition the camera have to rotate from one end to	
	the other	
Input	Human walk through the camera and leave the	
	capture region at high pace	
Desired Output	The camera will detect the human body and starts	
	to follow the human movement. Once the human	
	accelerate and leave the region, the camera will	
	stop tracking and the rotation speed will not be	
	fast enough to damage other parts	
Actual Output	The camera will rotate to the human position and	
	follow the movement once it detects the existence	
	of human body. As the human quickly leave the	
	capture region, the camera stops tracking and take	
	a photo of the current frame. After 2 seconds, it	
	will rotate back to the original position. There are	
	no parts being damaged during the movement	
Conclusion	The test pass as expected	

7 Changes Due to Testing

Based on the feedback from Rev 0 demo, we have conducted our test case based on larger room with more complex background environments. During the early stages of testing process, performance reliability issues were found, which led to changes to the main algorithm which aims to lower the light sensitivity to increase the repeatability of the test cases.

Taking notes from our discussion with users, we tried to best limit the user interaction with the system. Users is only required to interact with the user interface which has adapted to user feedback to improve usability.

Another point noted was that users would like to have more detailed time for searching specific items. This will be implemented in the upcoming milestone where some other quality of life update will be implemented.

8 Traceability Matrices

8.1 Traceability for Functional Requirements

Table 1: Traceability for Area of Testing 1				
Test Method	Requirement	Test Number		
Automatic	IPR1	IPR1-1		
Automatic	IPR3	IPR3-1		
Automatic	IPR4	IPR4-1		
Automatic	IPR5	IPR5-1		
Automatic	IPR5	IPR5-2		
Automatic	IPR6	IPR6-1		
Automatic	IPR6	IPR6-2		
Automatic	IPR5, IPR6	IPR6-3		
Automatic	IPR4, IPR6	IPR6-4		

Table 2: Traceability for UI Interface Menu				
Test Method	Requirement	Test Number		
Manual	UIR1	UIR1-1		
Manual	UIR1	UIR1-2		
Manual	UIR2	UIR2-1		
Manual	UIR2	UIR2-2		
Manual	UIR3	UIR3-1		
Manual	UIR3	UIR3-2		
Manual	UIR4	UIR4-1		

8.2 Traceability for Nonfunctional Requirements

Table 3: Traceability for Usability and Humanity Requirements				
Test Method	Requirement	Test Number		
Automatic	APR1	APR1-1		
Automatic	EUR1	EUR1-1		
Automatic	EUR2	EUR2-1		
Automatic	LER1	LER1-1		
Automatic	LER2	LER2-1		
Automatic	UPR1	UPR1-1		
Automatic	APR1	APR1-1		
Automatic	SCR3	SCR3-1		

Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Lifelong Learning. Please answer the following questions:

1. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)

One of the biggest area of difference between VnV plan and VnV report is from some of the changes to the functional requirements. Our system is no longer tracking human hands, which reflected in the VnV report where we did not test that specific requirement. Since VnV plan was made prior to us finalizing the implementation, we had made several assumptions that were later modified. Most of the functionality tests were conducted manually as it required human interaction with the external environment. However, most of our VnV plan turned out to be feasible and essential for the validation of our project. Since we developed our testing plan based on input/outcome, the change to our anticipated algorithm did not affect the general path for our VnV report. The proposed testing case in VnV plan were tested and validated.