MIT Research Supplement: A Collection of Mathematical Explorations

Edwin Trejo Balderas

November, 2022

1 Introduction

This document aims to offer a glimpse into the independent mathematical research/exploration I do in my free time and substantiate my deep love for mathematics. For brevity, I only present the major results of my explorations with some commentary on their motivation and/or applications.

2 Powers and Modular Arithmetic

(2.1) and (2.2) come from long-standing personal observations after thinking about the powers of 2 with reference to their use in computer binary. When generating numbers that consisted of consecutive 1's in binary and converting them to decimal, for example, I noticed how some of them were just shy of a multiple of 3. When I first learned how to do proofs by induction, these were the first two theorems I proved.

Theorem 2.1 Any positive, even power of 2 is one more than a multiple of 3.

$$2^{2n} = 3m + 1; n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

Theorem 2.2 Any positive, odd power of 2 is one less than a multiple of 3.

$$2^{2n-1} = 3m - 1; n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

(2.3) was an immediate observation I made after learning the basics of modular arithmetic and was also one of the first postulates I proved outside of school.

Theorem 2.3 Let p be some positive integer, then the following holds:

$$p^n \mod (p+1) = (-1)^n; p, n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

(2.4) and (2.5) were also longstanding observations after I tried to extend the ideas in (2.1) and (2.2). I tried proving them by induction in a similar way I did the first (2.1) and (2.2), but ended up relying heavily on (2.3) instead.

Theorem 2.4 Any positive, even power of some integer p is one more than a multiple of p+1.

$$p^{2n} = (p+1)m+1; p,n,m \in {\pmb Z}^+$$

Theorem 2.5 Any positive, odd power of some integer p is one less than a multiple of p+1.

$$p^{2n-1} = (p+1)m - 1; p, n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

(2.6) came from the same observation as (2.1) and (2.2), but did not fully flesh itself out until I had a sudden spark of inspiration, grabbed a pen and paper, and left the match of Tetris I was playing to frantically put everything down.

Theorem 2.6 For all positive integers, b, the following holds:

$$b^n = (b-1)(b^0 + b^1 + \dots + b^{n-1}) + 1, ; b, n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

Examples where b is a non-integer or negative also hold true, motivating future efforts at proving (2.7).

Postulate 2.7 For all real numbers, b, the following holds:

$$b^n = (b-1)(b^0 + b^1 + \dots + b^{n-1}) + 1, : b \in \mathbf{R}; b \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

3 The Behavior of the Generalized Fibonacci Sequence

For my IB Math Analysis Internal Assessment, I am studying the behavior of m-nacci sequences, a generalization of the fibonacci sequence.

Definition 3.1 An m-nacci sequence is a recursive sequence of the form:

$$F_n^{(m)} = F_{n-1}^{(m)} + F_{n-2}^{(m)} + \dots + F_{n-m}^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m F_{n-i}^{(m)}$$

Remark 3.2 A system of equations can be derived from an m-nacci sequence:

$$F_{n}^{(m)} = F_{n-1}^{(m)} + F_{n-2}^{(m)} + \dots + F_{n-m}^{(m)}$$

$$F_{n-1}^{(m)} = F_{n-1}^{(m)}$$

$$F_{n-2}^{(m)} = F_{n-2}^{(m)}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F_{n-m+1}^{(m)} = F_{n-m+1}^{(m)}$$

Which can be represented with a matrix equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-2} \\ F_{n-3} \\ \vdots \\ F_{n-m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ F_{n-m+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

I use (3.3) in my Internal Assessment to serve as motivation for the construction of the characteristic polynomial of generalized m-nacci sequences. The roots of the characteristic polynomial are later used in an algorithm to determine an explicit formula for an m-nacci sequence, similar to Binet's formula for the fibonacci sequence.

Theorem 3.3 The characteristic polynomial of an $m \times m$ matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is

$$t^m - t^{m-1} - t^{m-2} - \dots - t - 1 = t^m - \sum_{i=1}^m t^{m-i}$$

(3.4) came from an observation I made on the positive, real roots (which I will refer to as the 'leading root') of the characteristic polynomials from (3.3) which are used as bases for the explicit formulas mentioned above. Only the leading roots had a modulus greater than 1 and thus they become predominant as high indices of a sequence are determined. Furthermore, the leading roots approached but never reached 2, so I attempted and succeeded to prove that the limit of the leading root approaches as the degree of an m-nacci sequence approached infinity is 2. For evidence, the explicit formulas for m-nacci sequences of degree 3, 4, and 5, determined by my algorithm, are given below with their leading roots in bold-face:

$$F_n^{(3)} = (0.0994)(\mathbf{1.839})^n + (0.45 - 0.161i)(-0.42 + 0.606i)^n + (0.45 + 0.161i)(-0.420 - 0.606i)^n$$

$$F_n^{(4)} = (0.041)(\mathbf{1.93})^n + (0.272 - 0.174i)(-0.0764 + 0.815i)^n + (0.272 + 0.174i)(-0.0764 - 0.815i)^n + (0.415)(-0.775)^n$$

$$F_n^{(5)} = (0.0183)(\mathbf{1.97})^n + (0.171 - 0.152i)(0.195 + 0.849i)^n + (0.171 + 0.152i)(0.195 - 0.849i)^n + (0.32 - 0.0794i)(-0.678 + 0.459i)^n + (0.32 + 0.0794i)(-0.678 - 0.459i)^n$$

Theorem 3.4 The ratio between terms of an m-nacci sequence approaches the positive solution to the following expression:

$$x + x^{-m} = 2$$

In other words,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{F_n^{(m)}}{F_{n-1}^{(m)}} = \{x|x+x^{-m}=2\}$$

4 The Reality of Complex Numbers and Their Conjugates

I developed (4.1) to confirm that the explicit formulas for my Internal Assessment, while containing complex exponential bases, would always return a real number. Notice that the terms that contain complex numbers in each explicit formula come in conjugate pairs.

Theorem 4.1 The sum of the product of a set of complex numbers and the product of the set of conjugates is a real number:

$$z_1 z_2 \dots z_n + \bar{z_1} \bar{z_2} \dots \bar{z_n} = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i + \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{z_i} = 2R \cos(\Phi)$$

Where

$$z_j = a_j + ib_j; z_j \in \mathbf{C}; a_j, b_j \in \mathbf{R}$$

$$r_j = \sqrt{a_j^2 + b_j^2}; \theta_j = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{b_j}{a_j}\right)$$

$$R = r_1 r_2 \dots r_n = \prod_{i=1}^n r_i; \Phi = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \dots + \theta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$$

5 Pascal's Simplex

(5.1) was an extension upon a lesson from a discrete calculus course I took at the Georgia Governor's Honors Program. In the lesson, we used finite sums to derive formulas for figurate numbers such as the triangular, pentagonal, and tetrahedral numbers. I knew there are higher dimensional analogs of the triangle and tetrahedron and wanted to observe how their figurate numbers behaved.

Theorem 5.1 The following sum:

$$\sum_{x_2=0}^{x_1} \sum_{x_3=0}^{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_{m+1}=0}^{x_m} 1$$

Evaluates to:

$$\frac{(x_1+m)^{\underline{m}}}{m!} = \binom{x_1+m}{m}; x_i, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

Notice how using m = 3 in (5.1) gives the formula for the tetrahedral numbers. In general, the expression gives the figurate number for the (x + 1)th m-simplex.

(5.2) is an application of (5.1) I found after studying the multinomial theorem and its extensions on Pascal's Triangle with Pascal's Simplex. I am working on a program that constructs pascal's simplex and (5.2) has served useful in organizing how I will present the entries of high-dimensional simpleces.

Theorem 5.2 The number of entries or multinomial coefficients in the r-th slice of pascal's m-simplex is given by

$$\sum_{x_2=0}^{r} \sum_{x_3=0}^{x_2} \cdots \sum_{x_m=0}^{x_{m-1}} 1$$

Which evaluates to:

$$\frac{(r+m-1)^{\underline{m-1}}}{(m-1)!} = \binom{r+m-1}{m-1}; r, m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

6 A First Look into Real Analysis

During the first semester of my senior year, I began self-studying real analysis and after playing with proofs with definitions, I proved the generalize triangle inequality using an induction technique similar to that used to prove (4.1).

Theorem 6.1 For all $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, the following holds.

$$|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n| \le |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| \Rightarrow |\sum_{i=1}^n x_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

Analysis is fun and by far one of my favorite fields of math to date. I am currently working on a proof that shows this holds for all complex numbers as well.

7 Where it All Happens

As I mentioned previously in the portfolio, this work takes place in a notebook that has at this point become a part of my daily life. So here I present, the book! The image below shows it open to rudimentary work leading to (3.3) (left) and a personal attempt at generalizing the representation of quadratic forms with matrices (right)

