

$$(1-e)^{2} \left(\frac{(\kappa Q)^{2}}{P} \right) \left(\frac{(\kappa Q)^{2}}{P} \right)^{2} + \epsilon \left((1-e) \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} \otimes P_{kQ} \right) U_{c}^{R}$$

$$+ \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{4} \otimes P_{kQ} \right)$$

$$(C)$$

A = Both CNOTS act in ideal fashion

B = First CNOT acts noisily i.e. by the time we get to the 2nd cNOT, depolarizing error how already occured. Thus, regardless of rand cNOT how acts, the qubits will be depolarized any way.

 $\Theta = (1-\epsilon) U_c^{(\kappa e)} \left(\frac{I_{4}}{4} \otimes P_{ke} \right) U^{(ke)} = \epsilon (1-\epsilon) \left(\frac{I_{4}}{4} \otimes P_{ke} \right)$

(c) = First CNOT may have acted noisily on ideally; but second anot acts noisily i.e depolarizing error occurs.

Making a substitution in 3 using Θ , and also recognizing $U_c^{(kl)}{}^2 = I_4 \rightarrow 2$ cnots form identity

(1-e)² p + [e+ ∈(1-e)] (Iy ⊗ Pxx)

(b) makes senge since if e=0, then $p \Rightarrow p$ i.e. adding 2 anots on p is doing nothing at all.

Now what if we added another CHOT ? We would have insert 60 into 6 so P → (1-e)Uc (Ke) [(1-e)2p + (1-(1-e)2) (Iy ⊗ Pke)] Uc (Ke) + € (<u>I</u>4 ⊗ Pa) 6 (3)
(1-e)3 Uc (Ke) P Uc (Ke) + (1-e)(1-(1-e)2) Uc (Ke) [Iy & pxe] Uc + E (Iy & Pxx) Using (for expression (), we have, 9 (1-e)3 Uc p Uc(KR) + (1-(1-e)3) [Iy & P *** In general, if we replace that sole (NOT with r CNOTS, we would so have (where r is odd) (0) ⇒ (1-e) V C (Ke) P VC + (1-(1-e)) [I4 ⊗ Pxe] Using taylor expansion, we have (1) -> (1-re) Uc (Ke) pUc (Ke) + re[= 8 Pxx] + O(ré2)

(onsiden Equation (13) (1-E) ~ U (KE) P U (KE) + (1-(1-E) ~) [\frac{14}{4} \omega \ Pre] At least one All the r gates act ideally of the v CNOT gates acted voisily This is true when our circuit contains a single CNOT. But what it our cutouit contains multiple CNOT gates, each of which how to be amplified by factor r? Let's say how circult how 21 chors. Then there are 75 cases of how they are arranged. (iii) (i) (ii)(VI) Amplifying noise by adding (vi) CNOTS to each of the 2 original coots and witting an equation similar to eq. @ is a downting task, especially when there are > 5 coses you have to account for.

Groiding ourselves by intuition then, here is what the final density matrix would look like if we a fair a fact of in house in both of those chor gates by of r. (1-2re)+ 0(2r2) P -> (1-E) (1-E) [Both cNOTs] + E[First CNOT second acks ideally] + E [seasod cnot fails,] + O((2 re2)) [both cnots fail More generally, suppose ne noue Nonor gates in the circuit. Then our final Pfinae after amplifying noise in and in all the CNOTS is $P \rightarrow (1 - r N_{CNOT} \in) \left[\begin{array}{c} All & CNOTS \\ act & idecally \end{array}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{MCNOT} \in \left[\begin{array}{c} i^{th} & CNOTS \\ fails, o \\ i = 1 - s \text{ ove } go$ in all the chots is (3) + O((In Nanot E)2) Then our observable is expectation value <M7 = Tr (Mp will home < M > = A (PN CNOT E) PLENET O ((VNCNOT E)2) (4) Some term wl lineour dependence

FootNote

Rete By CNOT, I mean all the in CNOTs that were substituted for that original cnot in the aircuit than by I CNOT failing. I mean I of the in cnot gates failing in that cluster.

After linear extrapolation, we are left lwith $(M) \approx O((r N_{cnot} e)^2)$ Thus for linear extrapolation to be accurate, we want $(V \cdot N_{cnot} e) < (V \cdot N_{cnot} e)$