Diagnosing the Offset

September 23, 2020

Last Week Recap

- Using the Inversion technique (flipping the 0s with 1s and vice versa), we tried to exploit the asymmetry
- However, this technique did not work, since inverting the circuit did nothing to energy computed by the noise model.
- Possible Issues:
    1. The offset between noisy energy and ideal energy is caused by a different source of noise. ***Why should this noise be asymmetrical though?***
    2. The inversion technique may work but number of gates in VQE circuit for H2 atom is too small

Gate Inversion of VQE Optimized Circuit for H2 molecule *Optimized on Noise Model*



Issue 1: Coherent Errors and Incoherent Errors





Issue 1: Readout Errors

- After adding in all 3 kind of errors, we do get close to the noise model's energy calculation.
- Clearly, readout errors cause the greatest deviation.
- Something Interesting: the measurement error rates are asymmetric
- What happens when we switch them? Do we get the same results, for example, if for qubit 0 , P(0|1) = 0.05 and P(1|0) = 0.05?
  - Let's call this Issue 1.1: Measurement Assymetry




```
Fake London
Qubit: 0 -----------------------------------
 P(1|0) = 0.01
 P(0|1) = 0.050000000000000044
Qubit: 1 -----------------------------------
 P(1|0) = 0.02
 P(0|1) = 0.07666666666666666
Qubit: 2 -----------------------------------
 P(1|0) = 0.14
 P(0|1) = 0.18999999999999995
Qubit: 3 -----------------------------------
 P(1|0) = 0.0033333333333332993
 P(0|1) = 0.03
Qubit: 4 -----------------------------------
 P(1|0) = 0.006666666666666667
 P(0|1) = 0.043333333333333335
```

Issue 1.1: Measurement Asymmetry

- Reversing the qubit error rates, however, does nothing to the energy value
- **Possible Explanation?**





Issue 1.2: Incoherent Errors Asymmetry

- Lets try to see if we invert our circuit, does the energy change if our noise model is made of purely incoherent errors?
- The purple and cyan points are slightly off balance but no significant shift in energy.
- Failure to get any change in energy ties into issue 2--- is the gate length the reason why we don't get this shift?





Issue 1.2: Incoherent Errors Asymmetry

- Let's invert a 1 qubit circuit by changing sign of angles of U3 gates and inserting 2 X gates , one before and after the circuit
- Also, suppose our original circuit is equivalent to identity (so we should get a 0 state at the end)
- Figure shows that inverting a circuit as described doesn't change decay rate.
- Possible Issue: Maybe measurement error asymmetry interfering?



Ran on Armonk Device since 1 qubit circuits

```
Armonk
 P(1|0) = 0.04620000000000002
 P(0|1) = 0.0684
```

Issue 1.2: Incoherent Errors Asymmetry

- Repeat previous procedure but in the end of inverted circuit, don’t insert the X gate
- So for inverted circuit, on ideal quantum computer, we should get only 1 state
- We do so to avoid the measurement error interfering with results
- Result: What is happening?!!?!?!?!