SCHOOL OF COMPUTATION, INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY — INFORMATICS

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics in Informatics

From Hashtags to Ballot Boxes: A Close Look at the 2023 Turkish Election

Efe Sener

SCHOOL OF COMPUTATION,
INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY —
INFORMATICS

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH

Bachelor's Thesis in Informatics in Informatics

From Hashtags to Ballot Boxes: A Close Look at the 2023 Turkish Election

Von Hashtags zu Wahlentscheidungen: Ein umfassender Blick auf die Türkischen Wahlen 2023

Author:	Efe Sener
Supervisor:	Prof. Dr. Georg Groh
Advisor:	Carolin Schuster
Submission Date:	15.03.2023

I confirm that this bachelor's thesis in informatics is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, 15.03.2023   Efe Sener

Acknowledgments

Abstract

Contents

1 Introduction

In recent years, governments and the public have realized the importance of social media, especially Twitter/X, which has a decisive role in mobilizing social and political activism (Uysal & Schroeder, 2019). Twitter has been instrumental in studying human behavior with social media data (Pfeffer et al., 2023), described as a digital social telescope by researchers in the social science field (Mejova et al., 2015). It has provided a somewhat free environment and guided social and political debates to gain new dimensions (Yerlikaya & Toker, 2020), where individual users can directly and publicly address comments to their representatives under conditions of anonymity (Theocharis et al., 2020). The robust rise in Twitter's popularity has stemmed from increasing accessibility to technology and affordability. Millions of people consume news from social media sites like Twitter (Anwar et al., 2021). In Turkey's case, Twitter began to be taken seriously after the unrest in the Middle East, especially after the Gezi Park protests in 2013 (Zaharna & Uysal, 2016), where Twitter was one of the most valuable media for protestor communication, given censorship (Ogan & Varol, 2017). In 2020, Turkey was ranked as the 10th most-used language on Twitter, with around 560 thousand tweets posted daily (Alshaabi et al., 2021).

This thesis aims to analyze the Twitter data, provided by Sabanci University (Najafi et al., 2022), to understand the Turkish Twitter discourse surrounding the May 2023 elections. Using innovative topic modeling techniques, this thesis will discover the most prevalent topics in Turkish Twitter between July 2022 and June 2023. It will uncover how these topics correlate with real-life events and how they reflect the election agendas of parties. This thesis will also compare the results with results observed in other countries. In a non-English-speaking country like Turkey, this thesis furthermore seeks to find solutions to the need for a more thorough and data-driven analysis of political discussions on Turkish Twitter.

In this chapter, the thesis starts by explaining the historical context and then continues to present the current political landscape. It demonstrates the importance of the May 2023 elections, emphasizes the significance of Twitter in Turkish politics, and deep dives into research questions. In the next chapter, the thesis examines various related works, asking similar questions and analyzing their results. After that, the thesis explains the Twitter dataset and used methodologies while collecting and analyzing the data. Next, the thesis deep dives into the analysis results, and later discusses the findings by

interpreting them, highlighting both the limitations and future work. The final section summarizes the results and its implications.

1.1 Background

It is crucial to examine Turkey's historical political context to understand the current complex political landscape and the May 2023 elections.

After the collapse of the Ottoman Empire, the Turkish Republic was declared in 1923. Some attempts were made, but the first multi-party elections were held in 1946. Until 1945, the Republican People's Party (CHP) was the only party in the parliament, and until 1950 it was the ruling party. The CHP was founded by Mustafa Kemal Atatürk, also the founder of the Turkish Republic.

With a multi-party system in a young republic, political power was now open to various groups. Different and new ideologies arose and started to organize politically (Rabasa & Larrabee, 2008). The military saw their role as the protector of the Republic and Atatürk's ideologies and overthrew the governments in 1960, 1971, and 1980. The 1980 military coup, which introduced a new constitution, was after a period of political fragmentation and civil instability in the 1970s.

During the 1970s, political Islamism started to emerge, which challenged the secularist nationalism and modernization ideologies of the CHP (Yilmaz & Bashirov, 2018). Changes in the political structure, the constitution, and civil liberties, major economic crises in 1994 and 2001 (Ardan, 2023) contributed to Islamic political groups' political influence and strength, to the emergence of new political players and parties like the Justice and Development Party (AKP) (Rabasa & Larrabee, 2008).

Since 2002, AKP has been in power in Turkey. Out of 15 elections, AKP just lost the local elections in 2019, in which the opposition coalition won more than four significant municipalities. Especially in Istanbul, the opposition won twice because the first election was canceled. For the May 2023 elections, the main opposition coalition was established from CHP, Good Party (IyiP), Felicity Party (SAADET), Democrat Party (DP), and two new parties were established out of AKP: Democracy and Progress Party (DEVA) and Future Party (GP) (Atila, 2022). Even though most of the polls favored the opposition in the May 2023 elections (Saç & Çoban, 2023), AKP has won the majority of the parliament and Recep Tayyip Erdogan was elected in the kickoff elections for the third time as president, after serving two terms as president and two terms as prime minister since 2003.

1.2 Research Questions

This section introduces the research questions guiding this thesis, which are based on qualitative methods to analyze the Twitter discourse surrounding the May 2023 elections in Turkey.

The research questions are divided into two parts. The first part will cover the main research objective of this thesis, which is the analysis of the topic modeling results. The first question is as follows: "What were the most prevalent topics in Turkish Twitter discussions during the May 2023 elections?". This question is necessary to understand the main topics of the May 2023 elections discussed in social media.

The next question is "How do real-life events during the election period correlate with shifts in discussion topics on Twitter, and in what ways do these shifts mirror political movements?". This question focuses on the reflection of real-life events and political movements in Twitter discussions.

The third question is about parties and their election agendas: "How do the Twitter discussions about the ruling party and the opposition during the election lead-up reflect and compare to their respective election agendas and public statements?". This question is essential to understand the reflection of the election agendas of the parties and the differences between them on Twitter.

With these questions in mind, the second part of the research questions covers the comparison of the results of the topic modeling with other research, where a similar approach was used for different countries. The main question is as follows: "How do the key themes, content, and engagement levels in the Turkish Twitter discourse surrounding the May 2023 elections compare with those observed in the past elections in other countries?".

2 Related Work

The recent advances in Natural Language Processing (NLP) and easy access to open-source models allow researchers to study text data by performing sentiment and emotional analysis, topic modeling, semantic search, and many more. Large language models by OpenAI considerably explain how fast the NLP field develops.

In this thesis, topic modeling is performed on massive text data. Topic modeling is an unsupervised tool that helps extract the underlying themes from the given text data. There are several topic modeling approaches, and this thesis focuses on neural topic modeling. Unlike conventional models like Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA), a generative probabilistic model introduced by Blei et al. (2003), neural topic models have been used in important NLP tasks, including text generation, document summarisation, and translation, fields to which conventional topic models are complex to apply (Zhao et al., 2021). This thesis uses the neural topic model BERTopic, introduced by Grootendorst (2022), which is explained in detail in Chapter 3.

A tremendous number of studies have applied topic modeling in their research. In the political science field, Ilyas et al. (2020) performed topic modeling using LDA to discover daily discussion topics on Twitter about Brexit and to find out whether the topics discussed on Twitter were representative of actual events taking place, aligning with the second research question of this thesis. They found out that their model was representative of the actual events. Kaiser et al. (2020) used a structural topic model (STM), similar to LDA, to analyze the right media coverage during the 2016 US elections. The analysis shows that a media outlet is identified between the extreme far-right and mainstream right by finding out that they cover extreme and conservative topics. For the 2020 US elections, Anwar et al. (2021) applied topic modeling using BERTopic on pro-Trump tweets to analyze the most mentioned words for each topic and how frequent the topics were, aligning with the first research question of this thesis. Gritto (2022) applied BERTopic along with other German BERT models on Twitter data from German politicians and analyzed their results, aligning with the third research question of this thesis. She discovered that using BERTopic with the Sentence-BERT (SBERT) model yielded more valuable and significant topics. On the other hand, Contreras et al. (2022) used both LDA and BERTopic on Spanish Panamanian parliamentary proceedings. The research suggests that both models perform well with long multilingual political texts despite the small dataset.

It is essential to mention that according to the available literature, few studies apply topic modeling to multilingual political data. For the Turkish language, since the introduction of BERTurk by Schweter (2020), which is based on the BERT model by Devlin et al. (2019) trained on Turkish dataset, the Turkish NLP community is getting bigger and bigger day by day. Recently, a new model called TurkishBERTweet trained on the Turkish Twitter dataset was presented by the same team[1] that released the public social media dataset #Secim2023[2] (Najafi & Varol, 2023). The team has used TurkishBERTweet to conduct daily sentiment analysis and various other analyses on the #Secim2023 dataset, which will be discussed later.

This thesis will build upon the mentioned research and conduct one of the first neural topic modeling researches on a massive political Turkish language dataset using BERTopic. As mentioned in previous research, BERTopic yields more valuable and significant topics than other topic models, which is why this thesis will use that model. Since Najafi and Varol (2023) and also Najafi et al. (2022) analyze the same dataset as this thesis, but with different approaches and questions, this thesis will also use their results while answering the research questions.

---

[1] Center of Excellence in Data Analytics, Sabanci University, Turkey
[2] Najafi et al., 2022.

3 Experiments

This thesis uses the BERTopic model to apply topic modeling on the #Secim2023 dataset. Before diving into the results and discussion, this chapter explains the dataset, how the tweet hydration[1] is performed on the tweets from the dataset, how BERTopic and neural topic modeling works generally.

3.1 The Dataset

The dataset published by Najafi et al. (2022) consists of tweet IDs collected daily between July 2022 and June 2023, a total of around 250 million tweets. The frequency of the collected tweets is shown in Figure 3.1.

Due to Twitter's Developer Agreement and Policy[2], a public dataset can only include (tweet) IDs. In order to access all tweet information, they must be hydrated. Typically, a year before, a research group would have had access to Twitter Academic API[3] and used packages like Hydrator[4] to gather tweet information quickly. Unfortunately, after Elon Musk bought Twitter, Academic API was restricted and then shut down at the end of May 2023 (Calma, 2023), before the start of this thesis. Today, there are only paid options starting from 100$ for 10,000 tweets per month, 0.3% of what was previously available for free access in a single day.

If one has tweet IDs, other methods exist to hydrate the tweets nowadays. All of the following methods use some embedded retrieval mechanism to gather the tweet information. The first method uses Twitter's official page to retrieve embedded posts or videos given the tweet ID: https://publish.twitter.com. The second method, also used in this thesis, is implemented by React engineers in-house: https://github.com/vercel/react-tweet. One can have a JSON output with sufficient information for analysis by sending HTTP requests and tweet ID as a parameter.

As seen in Figure 3.1, the collected tweets (blue) are less than the total tweets in the dataset (orange). Out of 250 million tweets, only around 150 million tweets are collected. One of the main reasons for that is the deleted tweets. Since the hydration

---

[1]The process of retrieving a tweet's complete information with only tweet ID.

[2]https://developer.twitter.com/en/developer-terms/agreement-and-policy

[3]https://developer.twitter.com/en/use-cases/do-research/academic-research

[4]https://github.com/DocNow/hydrator

Figure 3.1: Number of collected tweets from #Secim2023 database monthly from July 2022 to June 2023. The orange line displays the total number of tweets in the database, the blue line displays the total number of collected tweets from the database and the green line displays the deleted tweets on the month of hydration, around December 2023.

timeline for this thesis was between October 2023 and January 2024, and the tweets are between July 2022 and June 2023, there are approximately 50 million deleted tweets in the dataset (green).

There are several reasons why there are lots of deleted tweets. The main reason for the vast number is the deletion of highly interacted original tweet posts. According to Twitter, if the original tweet is deleted, the reposts to that tweet are also not available anymore[5]. The #Secim2023 dataset contains retweets, quotes, and replies in the majority. As discussed by Pfeffer et al. (2023) in their research, almost 80% of all tweets on Twitter refer to other tweets, with original tweets making up the rest.

Furthermore, the question of why people deleted their posts in the first place can be answered in two aspects. First, as mentioned in this New York Times article by Klosowski (2022), whether the person posting is a public figure or not is not essential: companies in the hiring process could run a social media background check, leading to

---

[5]https://help.twitter.com/en/using-x/repost-faqs

rejection.

Secondly and even more alarming, the government can pull an old tweet out of context and use it against the person, leading to an arrest. The Turkish government's control over social media is widely recognized. It has instituted nationwide bans before and has arrested people accused of "provocative posts" continuously (Scott, 2023). Freedom House's 2023 report states that Turkey's global and internet freedom scores are classified as "not free" (Freedom House, 2023). These reasons could have eventually led to the deletion of many tweets after the election.

The gap between collected plus deleted tweets and total tweets lies under restricted rate limits by Twitter[6]. During hydration, every second or third response was empty, which led to second and third hydration batches of the missing tweets. There are around 50 million tweets that could not be collected. Due to time limitations and the lengthy duration of big data analysis, the hydration process resulted in the maximum feasible collection of tweets within the constraints.

3.2 The Methodology

As mentioned in the previous chapter, topic modeling is an unsupervised tool that helps extract the underlying themes from the given text data. BERTopic is a neural topic model, one of many topic modeling approaches. Due to time constraints and the time plan of this thesis, only the BERTopic model is used for topic modeling. Since several methods could be used, it is important to mention why BERTopic is used and why the others are not. Egger and Yu (2022) found out that for short and unstructured texts like Twitter data, BERTopic can extract contextual information, and it offers the most potential compared to different embedding-based topic models like Top2Vec. According to their research, BERTopic has high versatility and stability across domains and supports different topic modeling variations. Like other embedding-based topic models, it allows multilingual analysis, and there is no need for preprocessing of the original data. However, the embedding approach might cause too many topics and outliers in some cases, which makes the results more challenging to interpret and should be examined in detail. Some long documents could occasionally involve multiple topics, but in this approach, every document is assigned to a single topic, which could be a disadvantage.

According to Grootendorst (2022), BERTopic generates topic representations in six steps, shown in Figure 3.2. First, without preprocessing, each document must be embedded using a pre-trained model. In this thesis, the SBERT model is used, introduced by Reimers and Gurevych (2019). SBERT modifies the BERT model and derives

---

[6]https://business.twitter.com/en/blog/update-on-twitters-limited-usage.html

semantically meaningful sentence embeddings, also from multilingual documents, allowing tasks like clustering or information retrieval via semantic search. SBERT also allows the selection of various pre-trained multilingual models supporting more than 50 languages[7]. This thesis uses the *paraphrase-multilingual-MiniLM-L12-v2* model, which supports Turkish and is the fastest and one of the best performers among other multilingual models (Reimers & Gurevych, 2020). This part of the pipeline allows to do chunk embeddings, saving the results and using them later, making the big data analysis easier with restricted hardware availabilities.

Secondly, the dimensionality of these resulting embeddings is reduced to optimize the clustering process by the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) algorithm, which plays a massive role in big data analysis (McInnes et al., 2020). Afterward, these low-dimension embeddings are clustered using the Hierarchical Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (HDBSCAN) technique, and the resulting clusters consist of semantically similar documents. This step allows unrelated documents to be assigned as noise or outliers, which improves the result, and both of these steps can be influenced very much by changing the parameters of the algorithms.

Figure 3.2: Default BERTopic Algorithm[8]

Fourthly, each cluster is tokenized using a Vectorizer like CountVectorizer[9]. Together with the weighting of these tokens, where a custom class-based variation of the Term Frequency – Inverse Document Frequency (c-TF-IDF) algorithm is used, they are responsible for creating the topic representations. Like the previous step, this step also allows room to play with various parameters to tune the model, which affects the results considerably.

At last comes the topic representation, where the topics can be fine-tuned using various methods. This thesis uses KeyBERTInspired and Maximal Marginal Relevance (MMR) models[10], which can be easily imported from the BERTopic library. They leverage the c-TF-IDF algorithm and weights keywords to represent the related topics. This thesis also leverages the power of LLMs by OpenAI, specifically GPT-4 Turbo, to better represent the resulting topics. One can also leverage other open-source LLMs, but most only support English or a few other languages.

---

[7]https://www.sbert.net/docs/pretrained_models.html

[9]https://maartengr.github.io/BERTopic/getting_started/vectorizers/vectorizers.html

[10]https://maartengr.github.io/BERTopic/getting_started/representation/representation.html

For hydration, this thesis used the *Social5* server provided by Research Group Social Computing[11], which had sufficient hardware capabilities to run several scripts in parallel, and each of them was able to leverage multithreading, speeding up the process.

The result of the hydration was around 40 GB, which makes the analysis in one batch impossible. For this reason, hydrated tweet information was divided into smaller batches to make the analysis more manageable later. Before the topic modeling analysis, the resulting data is cleaned by extracting relevant information. That means only extracted tweets that include some text. These are then converted into lists and pulled into the analysis environment.

In the next step, to speed up the big data analysis process, this thesis used Google Co-lab[12] Pro that brings A100, V100, or T4 GPUs to usage, making the process considerably faster.

As mentioned before, because the data is big, it does not fit into the BERTopic model in one batch due to hardware restrictions. To tackle that issue, 2.5 million tweets are randomly sampled in proportion to the total number of collected tweets monthly, which makes up 1% of the total number of tweets in the #Secim2023 dataset.

The strategy used in this thesis was to first train the model with the sample tweets to find relevant topics in Turkish political discourse on Twitter. After that, all the remaining tweets were assigned a topic using the trained model without any need to train an additional model. This strategy also allows the analysis to be done in batches to make it smoother and more manageable.

The hydration, preprocessing, and analysis scripts mentioned are open-source and can be accessed on GitHub[13]. The analysis of this thesis is mainly based on two notebooks written by Maarten Grootendorst, father of BERTopic. The first is called "Best Practices" and aims to get the best results[14]. In contrast, the second one is called "Big Data" and focuses on analyzing big data efficiently by optimizing and leveraging the power of the GPU[15]. The parameters used in the models are also inspired by them, resulting in minimal changes to optimize the results.

The changes also followed Grootendorst's recommendations[16]. However, while making minimal changes in the parameters, the results can be highly affected by these changes. In this thesis, two approaches are made, resulting in two relatively different results while being similar in most topics. The results of this process are presented and

---

[11]https://www.soc.cit.tum.de

[12]https://colab.google

[13]https://github.com/EfeSenerr/Thesis

[14]https://maartengr.github.io/BERTopic/getting_started/best_practices/best_practices.html

[15]https://huggingface.co/MaartenGr/BERTopic_Wikipedia

[16]https://maartengr.github.io/BERTopic/getting_started/parameter%20tuning/parametertuning.html

discussed thoroughly in the following chapters.

In short, the following parameters have been changed from their default value:

In UMAP, "n_neighbors" is set to 25 from the default 15 to obtain a more global view of the embedding structure, resulting in larger clusters. Other parameters in UMAP followed the default values.

The "min_cluster_size" parameter in HDBSCAN is among the most critical parameters. It is set to 100 from 10 as a default to increase the minimum size of a cluster, thereby reducing the number of clusters the model generates. In the first analysis, this parameter was set to 20, and after getting too many topics, the parameter was increased to 100. For a massive dataset like #Secim2023, it could make sense to increase this parameter even more, but due to time restrictions, this thesis could not test this additionally. The "min_samples" parameter is usually automatically set to the value of "min_cluster_size", but in this case, it is left in 20 to reduce the number of outliers generated. In the second analysis, the "prediction_data" parameter is set to *True* to predict new points later.

For passing out to CountVecorizer while training the model, one can prepare the vocabulary of the dataset used in training beforehand so that the tokenizer does not need to do the calculations later. It also reduces the necessary RAM during the training. This thesis creates a vocabulary of words and parses them such that they need to appear at least ten times in the data while removing the stopwords using Turkish stopwords from the NLTK library.

KeyBERT and MMR are used as the representation models of the topics. GPT-4 Turbo is later used for only the top 50 topics to reduce the costs constructed from it.

All these parameters mentioned above are passed to BERTopic along with its sampled text data and pre-computed embeddings. One can also play with some parameters of the BERTopic, but this thesis did not use any additional parameters. Some parameters do not play a critical role. For instance, the "min_topic_size" parameter in BERTopic is automatically set to the value of "min_cluster_size." After several hours, this process resulted in topics that are the baseline of all topics in Turkish political discourse during the May 2023 elections. The resulting collected tweet data is then, in sequence, embedded and "transformed" by the trained topic model, which assigns topics to individual tweets. These results are demonstrated in the following chapter.

4 Results

4.1 Analysis of data findings

Citation test (Lamport, 1994).

5 Discussion

x

5.1 Limitations

Citation test (Lamport, 1994).

5.2 Future Work

Citation test (Lamport, 1994).

6 Conclusion

6.1 Section

Citation test (Lamport, 1994).

Acronyms must be added in `main.tex` and are referenced using macros. The first occurrence is automatically replaced with the long version of the acronym, while all subsequent usages use the abbreviation.

E.g. `\ac{TUM}`, `\ac{TUM}` $\Rightarrow$ Technical University of Munich (TUM), TUM

For more details, see the documentation of the `acronym` package[1].

6.1.1 Subsection

See Table 6.1, Figure 6.1, Figure 6.2, Figure 6.3.

Table 6.1: An example for a simple table.

A	B	C	D
1	2	1	2
2	3	2	3

R_1 ——————— R_2 ——————— R_5

R_3 ——————— R_4

Figure 6.1: An example for a simple drawing.

---

[1]https://ctan.org/pkg/acronym

Figure 6.2: An example for a simple plot.

```
SELECT * FROM tbl WHERE tbl.str = "str"
```

Figure 6.3: An example for a source code listing.

Abbreviations

TUM Technical University of Munich

CHP Republican People's Party

AKP Justice and Development Party

DEVA Democracy and Progress Party

IyiP Good Party

SAADET Felicity Party

DP Democrat Party

DEVA Democracy and Progress Party

GP Future Party

NLP Natural Language Processing

List of Figures

List of Tables

Bibliography

Alshaabi, T., Dewhurst, D. R., Minot, J. R., Arnold, M. V., Adams, J. L., Danforth, C. M., & Dodds, P. S. (2021). The growing amplification of social media: Measuring temporal and social contagion dynamics for over 150 languages on Twitter for 2009-2020. *EPJ data science*, *10*(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjds/s13688-021-00271-0

Anwar, A., Ilyas, H., Yaqub, U., & Zaman, S. (2021). Analyzing QAnon on twitter in context of US elections 2020: Analysis of user messages and profiles using VADER and BERT topic modeling. *DG.O2021: The 22nd Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research*, 82–88. https://doi.org/10.1145/3463677.3463718

Ardan, M. (2023). 1994 Financial Crisis in Turkey. In B. Açıkgöz (Ed.), *Black Swan: Economic Crises, Volume II* (pp. 95–126). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-2318-2_7

Atila, S. (2022). 3 kasım 2002'den bugüne akp ve erdoğan'ın 20 yıllık seçim tarihi. Retrieved February 3, 2024, from https://medyascope.tv/2022/11/03/3-kasim-2002den-bugune-akp-ve-erdoganin-20-yillik-secim-tarihi/

Blei, D. M., Ng, A. Y., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, *3*, 993–1022. https://doi.org/10.5555/944919.944937

Calma, J. (2023). Twitter just closed the book on academic research. Retrieved February 7, 2024, from https://www.theverge.com/2023/5/31/23739084/twitter-elon-musk-api-policy-chilling-academic-research

Contreras, K., Verbel, G., Sanchez, J., & Sanchez-Galan, J. E. (2022). Using topic modelling for analyzing panamanian parliamentary proceedings with neural and statistical methods. *2022 IEEE 40th Central America and Panama Convention (CONCAPAN)*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/CONCAPAN48024.2022.9997766

Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In J. Burstein, C. Doran, & T. Solorio (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)* (pp. 4171–4186). Association for Computational Linguistics. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423

Egger, R., & Yu, J. (2022). A Topic Modeling Comparison Between LDA, NMF, Top2Vec, and BERTopic to Demystify Twitter Posts. *Frontiers in Sociology*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2022.886498

Freedom House. (2023). *Turkey* (tech. rep.). Retrieved February 20, 2024, from https://freedomhouse.org/country/turkey/freedom-world/2023

Gritto, A. (2022). *Application of neural topic models to twitter data from German politicians* (bat). Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München. https://doi.org/10.5282/ubm/epub.92617

Grootendorst, M. (2022). BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF procedure. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2203.05794

Ilyas, S. H. W., Soomro, Z. T., Anwar, A., Shahzad, H., & Yaqub, U. (2020). Analyzing brexit's impact using sentiment analysis and topic modeling on twitter discussion. *The 21st Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1145/3396956.3396973

Kaiser, J., Rauchfleisch, A., & Bourassa, N. (2020). Connecting the (far-)right dots: A topic modeling and hyperlink analysis of (far-)right media coverage during the US elections 2016. *Digital Journalism*, *8*(3), 422–441. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1682629

Klosowski, T. (2022). Why You should Delete (All) your tweets. Retrieved February 20, 2024, from https://www.nytimes.com/wirecutter/blog/why-you-should-delete-your-tweets/

Lamport, L. (1994). *Latex : A documentation preparation system user's guide and reference manual*. Addison-Wesley Professional.

McInnes, L., Healy, J., & Melville, J. (2020, September 17). UMAP: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1802.03426

Mejova, Y., Weber, I., & Macy, M. W. (Eds.). (2015). *Twitter: A digital socioscope*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316182635

Najafi, A., Mugurtay, N., Demirci, E., Demirkiran, S., Karadeniz, H. A., & Varol, O. (2022). #Secim2023: First Public Dataset for Studying Turkish General Election. Retrieved October 28, 2023, from http://arxiv.org/abs/2211.13121

Najafi, A., & Varol, O. (2023). TurkishBERTweet: Fast and Reliable Large Language Model for Social Media Analysis. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.18063

Ogan, C., & Varol, O. (2017). What is gained and what is left to be done when content analysis is added to network analysis in the study of a social movement: Twitter use during gezi park. *Information, Communication & Society*, *20*(8), 1220–1238. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1229006

Pfeffer, J., Matter, D., Jaidka, K., Varol, O., Mashhadi, A., Lasser, J., Assenmacher, D., Wu, S., Yang, D., Brantner, C., Romero, D. M., Otterbacher, J., Schwemmer, C.,

Joseph, K., Garcia, D., & Morstatter, F. (2023, April 11). Just another day on twitter: A complete 24 hours of twitter data. http://arxiv.org/abs/2301.11429

Rabasa, A., & Larrabee, F. S. (2008). *The Rise of Political Islam in Turkey* (1st ed.). RAND Corporation. https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7249/mg726osd

Reimers, N., & Gurevych, I. (2019). Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings using Siamese BERT-Networks. Retrieved November 7, 2023, from http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.10084

Reimers, N., & Gurevych, I. (2020, October 5). Making monolingual sentence embeddings multilingual using knowledge distillation. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.09813

Saç, E., & Çoban, T. (2023). Seçim sonuçlarından geriye bakmak: Anketler neden, nasıl yanıldı? Retrieved July 24, 2023, from https://teyit.org/dosya/secim-sonuclarindan-geriye-bakmak-anketler-neden-nasil-yanildi

Schweter, S. (2020). BERTurk - BERT models for Turkish. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3770924

Scott, M. (2023). How Turkey's Erdoğan uses social media to cling onto power. Retrieved February 3, 2024, from https://www.politico.eu/article/recep-tayyip-erdogan-elon-musk-twitter-turkey-elections-social-media-power/

Theocharis, Y., Barberá, P., Fazekas, Z., & Popa, S. A. (2020). The dynamics of political incivility on twitter. *SAGE Open*, *10*(2), 2158244020919447. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020919447

Uysal, N., & Schroeder, J. (2019). Turkey's twitter public diplomacy: Towards a "new" cult of personality. *Public Relations Review*, *45*(5), 101837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2019.101837

Yerlikaya, T., & Toker, S. (2020). Social media and fake news in the post-truth era: The manipulation of politics in the election process. *Insight Turkey*, 177–196. https://doi.org/10.25253/99.2020222.11

Yilmaz, I., & Bashirov, G. (2018). The AKP after 15 years: Emergence of erdoganism in turkey. *Third World Quarterly*, *39*(9), 1812–1830. https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2018.1447371

Zaharna, R. S., & Uysal, N. (2016). Going for the jugular in public diplomacy: How adversarial publics using social media are challenging state legitimacy. *Public Relations Review*, *42*(1), 109–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2015.07.006

Zhao, H., Phung, D., Huynh, V., Jin, Y., Du, L., & Buntine, W. (2021, February 28). Topic modelling meets deep neural networks: A survey. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.00498