Lab 03

Leena Alam

02-05-2023

# Packages

library(tidyverse)  
library(sf)

# Data

fl\_votes <- st\_read("data/fl\_votes.shp", quiet = TRUE)  
fl\_votes %>%  
 slice(1:6)

## Simple feature collection with 6 features and 5 fields  
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON  
## Dimension: XY  
## Bounding box: xmin: -85.99989 ymin: 25.95675 xmax: -80.01528 ymax: 30.58427  
## Geodetic CRS: NAD83  
## county rep16 dem16 rep20 dem20 geometry  
## 1 Alachua 46834 75820 50972 89704 MULTIPOLYGON (((-82.37389 2...  
## 2 Baker 10294 2112 11911 2037 MULTIPOLYGON (((-82.10107 3...  
## 3 Bay 62194 21797 66097 25614 MULTIPOLYGON (((-85.65968 3...  
## 4 Bradford 8913 2924 10334 3160 MULTIPOLYGON (((-82.274 29....  
## 5 Brevard 181848 119679 207883 148549 MULTIPOLYGON (((-80.49977 2...  
## 6 Broward 260951 553320 333409 618752 MULTIPOLYGON (((-80.29693 2...

# Exercise 1


1. Modify the add-winner code chunk to mutate() a new variable winner20 describing who won each Florida county in the 2020 Presidential election. The function if\_else will be helpful. if\_else(condition, true, false) assigns true if the first condition is TRUE and assigns false if the first condition is FALSE.

fl\_votes <- fl\_votes %>% mutate(winner20 = if\_else(rep20 > dem20, 'Donald Trump', 'Joe Biden'))

# Exercise 2

1. Modify the fl-plot-1 code chunk to create a plot of Florida’s 2020 U.S. Presidential election results by county, with counties colored by winner20. Use informative colors with the scale\_fill\_manual() function. The colors “#DE0100” and “#0015BC” look good for Republicans and Democrats, respectively, but the choice is yours.

ggplot(fl\_votes) +   
 geom\_sf(aes(fill=winner20)) +   
 scale\_fill\_manual(values=c("#DE0100", "#0015BC")) +  
 labs(title = "Election winners 2020", fill="Winner") +   
 theme\_bw()



# Exercise 3


1. Create two new variables using mutate() in the fl-props code chunk. prop\_rep16 is the Republican share of the two party vote in the 2016 Presidential election and prop\_rep20 is the Republican share of the two party vote in the 2020 Presidential election.

fl\_votes <- fl\_votes %>%  
 mutate(prop\_rep16 = rep16 / (rep16 + dem16),  
 prop\_rep20 = rep20 / (rep20 + dem20))

# Exercise 4

1. Modify the fl-plot-2 code chunk to create a plot of the 2020 U.S. Presidential results by county, with counties colored according to the proportion of the two party vote cast for the Republican candidate. The scale\_fill\_gradient() function will be helpful for effective coloring (but there are other possibilities).

ggplot(fl\_votes) +   
 geom\_sf(aes(fill=prop\_rep20)) +   
 scale\_fill\_gradient(low = "#0015BC", high = "#DE0100") +  
 labs(title = "Election 2020 Results", fill="Republican share of votes") +   
 theme\_bw()



# Exercise 5


1. Create a new variable diff\_rep using mutate() in the fl-change code chunk, representing the change in the two party vote share between 2016 and 2020 (prop\_rep20 - prop\_rep16).

fl\_votes <- fl\_votes %>%  
 mutate(diff\_rep = prop\_rep20 - prop\_rep16)

# Exercise 6

1. Modify the fl-plot-3 code chunk to plot the change in Republican vote share by county between 2016 and 2020. The scale\_fill\_gradient2() function will be helpful for effective coloring.

ggplot(fl\_votes) +   
 geom\_sf(aes(fill=diff\_rep)) +   
 scale\_fill\_gradient2(low = "#0015BC", midpoint = 0, high = "#DE0100") +  
 labs(title = "Election 2016 - 2020 Change", fill="Change in Republican Share") +   
 theme\_bw()



# Exercise 7

1. What do the visualizations you developed tell you about the 2016 and 2020 Presidential election in Florida? What are limitations of these visualizations?

The visualizations developed in the above exercises provide a broad overview of the voting patterns in Florida during the 2016 and 2020 presidential elections. They show that there was a divide between some areas in terms of voting patterns, and that there was an increase in support for the Republican candidate in many counties between the two elections. However, these visualizations have limitations and should be interpreted with caution, as they do not take into account the demographic or socioeconomic factors that may have influenced voting behavior, or other factors such as voter turnout or the impact of third-party candidates on the election results.