High-Performance GraphBLAS API Implementation in Functional Style

1st Given Name Surname dept. name of organization (of Aff.) name of organization (of Aff.) City, Country email address or ORCID 2nd Given Name Surname dept. name of organization (of Aff.) name of organization (of Aff.) City, Country email address or ORCID 3rd Given Name Surname dept. name of organization (of Aff.) name of organization (of Aff.) City, Country email address or ORCID

Semyon Grigorev

Saint Petersburg State University,

JetBrains Research,

St. Petersburg, Russia
s.v.grigoriev@spbu.ru,
semyon.grigorev@jetbrains.com

Abstract—Abstract is very abstract. Abstract is very abstract.

Index Terms—graph analysis, sparse linear algebra, Graph-BLAS API, GPGPU, parallel programming, functional programming, .NET, OpenCL

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the promising ways to high-performance graph analysis is based on the utilization of linear algebra: operations over vectors and matrices can be efficiently implemented on modern parallel hardware, and once we reduce the given graph analysis problem to the composition of such operations, we get a high-performance solution for our problem. A wellknown example of such reduction is a reduction of all-pairs shortest path (APSP) problem to matrix multiplication over appropriate semiring. GraphBLAS API standard [1] provides formalization and generalization of this observation and make it useful in practice. GraphBLAS API introduces appropriate algebraic structures (monoid, semiring), objects (scalar, vector, matrix), and operations over them to provides building blocks to create graph analysis algorithms. It was shown, that sparse linear algebra over specific semirings is useful not only for graph analysis, but also in other areas, such as computational biology [2] and machine learning [3].

There are a number of GraphBLAS API implementations, such as SuiteSarse:GraphBLAS [4] and CombBLAS [5], but all of them do not utilize the power of GPGPU, except GraphBLAST [6], while GPGPU utilization for linear algebra

is a common practice today. GPGPU development is difficult itself because it introduces heterogeneous computational device, special programming model, and specific optimizations. Implementation of GraphBLAS API even more challenging, because it means the processing of irregular data, and the creation of generic (polymorphic) functions to declare and use user-defined semirings which is hard to express in low-level programming languages like CUDA C or OpenCL C which are usually used for GPGPU programming. Moreover, it is necessary to use high-level optimizations, like kernel fusion or elimination of unnecessary computations to improve the performance of end-user solutions based on the provided API implementation. But such high-level optimizations are too hard to automate for C-like languages.

Functional programming can help to solves these problems. First of all, native support functions as parameters simplify semirings descriptions and implementation of functions parametrized with semirings. Moreover, a powerful type system allows one to describe abstract (generic) functions which simplifies the development and usage of abstract linear algebra operations. Even more, such native features of functional programming languages, like discriminated unions (union types) and strong static typing allows one to create more robust code. For example, discriminated unions allows one naturally express Min-Plus semiring, where we should equip \mathbb{R} with special element ∞ (infinity, namely identity element for \oplus), so we cannot use predefined types like float or double. Another area where functional programming can be useful is automatic code optimization. A big number of nontrivial optimizations for functional languages for GPGPU were developed, such as specialization, deforestation, and kernels fusion, one of the actively discussed optimizations in GraphBLAS community [6]. These techniques make programs in high-level programming languages competitive in terms of performance with solutions written in CUDA or OpenCL C. For more details one can look at such languages and

Identify applicable funding agency here. If none, delete this.

frameworks as Futhark¹ [7], Accelerate² [8], AnyDSL³ [9].

In this work we discuss a way to implement Graph-BLAS API which combines high-performance computations 4 type MinPlusSemiring = on GPGPU and the power of high-level programming languages in both application development and possible code optimizations. Our solution is based on metaprogramming 8 techniques: we propose to generate code for GPGPU from 9 a high-level programming language. Namely, we plan to generate OpenCL C from a subset of F# programming language. 12 To translate F# to OpenCL C we use a Brahma.FSharp⁴ which ¹³ is based on F# quotations metaprogramming techniques⁵. Usage of F# simplifies both implementation of GraphBLAS 16 API, making features of functional programming available, 17 and its utilization in application development with high-level 18 programming language on .NET platform. Moreover, as far as 20 F# is a functional-first programming language, it should make 21 it possible to use advanced optimization techniques and power of type system. Choice of OpenCL C as a target language is motivated by its portability: it is possible to run OpenCL C code on multi-thread CPU, on different GPGPUs (not only Nvidia), and even on FPGA [10], [11]. The utilization of FPGAs may open a way to hardware acceleration of sparse linear algebra and, as a result, of many solutions in different areas such as graph analysis, computational biology, machine learning.

This work in progress, so only tiny not optimized prototype is implemented, but our preliminary evaluation shows that !!!

II. DESIGN PRINCIPLES

Accurate type-level encoding of domain: monoids, semirings.

Monoids and semirings are closed under operations. Thus, in contrast with GraphBLAS API, $t \to t \to t$ It make our definition less flexible, but allows one to generalize some operations, such as closure of relation. We realize, that in some cases such restrictive constrains are not required. Moreover, definition of matrix multiplication does not requires a semiring, it just requires a two operations \oplus and \otimes with following types: $\otimes: t_1 \to t_2 \to t_3$, $\oplus: t_3 \to t_3 \to t_3$. But a set with such operations is not a semiring. It should be studied.

Matrices and vectors are equipped with monoid or semiring. Explicit type conversions. Can be automatically removed in some cases during translation time.

Make coding easier and safe. Automate optimization.

```
r type RInfinity = R of float | Infinity
 [<Struct>]
    MinPlusSemiring of RInfinity
    static member Zero = MinPlusSemiring Infinity
    static member (+)
        (MinPlusSemiring x, MinPlusSemiring y) =
            match x, y with
            | R x, R y \rightarrow System.Math.Min(x,y) | > R
                        -> Infinity
            |> MinPlusSemiring
    static member (*)
        (MinPlusSemiring x, MinPlusSemiring y) =
            match x, y with
            \mid R x, R y \rightarrow x + y \mid > R
                        -> Infinity
            |> MinPlusSemiring
    static member op_Implicit (MinPlusSemiring src) =
```

Listing 1: Example om Min-Plus semiring definition

TABLE I RESULTS

Matrix			SuiteSparse	Math.NET	GraphBLAS#	
Name	Rows	NNZ	Suitesparse	Maui.NE1	CPU	GPGPU
m1	10	9	8	3	2	1
m2	10	9	8	3	2	1

Code generation in running time. A way to solve problems with generics. A way to apply advances optimization techniques [12]

Code example with description and explanations. Type is defined using descriminated unions: new set can contains both floats, marked with R and a special value Infinity. Thus floats is extended with infinity as required for accurate definition of Min-Plus semiring. Semiring is defined. Zero, operations, !!!!

III. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Details on implementation. A few worlds on Brahma.FSharp. Architecture.

IV. EVALUATION

Evaluation of the proposed implemenation.

Hardware configuration description. Vega !!!

SuiteSparse, Math.NET Numerics⁶, GraphBLAST, and our solution on CPU and GPGPU.

Elementwise addition.

Dataset description.

Results.

Results analysis. and conclusion.

¹Futhark is a purely functional statically typed programming language for GPGPU. Project web page: https://futhark-lang.org/. Access date: 12.01.2021.

²Accelerate: GPGPU programming with Haskell. Project web page:https://www.acceleratehs.org/. Access date: 12.01.2021.

³AnyDSL is a partial evaluation framework for parallel programming. Project web page: https://anydsl.github.io/. Access date: 12.01.2021.

⁴Brahma.FSharp project on GitHub: https://github.com/YaccConstructor/Brahma.FSharp. Access date: 12.01.2021.

⁵F# code quotations is a run time metaprogramming technique which allows one to transform written F# code during program execution. Official documentation: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/fsharp/language-reference/code-quotations. Access date: 12.01.2021.

⁶Library which provides numerical computations primitives for .NET: https://numerics.mathdotnet.com/. Access date: 12.01.2021.

V. CONCLUSION

Conclusion, current state, results.

Future work. Library extension up to full GraphBLAS API implementation.

LaGraph on F# .NET.

Evaluation. Comparison with other implementations on different devices. Manual implementation versus translation.

Another direction of future work is Brahma.FSharp improvements. First of all, it is necessary to support discriminated unions to make it possible to express custom semirings such as Min-Plus, as presented in listing 1.

Also, it is necessary to add high-level abstractions for asynchronous programming, and for multi-GPU programming. Such mechanisms can be naturally expressed in F# with native primitives for asynchronous programming.

fusion and other optimizations.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Kepner, P. Aaltonen, D. Bader, A. Buluc, F. Franchetti, J. Gilbert, D. Hutchison, M. Kumar, A. Lumsdaine, H. Meyerhenke, S. McMillan, C. Yang, J. D. Owens, M. Zalewski, T. Mattson, and J. Moreira, "Mathematical foundations of the graphblas," in 2016 IEEE High Performance Extreme Computing Conference (HPEC), Sep. 2016, pp. 1–9.
- [2] O. Selvitopi, S. Ekanayake, G. Guidi, G. A. Pavlopoulos, A. Azad, and A. Buluç, "Distributed many-to-many protein sequence alignment using sparse matrices," in *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*, ser. SC '20. IEEE Press, 2020.
- [3] J. Kepner, M. Kumar, J. Moreira, P. Pattnaik, M. Serrano, and H. Tufo, "Enabling massive deep neural networks with the graphblas," in 2017 IEEE High Performance Extreme Computing Conference (HPEC), 2017, pp. 1–10.
- [4] T. A. Davis, "Algorithm 1000: Suitesparse:graphblas: Graph algorithms in the language of sparse linear algebra," *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, vol. 45, no. 4, Dec. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3322125
- [5] A. Buluç and J. R. Gilbert, "The combinatorial blas: Design, implementation, and applications," *Int. J. High Perform. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 496–509, Nov. 2011. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/1094342011403516
- [6] C. Yang, A. Buluç, and J. D. Owens, "GraphBLAST: A high-performance linear algebra-based graph framework on the GPU," arXiv preprint, 2019.
- [7] T. Henriksen, N. G. W. Serup, M. Elsman, F. Henglein, and C. E. Oancea, "Futhark: Purely functional gpu-programming with nested parallelism and in-place array updates," in *Proceedings of the 38th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*, ser. PLDI 2017. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2017, pp. 556–571. [Online]. Available: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3062341. 3062354
- [8] T. L. McDonell, M. M. Chakravarty, G. Keller, and B. Lippmeier, "Optimising purely functional gpu programs," SIGPLAN Not., vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 49–60, Sep. 2013. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10. 1145/2544174.2500595
- [9] R. Leißa, K. Boesche, S. Hack, A. Pérard-Gayot, R. Membarth, P. Slusallek, A. Müller, and B. Schmidt, "Anydsl: A partial evaluation framework for programming high-performance libraries," *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, vol. 2, no. OOPSLA, Oct. 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3276489
- [10] T. Kenter, "Invited tutorial: Opencl design flows for intel and xilinx fpgas: Using common design patterns and dealing with vendor-specific differences," in FSP Workshop 2019; Sixth International Workshop on FPGAs for Software Programmers. VDE, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [11] K. Shagrithaya, K. Kepa, and P. Athanas, "Enabling development of opencl applications on fpga platforms," in 2013 IEEE 24th International Conference on Application-Specific Systems, Architectures and Processors, 2013, pp. 26–30.

[12] A. Tyurin, D. Berezun, and S. Grigorev, "Optimizing gpu programs by partial evaluation," in *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming*, ser. PPoPP '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2020, pp. 431–432. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10. 1145/3332466.3374507