

An efficient QAOA scheme for encoding undirected travelling salesman problem

Yuning Zhang

(Dated: September 27, 2019)

INTRODUCTION

The qubits needed to encoding travelling salesman problem (TSP) into a QAOA Hamiltonian increase in $O(n^2)$ order under one-hot encoding, which is expensive for NISQ device with limited qubits. To make TSP solving with QAOA feasible, some techniques must be used to reduce the resource required for Hamiltonian encoding.

The first available TSP encoding scheme is given by Hadfield et al, where an enhanced QAOA ansatz is developed to tackle constrained optimization problems. Here we report a more resource-efficient scheme to encoding undirected TSP based on Hadfield's work, which could reduce the encoding qubits by 50%.

For directed TSP, the solution is an arrangement for the order of all cities. The size of solution space for n -cities TSP is A_n^n . By fixing the start of the travel, the circle degeneracy is eliminated, with combination size reduced to A_{n-1}^{n-1} . If the TSP is undirected, which means $d_{i,j} = d_{j,i}$, the cost of a specific tour is equal to its reverse. Remove this degeneracy and the solution space size can be reduced to $A_{(n-1)/2}^{n-1}$.

Eg. $0 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4$ is a solution for a 5 cities TSP, in undirected conditions, it equals to $0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3$

Target Hamiltonian:

$$H_{cost} = \sum_{i,j} d_{i,j} \hat{p}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} d_{i,j} (I - Z_{i,j})$$

Instead of encoding vertices as Hadfield did, here we encoding the edges of a TSP into the cost Hamiltonian. Where $\hat{p}_{i,j} = 1/2(I - Z_{i,j})$ indicates whether the edge (i, j) is chosen. Since the setup of a TSP is completely represented by its distance matrix, containing $n(n-1)$ non zero elements, we just need the same number of qubits to encoding each edge of the graph. But it should be noticed that the encoding scheme reserves huge redundancy. The combination space for edge coding is $C_{n(n-1)}^n$, which is much larger than A_{n-1}^{n-1} . To form a circle requires in TSP, we need to pick n edges, and add constraints that $\forall i \in S, \deg(i) = 2$, ensuring that each city is passed.

We can use the same operator ansatz technique to preserve these constraints.

Ansatz:

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |\bar{0}\rangle \otimes_{i=1}^{n-1} |1_{i,i+1}\rangle \otimes |1_{n,0}\rangle$$

The ansatz is a valid solution for TSP.

Mixer Hamiltonian:

$$H_{mixer} = \sum_{\{i,j\},\{u,v\}} S_{u,i}^- S_{i,j}^- S_{j,v}^- S_{u,j}^+ S_{j,i}^+ S_{i,v}^+ + S_{u,i}^+ S_{i,j}^+ S_{j,v}^+ S_{u,j}^- S_{j,i}^- S_{i,v}^-$$

The mixer Hamiltonian is the *adjacent swap* operator of solution order, where $S^+ = |1\rangle\langle 0|$ and $S^- = |0\rangle\langle 1|$. The operator will swap the order of two adjacent cities, eg. $|u, i, j, v\rangle \leftrightarrow |u, j, i, v\rangle$, with corresponding cost transfer $d_{u,i} + d_{i,j} + d_{j,v} \leftrightarrow d_{u,j} + d_{j,i} + d_{i,v}$.

For example the 5×5 matrix below.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boxed{d_{0,1}} & d_{0,2} & d_{0,3} & d_{0,4} \\ d_{1,0} & 0 & \boxed{d_{1,2}} & d_{1,3} & d_{1,4} \\ d_{2,0} & d_{2,1} & 0 & \boxed{d_{2,3}} & d_{2,4} \\ d_{3,0} & d_{3,1} & d_{3,2} & 0 & \boxed{d_{3,4}} \\ \boxed{d_{4,0}} & d_{4,1} & d_{4,2} & d_{4,3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The ansatz is $|0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4\rangle$, with cost $\sum_{i=0}^4 d_{i,i+1}$ where $d_{n,n+1} = d_{n,0}$.

This encoding scheme is equivalent to the original operator ansatz, where at least $(n-1)^2$ qubits is needed. For the edge encoding, we need to map every non-zero matrix elements to a qubit thus $n(n-1)$ qubits are required. But if we take the special situation where the TSP is undirected, the new encoding scheme will only requires $n(n-1)/2$ qubits since we can map index $\{i, j\}$ and $\{j, i\}$ to one same qubit which represents an edge.

REFERENCE

[1] S. Hadfield, Z. Wang, B. O’Gorman, E. G. Rieffel, D. Venturelli, and R. Biswas, Algorithms 12, 34 (2019).