


Quantum project: Report 1

December 8, 2020

1 Report 2

1.1 Updated circuit

We updated the circuit considering only 1 SWAP gate:



This is also how IBM transpiles the original circuit proposed in [Ref Buzek-Hillery] in order to run it with only nearest neighbour couplings.

We are now measuring both the copies. We are checking whether the QCM is actually symmetric.

1.2 Results on Starmon

See Jupyter.

1.3 Results on IBM

See Jupyter. We turned off the optimization in the transpiling process. The transpiler only adds the SWAP gate in order to allow the circuit to run when only nearest neighbour coupling are allowed (usually it is not possible to have three qubits reciprocally connected). When using the Yorktown backend, no SWAP gate is necessary.




Figure 1: Connectivity of Yorktown IBM device.

1.4 Comparison

	Starmon 5	Athens	Ourense	Santiago	Valencia	Vigo	Yorktown
F_1	0.73	0.77	0.78	0.73	0.6	0.76	0.76
σ_{F_1}	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.2	0.03	0.02
F_2	0.73	0.78	0.72	0.72	0.6	0.75	0.76
σ_{F_2}	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.2	0.03	0.02
F_2/F_1	1.00	1.01	0.93	0.98	1.1	0.99	1.00
σ_{F_2/F_1}	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.7	0.02	0.03

1.5 Readout calibration

We considered that a classical error can affect the measurement process. Consider a state of the form $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$. The expectation value of a measurement along the Z axis is given by $\bar{m} = p_{+1} - p_{-1}$. However, this quantity can be affected by classical errors, such that it is transformed to,

$$\bar{m} = (1 - 2\epsilon_{10})|\alpha|^2 - (1 - 2\epsilon_{01})|\beta|^2 \quad (1)$$

$$= (\epsilon_{01} - \epsilon_{10}) + (1 - \epsilon_{01} - \epsilon_{10})(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) \quad (2)$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1\langle Z \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Note that $|\alpha|^2 = p_{+1}$ and $|\beta|^2 = p_{-1}$. Then, we can find the corrected expectation value as,

$$\langle Z \rangle = \frac{\bar{m} - \beta_0}{\beta_1}. \quad (4)$$

The parameters β_0 and β_1 can be estimated from experimental measurements of eigenstates of the Z basis, that is, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. Then, they can be used to correct the outcome of future experiments, for example a quantum state tomography. In Fig. 1.5 one can observe a check that the results have been calibrated. It corresponds to the average Z value of a single qubit, when it is rotated along the X axis from 0 to 2π . The blue curve represents the measurement outcomes without any correction, while the orange curve represents the corrected measurements.




Figure 2: Calibration check on qubit 0 of Starmon five. The calibration parameters were estimated to be $\beta_0 = 0.1229$ and $\beta_1 = 0.8278$. Blue curve corresponds to the pure results. Orange curve corresponds to the corrected results.

We are not only interested in corrected the expectation value, but also the coefficients associated to each measurement outcome. For such purpose, we consider corrected coefficients p_{+1} and p_{-1} that satisfy the following equations,

$$\begin{cases} p_{+1} + p_{-1} = 1 \\ p_{+1} - p_{-1} = \frac{\bar{m} - \beta_0}{\beta_1} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

By solving this system of equations, we find that the corrected coefficients are given by

$$p_{+1} = \frac{\beta_1 - \beta_0 + p_{+1} - p_{-1}}{2\beta_1}, \quad p_{-1} = \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_0 - p_{+1} + p_{-1}}{2\beta_1}. \quad (6)$$

From this parameters we can correct the previous results corresponding to the average fidelity of the quantum copy machine on the Bloch sphere.

1.6 Next meetings

1. Readout correction on IBM
2. Readout correction for all the five qubits of starmon.
3. Phase covariant cloning machine (optimal copy of the states on one equator)
4. Economical cloning machine (2 qubits, we could run it on Spin-2!)
5. Asymmetric Fourier cloning machine (?)