

# Discrete Structures – Practice Problems -I

**Instructions:** Provide all steps necessary to solve the problem. *Unless otherwise stated, your answer must be exact and reasonably simplified.* Additionally, clearly indicate the value or expression that is your final answer. Calculators are NOT allowed.

- Find the truth table of the compound proposition  $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg r)$ .
- Give the converse, the contrapositive, and the inverse of the statement “If it rains today, then I will drive to work.”
- Show that  $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$  and  $q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$  are logically equivalent using the laws of logical equivalences. Be sure to cite each law whenever used.
- Use the table of logical equivalences to simplify the compound proposition  $[(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p] \rightarrow q$ . Be sure to justify your answers.
- Let  $P(m, n)$  be the statement “ $m \mid n$ ,” where the domain for both variables consists of all positive integers. [By “ $m \mid n$ ”, which we say as “ $m$  divides  $n$ ”, we mean that  $n = km$  for some integer  $k$ .] Determine the truth values of each of these statements.

- |                                   |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| (a) $P(4, 5)$                     | (b) $P(2, 4)$                     | (c) $\forall m \forall n P(m, n)$ |
| (d) $\exists m \forall n P(m, n)$ | (e) $\exists n \forall m P(m, n)$ | (f) $\forall n P(1, n)$           |

- Consider the compound proposition  $(\forall m \exists n [P(m, n)]) \rightarrow (\exists n \forall m [P(m, n)])$  where both  $m$  and  $n$  are integers. Determine the truth value of the proposition if
  - $P(m, n)$  is the statement “ $m < n$ ”.
  - $P(m, n)$  is the statement “ $m \mid n$ ”.
- Suppose that the variable  $x$  represents students,  $F(x)$  means “ $x$  is a freshman,” and  $M(x)$  means “ $x$  is a math major”. For each of the three statements (a), (b), and (c), determine which of the symbolic statements are equivalent. (Note: Each statement may have multiple answers.)

- |  |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| I. $\forall x [M(x) \rightarrow \neg F(x)]$  | II. $\neg \exists x [M(x) \wedge \neg F(x)]$    | III. $\forall x [F(x) \rightarrow \neg M(x)]$     | IV. $\forall x [M(x) \rightarrow F(x)]$      |
| V. $\exists x [F(x) \wedge M(x)]$            | VI. $\neg \forall x [\neg F(x) \vee \neg M(x)]$ | VII. $\forall x [\neg (M(x) \wedge \neg F(x))]$   | VIII. $\forall x [\neg M(x) \vee \neg F(x)]$ |
| IX. $\neg \exists x [M(x) \wedge \neg F(x)]$ | X. $\neg \exists x [M(x) \vee F(x)]$            | XI. $\neg \forall x [F(x) \rightarrow \neg M(x)]$ |  |

(a) Some freshmen are math majors.      **Answer:** \_\_\_\_\_

(b) Every math major is a freshman.      **Answer:** \_\_\_\_\_

(c) No math major is a freshman.      **Answer:** \_\_\_\_\_

- Determine whether the following argument is valid.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 p \rightarrow r \\
 q \rightarrow r \\
 \neg(p \vee q) \\
 \hline
 \therefore \neg r
 \end{array}$$

- If the argument is valid, provide a valid proof of the result (that is, use the laws of logical equivalences and the rules of inference to demonstrate that the conclusion is valid).
- If the argument is not valid, provide specific truth values of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  in which the premises are true, but the conclusion is false.

**Exercises from the text.** I would STRONGLY recommend that you try as many of these problems as you can. Any of these problems (or ones similar to them) could appear on the exam.